



# AC Native OS '26

A Bare-Metal Creative Computing Operating System  
Surplus Hardware, Deep Personalization, and the Post-OLPC Instrument

@jeffrey

Aesthetic.Computer

ORCID: 0009-0007-4460-4913

<https://aesthetic.computer>

---

[ working draft — not for citation ]

**Abstract.** I present AC Native OS, a bare-metal Linux operating system that boots any x86\_64 computer directly into a creative computing instrument in 7.3 seconds. The entire system—a custom kernel, an 11,943-line C runtime running as PID 1, and a QuickJS JavaScript engine—fits in a single 89 MB UEFI binary with no userspace, no package manager, and no desktop environment. The OS introduces a model of *device-level personalization*: each machine boots with the owner’s handle, custom color scheme, and a spoken greeting, making identity a property of the operating system rather than of an application layer. Flashing this OS onto surplus commodity laptops—retired ThinkPads, off-lease corporate machines, unsold inventory—creates a viable alternative to both the OLPC model of bespoke educational hardware and the Apple model of identity-through-commercial-ecosystem. Where OLPC designed a \$100 laptop that cost \$200, and Apple sells a \$1,000 identity that requires a \$1,000 device, AC Native OS turns a \$50 surplus machine into a personal creative instrument with over-the-air updates, no IT infrastructure, and no ongoing cost. I describe the architecture, the personalization model, the OTA update system, and the implications for creative computing access.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The One Laptop Per Child (OLPC) project [Negroponte, 1995, Kraemer et al., 2009] proposed that universal computing access required designing new hardware—a \$100 laptop purpose-built for education. The XO laptop shipped with a custom OS (Sugar), custom hardware, and a custom distribution model. The project produced important ideas but struggled with a fundamental tension: custom hardware is expensive to design, manufacture, and maintain, and the \$100 target was never achieved at scale.

Meanwhile, the consumer computing market produces a constant surplus of functional machines. The UN Global E-waste Monitor reports 62 million tonnes of electronic waste generated annually, growing at 2.6 million tonnes per year, with only 22.3% documented as properly collected and recycled [Forti et al., 2024]. Corporate lease cycles retire millions of laptops every 3–5 years. Retail overstocks, cancelled orders, and cosmetic defects generate warehouse inventories. E-waste recyclers receive machines with years of useful life remaining. These surplus computers—ThinkPads, EliteBooks, Latitudes—are available for \$30–80 with modern processors, 8–16 GB RAM, WiFi, keyboards, and screens.

AC Native OS takes the opposite approach from OLPC: instead of designing custom hardware, I design a custom operating system that transforms *any* commodity x86\_64 machine into a dedicated creative computing instrument. The entire OS is a single file. Flashing it onto a USB drive takes under a minute. The machine boots in 7.3 seconds into a musical instrument, a drawing tool, or a programming environment—with the owner’s name on the screen and their voice greeting them by name.

I describe the system architecture (§2), the personalization model (§3), the surplus hardware thesis (§4), the OTA update system (§5), comparisons with existing approaches (§6), and implications for creative computing access (§7).

## 2. ARCHITECTURE

AC Native OS is structured as four layers: a minimal Linux kernel, an LZ4-compressed initramfs, a C runtime that runs as PID 1, and a QuickJS JavaScript engine that executes interactive programs (“pieces”).

## 2.1 Boot Chain

The system boots via UEFI with no bootloader. The Linux kernel (6.14.2) is compiled as an EFI stub—the kernel *is* the bootloader. It contains an embedded initramfs compressed with LZ4, so the entire operating system is a single file: `BOOTX64.EFI` on a FAT32 EFI System Partition.

1. UEFI firmware loads `EFI/BOOT/BOOTX64.EFI` (89 MB)
2. Kernel decompresses initramfs into tmpfs
3. `/init` (symlink to `/ac-native`) starts as PID 1
4. Mount `/proc`, `/sys`, `/dev`, `/tmp`
5. Mount EFI partition to `/mnt`, read `config.json`
6. Initialize DRM display, render personalized boot splash
7. Initialize ALSA audio, play boot tone (E5→B5)
8. Initialize input devices (keyboard, trackpad, touchscreen)
9. Auto-connect WiFi (silent, no user interaction)
10. Load default piece, call `boot()`, enter main loop

Total boot time from power to interactive piece: **7.3 seconds**.

## 2.2 The Runtime

The C runtime (`ac-native`, 11,943 lines across 14 source files) replaces the entire traditional OS userspace. There is no `systemd`, no shell, no package manager, no login prompt. The runtime directly manages:

- **Display:** DRM/KMS modesetting, double-buffered software framebuffer
- **Audio:** ALSA PCM at 192 kHz, 32-voice polyphonic synthesizer with reverb
- **Input:** evdev polling for keyboard, trackpad, touchscreen, analog keys
- **WiFi:** `wpa_supplicant` integration, auto-connect, DHCP
- **Camera:** V4L2 capture, YUYV conversion, QR code scanning
- **Speech:** Text-to-speech via `flite` (male and female voices)
- **Network:** WebSocket client with TLS, UDP, HTTP via `curl`

## 2.3 Piece Execution

Pieces are JavaScript ES modules (`.mjs`) executed by QuickJS [Bellard, 2019]. The runtime exposes C functions to JavaScript as the “system” API—graphics primitives, audio synthesis, input events, hardware info, and system control. The piece lifecycle mirrors the browser-based Aesthetic.Computer platform [Jeffrey, 2026]: `boot()`, `act()`, `sim()`, `paint()`, running at 60 fps.

```
function boot({ system, screen }) {
  system.tts("welcome")
}

function paint({ wipe, ink, write, screen }) {
```

```
wipe(20, 10, 40)
ink(255)
write("hello", { center: "xy" })
}

export { boot, paint };
```

Pieces can hot-swap without rebooting via `system.jump("piece-name")`. The OS ships with 11 pieces including a chromatic keyboard instrument, a command-line navigator, a system management panel, a Claude AI chat client, and a terminal emulator.

## 2.4 Size Budget

Component	Size
Linux kernel (compiled)	~10 MB
ac-native binary	1.2 MB
QuickJS engine	0.8 MB
Shared libraries (libc, libdrm, ALSA, SSL, flite)	~45 MB
WiFi firmware (iwlwifi)	4.9 MB
Pieces, fonts, certificates	~28 MB
Initramfs (uncompressed)	~201 MB
Initramfs (LZ4 compressed)	~90 MB
<b>Final image (vmlinuz)</b>	<b>~89 MB</b>

Table 1: System size breakdown.

The entire operating system is smaller than a typical browser download. It fits on any USB drive manufactured in the last 15 years.

## 3. DEVICE-LEVEL PERSONALIZATION

Consumer operating systems locate identity in application-layer accounts: an Apple ID, a Google account, a Microsoft login. The device itself is generic until a user signs in. AC Native OS inverts this: identity is a property of the boot partition.

### 3.1 config.json

A JSON file on the EFI System Partition stores the owner’s identity:

```
{
  "handle": "jeffrey",
  "colors": [180, 72, 135],
  "tokens": { "claude": "..." }
}
```

This file persists across OS updates because the OTA process replaces the kernel binary but preserves the rest of the EFI partition. The device remembers who it belongs to even as its software evolves.

### 3.2 Boot as Greeting

When the machine powers on, the boot sequence renders the owner’s handle in animated rainbow text on the

splash screen: “hi @jeffrey.” The text-to-speech engine speaks the greeting aloud: “hi jeffrey.” On shutdown: “bye jeffrey.” The computer *knows you* at the deepest level of the software stack—not because you logged into a service, but because your name is written into the boot partition.

This is a fundamentally different model from both Apple (identity through purchase and account) and OLPC (identity through institutional distribution). Here, identity is inscribed directly into the device by the act of flashing it. The person who prepares the USB drive—a teacher, a parent, a friend, or the user themselves—writes the owner’s name into the machine’s first moments of consciousness.

### 3.3 Build Identity

Each OS build receives a unique name derived from its git commit hash: an adjective paired with an animal (e.g., “keen-egret,” “swift-otter,” “bright-phoenix”). This name is displayed in golden text during boot, spoken via TTS, and exposed to pieces through `system.hw.buildName`. The machine has *two* identities: who it belongs to, and which version of itself it is running. Both are announced at boot.

The naming system produces 73,000 unique combinations (365 animals  $\times$  200 adjectives) and is deterministic: the same commit always produces the same name. Users develop affection for their build names. The machine is not a serial number; it is “keen-egret.”

## 4. THE SURPLUS HARDWARE THESIS

### 4.1 The OLPC Lesson

OLPC demonstrated that hardware-first approaches to computing access face compounding challenges [Kraemer et al., 2009]: custom hardware development costs exceed projections, manufacturing at scale requires institutional partnerships that constrain design, and maintenance/repair infrastructure must be built from scratch. The XO laptop’s \$100 target became \$188 at production. Sugar OS, despite innovative design, could not sustain a developer ecosystem around bespoke hardware.

The Raspberry Pi [Raspberry Pi Foundation, 2012] partially addressed cost (\$35) but introduced different friction: users must supply a monitor, keyboard, mouse, SD card, and power supply—accessories that often cost more than the board. The total cost of a functional Pi workstation approaches \$150–200.

### 4.2 Surplus as Material

The x86\_64 laptop surplus market offers a different equation entirely. A retired ThinkPad X1 Carbon (2019–2021 vintage) sells for \$50–80 with:

- 14” 1080p or 2K display
- 4–8 core Intel processor

- 8–16 GB RAM
- WiFi 6, Bluetooth
- Full keyboard and trackpad
- 6–10 hour battery
- USB-C charging (universal)

These are complete, self-contained computers that need nothing but a USB drive to become creative instruments. The surplus exists because of corporate refresh cycles, not because the hardware has failed. A 2020 ThinkPad running AC Native OS boots faster, runs smoother, and presents a more focused experience than the same machine running Windows 11.

### 4.3 The Economics

Approach	Device Cost	Accessories
OLPC XO-1	\$188	\$0
Raspberry Pi 5	\$35	\$100–150
Chromebook (new)	\$200–300	\$0
iPad (edu pricing)	\$299	\$0–130
Surplus ThinkPad + AC OS	\$50–80	\$0

Table 2: Comparative cost of computing access approaches.

The surplus approach requires no manufacturing, no supply chain, no minimum order quantities. A school, a community center, or an individual can acquire machines one at a time from local recyclers, online marketplaces, or corporate IT departments. Each machine is flashed with a personalized AC Native OS USB in under a minute.

### 4.4 Against the Apple Model

Apple’s approach to personal computing ties device identity to a commercial relationship. Your name appears on the device because you purchased it through an Apple ID. Your preferences persist because they sync through iCloud. Your creative tools are available because you subscribe to services. The device is personal because it is *purchased*.

AC Native OS proposes that device identity can be inscribed rather than purchased. A \$50 surplus laptop with “hi @maria” on its boot screen, her favorite colors in the splash, and her name spoken aloud is *more personal* than a \$1,000 MacBook that greets no one. The personalization is deeper—it lives below the application layer, below the desktop, in the first moments of the boot sequence—and it costs nothing beyond the act of writing a config file.

This is not an argument against quality hardware. It is an argument that the relationship between a person and their computer need not be mediated by a purchase, an account, or a subscription. The instrument knows who you are because someone—perhaps you—*told it*.

## 5. OVER-THE-AIR UPDATES

A critical challenge for any distributed computing project is maintenance. OLPC deployments struggled with software updates in regions with limited connectivity [Warschauer, 2004]. Chromebooks solve this with mandatory cloud connectivity. AC Native OS takes a middle path: updates are automatic when WiFi is available, but the device is fully functional offline.

### 5.1 Update Mechanism

1. On WiFi connect, the OS checks `/api/native/version`
2. If a newer build exists, it downloads the new kernel image (~89 MB) with progress tracking
3. The new image is written to the EFI partition, replacing `BOOTX64.EFI`
4. A byte-for-byte SHA256 verification confirms the write
5. The device reboots into the new version

The `config.json` file is preserved across updates—the device retains its owner’s identity, colors, and tokens. The update process is hardened against power loss: the source image is validated before copying, the filesystem is double-synced, and verification occurs against a freshly mounted partition to prevent cache artifacts.

### 5.2 No IT Infrastructure

Unlike Chromebook deployments that require Google Admin Console, MDM profiles, and institutional Google Workspace accounts, AC Native OS requires no management infrastructure. Each device is autonomous. Updates propagate whenever the device connects to any WiFi network. There is no enrollment, no fleet management, no per-device licensing. The operational cost is zero.

## 6. RELATED WORK

**Educational OS projects.** Sugar OS (OLPC) pioneered the single-purpose educational operating system but was constrained by its hardware dependency. Endless OS targets low-spec hardware with offline-first content but retains a traditional desktop metaphor. PrimTux and Edubuntu customize Linux distributions for education but do not reimagine the OS layer itself. ChromeOS Flex [Google LLC, 2022] (formerly Neverware CloudReady) is the most direct comparison: a free OS that repurposes old PCs and Macs as managed Chromebooks. It proves the surplus-hardware thesis at scale—Google certifies hundreds of older models—but turns machines into cloud-dependent thin clients rather than autonomous creative instruments. ChromeOS Flex requires Google Admin Console, fleet management, and internet connectivity; AC Native OS requires none of these.

**Kiosk and single-purpose systems.** Digital signage OSes (Screenly, Yodeck) demonstrate that single-

purpose Linux deployments can be maintained at scale via OTA updates. AC Native OS applies this operational model to creative computing rather than content display.

**Creative computing access.** Processing [Reas and Fry, 2007] and Scratch [Resnick et al., 2009] lowered the barrier to creative coding but assume a general-purpose OS underneath. Sonic Pi [Aaron, 2016] runs on Raspberry Pi but requires Raspbian. AC Native OS eliminates the OS dependency entirely—the creative tool *is* the OS.

**Convivial tools.** Nelson’s *Computer Lib / Dream Machines* [Nelson, 1974] declared in 1974 that everyone should understand computers—and that personal computing should be a medium for creative liberation, not corporate productivity. Illich’s concept of “tools for conviviality” [Illich, 1973]—tools that expand personal autonomy rather than requiring specialized expertise—resonates with AC Native OS’s design. Moglen’s FreedomBox proposal [Moglen, 2010]—personal servers that communities own and control rather than renting from cloud providers—extends this lineage to infrastructure itself; AC Native OS applies the same logic to the device layer, producing a computing instrument that depends on no service provider and reports to no administrator. The device requires no system administration, no IT support, no technical knowledge beyond plugging in a USB drive. Papert’s constructionism [Papert, 1980] informs the choice of a creative instrument (not a content consumption device) as the default experience.

**Media theory.** Kittler’s argument that software obscures the material reality of computation [Kittler, 1995] is inverted by AC Native OS: with no desktop environment, no window manager, and no abstraction layers, the relationship between code and hardware is made visible. The boot splash renders directly to the DRM framebuffer. Audio synthesis writes directly to ALSA PCM buffers. McLuhan’s observation that the medium shapes the message [McLuhan, 1964] applies literally: the operating system *is* the instrument, and its constraints (single piece at a time, immediate-mode graphics, direct audio synthesis) shape the creative output.

## 7. IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

### 7.1 A Distribution Model

The surplus hardware thesis suggests a distribution model: organizations acquire retired laptops in bulk (\$30–80 each), flash personalized AC Native OS USBs, and distribute them. The devices boot into creative instruments that update themselves. The cost per device is a fraction of any existing approach, and the environmental impact is negative—machines are diverted from e-waste streams.

A classroom of 30 creative computing instruments could be provisioned for \$1,500–2,400 in hardware, plus the labor of flashing USB drives. No ongoing subscrip-

tion, no IT support contract, no managed accounts.

## 7.2 Device as Instrument

The choice to boot into a musical instrument (notepad, a chromatic keyboard) rather than a desktop or a browser is deliberate. The device is not a “computer” in the consumer sense—it is an instrument that happens to be programmable. This framing, borrowed from Aesthetic.Computer’s design philosophy of “instrument, not IDE,” changes the user’s relationship to the machine. You do not “use” the device; you *play* it.

## 7.3 Maintenance as Care

Ukeles’s “Manifesto for Maintenance Art” [Ukeles, 1969] reframes maintenance as a creative act. AC Native OS’s OTA system embodies this: each update has a name (“keen-egret” becomes “swift-otter”), the device announces its new identity, and the transition is a small event rather than an invisible background process. Maintenance is made visible, even celebrated.

## 7.4 Limitations

The system currently targets x86\_64 UEFI machines, excluding ARM Chromebooks and older BIOS-only hardware. WiFi support depends on Intel iwlwifi firmware; Broadcom and Realtek adapters are not yet supported. The single-piece-at-a-time model limits multitasking. The software rasterizer, while sufficient for 2D graphics at 60 fps, cannot accelerate 3D rendering. These constraints are architectural choices, not permanent limitations.

## 7.5 Future Directions

- **ARM support:** Targeting Chromebooks (Mediatek/Qualcomm) would access the largest pool of surplus educational hardware
- **Mesh networking:** Peer-to-peer piece sharing and multiplayer without internet infrastructure
- **Hardware GPU:** DRM render nodes for accelerated graphics while preserving the bare-metal model
- **Multi-piece:** Split-screen or tabbed piece navigation for lightweight multitasking
- **Community flashing:** Events where participants flash and personalize surplus machines together

## 8. CONCLUSION

AC Native OS demonstrates that a complete creative computing experience can be delivered in 89 MB, boot in 7.3 seconds, and run on hardware that costs less than a textbook. By targeting surplus commodity laptops rather than bespoke hardware, the system sidesteps the manufacturing, distribution, and maintenance challenges that constrained OLPC. By locating identity in the boot partition rather than in a commercial account, it offers a model of personalization that is deeper than

Apple’s and costs nothing.

The system is not a prototype or a proof of concept. It is deployed on physical hardware, receives OTA updates, and runs a fully functional creative computing runtime with audio synthesis, WiFi networking, camera input, and text-to-speech. Its 11,943 lines of C replace the entire traditional userspace.

The question AC Native OS poses is not technical but economic and cultural: given that millions of functional computers are discarded every year, and that a complete creative operating system fits in 89 MB, what prevents every person from having a personal creative instrument? The answer is not hardware cost, not software complexity, and not infrastructure requirements. It may simply be that no one has tried.

**ORCID:** 0009-0007-4460-4913

## References

- Samuel Aaron. Sonic pi – performance in education, technology and art. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Live Coding*, 2016.
- Fabrice Bellard. Quickjs javascript engine. 2019. URL <https://bellard.org/quickjs/>. A small and embeddable JavaScript engine.
- Vanessa Forti, Cornelis P. Baldé, Ruediger Kuehr, and Garam Bel. The global e-waste monitor 2024. Technical report, United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR) and International Telecommunication Union (ITU), 2024. URL <https://ewastemonitor.info/the-global-e-waste-monitor-2024/>.
- Google LLC. ChromeOS Flex, 2022. URL <https://chromeosenterprises.google/chromeos/flex/>. Free OS for repurposing older PCs and Macs as managed Chromebooks. Formerly Neverware CloudReady (acquired 2020).
- Ivan Illich. *Tools for Conviviality*. Harper & Row, New York, 1973.
- @jeffrey. Aesthetic computer ’26: A mobile-first runtime for creative computing, 2026. Companion paper describing the AC platform.
- Friedrich A. Kittler. There is no software. *CTheory*, 1995. Article a032.
- Kenneth L. Kraemer, Jason Dedrick, and Prakul Sharma. One laptop per child: Vision vs. reality. In *Communications of the ACM*, volume 52, pages 66–73. ACM, 2009.
- Marshall McLuhan. *Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964.

Eben Moglen. Freedom in the cloud: Software freedom, privacy, and security for Web 2.0 and cloud computing, 2010. Address to the Internet Society, New York. Introduced the FreedomBox concept: personal, community-owned server infrastructure as an alternative to corporate cloud services.

Nicholas Negroponte. *Being Digital*. Alfred A. Knopf, New York, 1995.

Theodor H. Nelson. *Computer Lib / Dream Machines*. Self-published, 1974. Revised edition, Microsoft Press, 1987.

Seymour Papert. *Mindstorms: Children, Computers, and Powerful Ideas*. Basic Books, New York, 1980.

Raspberry Pi Foundation. Raspberry pi, 2012. URL <https://www.raspberrypi.org>. A low-cost single-board computer for education.

Casey Reas and Ben Fry. *Processing: A Programming Handbook for Visual Designers and Artists*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2007.

Mitchel Resnick, John Maloney, Andrés Monroy-Hernández, Natalie Rusk, Evelyn Eastmond, Karen Brennan, Amon Millner, Eric Rosenbaum, Jay Silver, Brian Silverman, and Yasmin Kafai. Scratch: Programming for all. *Communications of the ACM*, 52 (11):60–67, 2009.

Mierle Laderman Ukeles. Manifesto for maintenance art 1969! 1969. Proposal for an exhibition “Care”.

Mark Warschauer. Technology and social inclusion: Rethinking the digital divide. 2004.