



Playable Folk Songs

Oral Tradition Meets the Browser Keyboard on
notepad.com

@jeffrey · Aesthetic.Computer · ORCID [0009-0007-4460-4913](https://orcid.org/0009-0007-4460-4913)

working draft — not for citation

Dansk · Español · 中文 · 日本語

March 2026 · 8fe6526fd

Index

1. The Argument	5
2. The Instrument	7
3. The Encoding	8
3.1 Extended Examples	9
3.2 Songs as Cards	10
4. A Catalog of Playable Melodies	12
4.1 Pentatonic (5 notes)	12
4.2 Narrow Range (< octave)	13
4.3 Modal & Diatonic	13
5. The Folk Process as Version Control	14
6. Computational Dimensions	16
7. Related Instruments	18
7.1 aQWERTYon	18
7.2 ABC Notation	18

7.3 Tunepal	19
8. The Bare-Metal Folk Instrument	20
8.1 Why Bare Metal Matters for Folk Songs	20
8.2 Offline Operation	21
9. Future Work	23
10. Conclusion	24

1. The Argument

Folk songs are humanity's oldest open-source software.

They have no single author. They are maintained by a community. They are transmitted orally—meaning they must be simple enough to memorize, constrained enough to reproduce, and flexible enough to adapt. Every singer is a contributor; every performance is a fork.

These are exactly the properties that make a melody playable on a QWERTY keyboard.

- **Narrow pitch range:** Most folk melodies span 1–1.5 octaves [Lomax, 1968]. notepat's two-octave button grid covers them entirely.
- **Pentatonic bias:** Many traditions favor 5-note scales [Kodály, 1971], reducing the cognitive load of finding the next note.
- **Repetitive structure:** 8-bar phrases that repeat, call-and-response patterns, verse–chorus forms—all aid memorization and guided playback.
- **No wrong notes:** In pentatonic melodies, ad-

jaacent scale tones are consonant. The Orff Schulwerk method exploits this same property with removable xylophone bars [Orff, 1978].

2. The Instrument

notepat.com is a browser-based chromatic synthesizer built as a “piece” (interactive program) inside Aesthetic.Computer [Jeffrey, 2026a,b]. It maps the QWERTY keyboard to 24 chromatic notes across two octaves, with octave shifting to cover the full piano range.

Song mode transforms notepat from a free-play instrument into a guided performance interface. A scrolling lyric track shows the current syllable; only the correct note is active; pressing it advances to the next syllable. The player cannot make a mistake—they can only wait.

This “training wheels” approach—blocking wrong notes, highlighting the right one—mirrors the Orff and Kodály pedagogies, which constrain the instrument to match the learner’s current ability [Kodály, 1971, Orff, 1978].

3. The Encoding

Songs in notepat are encoded as whitespace-separated NOTE:word pairs:

```
C:Twin- C:-kle G:twin- G:-kle A:lit- A:-tle G:  
  ↪ star,  
F:how F:I E:won- E:-der D:what D:you C:are.
```

Each token is a note name (C, G, A, F#, etc.) paired with a lyric syllable via colon. Hyphens indicate syllable continuation: a trailing hyphen (Twin-) means the next token continues the same word; a leading hyphen (-kle) is the continuation.

The encoding has four key design properties:

- **Human-readable:** A musician can sight-read the encoding directly.
- **URL-safe:** Songs can be passed as URL parameters to notepat.
- **Forkable:** Copy, edit, share—the folk process in a text string.
- **Minimal:** No duration, no dynamics, no tempo. The player controls all three.

The absence of rhythm information is deliber-

ate. In oral tradition, rhythm belongs to the performer, not the score [Lomax, 1968]. The encoding captures *what* to play; the player decides *how*.

3.1 Extended Examples

Ode to Joy (Beethoven, adapted from folk hymn tradition):

E:Freu- E:-de F:schö- G:-ner G:Göt- F:-ter-
E:fun- D:-ken E:Toch- C:-ter E:aus
D:E- C:-ly- D:si- E:-um

Amazing Grace (pentatonic, American):

D:A- G:-ma- B:-zing G:grace B:how A:sweet
G:the B:sound D:that E:saved D:a
B:wretch G:like B:me

Arirang (Korean, pentatonic):

E:A- G:-ri- A:rang E:a- G:-ri- A:rang
B:a- A:-ra- G:-ri- E:-yo

Sakura (Japanese, in mode):

E:Sa- E:-ku- F:ra E:sa- E:-ku- F:ra

```
A:ya- A:-yo- B:i A:no
```

Each encoding fits in a URL. The first four notes of “Twinkle Twinkle” are addressable as `notepat.com?song=C:Twin-%20C:-kle%20G:twin-%20G:-kle`. The song is the address. The address is the score.

3.2 Songs as Cards

The cards format of this paper—4×6 inches, designed for phones—means each encoded melody can appear on a single card, tappable at the bottom URL. A teacher could print a deck of folk song cards, hand one to each student, and the student taps the URL to load the song on their phone or laptop. The card is the program; the URL is the executable; the student is the performer.

This connects to the whistlegraph’s core property: a score that teaches you how to play it [Jeffrey, 2026e]. The whistlegraph does this visually (draw the line, sing the note). `notepat` does it textually (read the syllable, press the key). Both are self-documenting performance formats that

require no teacher beyond the artifact itself.

4. A Catalog of Playable Melodies

We surveyed folk song collections from multiple traditions and selected melodies optimized for notepat's two-octave grid. Selection criteria: pitch range ≤ 15 semitones, pentatonic or simple diatonic scale, and cultural recognizability.

4.1 Pentatonic (5 notes)

Song

Amazing Grace
Auld Lang Syne
Arirang
Sakura
Mo Li Hua
Swing Low

4.2 Narrow Range (< octave)

Song

Mary Had a Little Lamb
Hot Cross Buns
Frère Jacques
London Bridge
Korobeiniki

4.3 Modal & Diatonic

Song

Greensleeves
Scarborough Fair
Danny Boy
Shenandoah
Hava Nagila

5. The Folk Process as Version Control

The International Folk Music Council identified three properties of the folk process: *continuity* (linking present to past), *variation* (creative impulse of individual performers), and *selection* (community determines which forms survive) [Karpeles, 1955].

These map directly onto software version control:

Continuity

Variation

Selection

A notepat song encoding is a URL. Sharing a song is sharing a link. Modifying a song is editing a text string and sharing a new link. The “oral tradition” becomes a tradition of URLs—the melody transmits not through memory but through hypertext.

This is not metaphorical. The Essen Associative Code (ESAC) database, started in 1982, encoded ~8,000 European and Chinese folk songs in a computer-friendly notation inspired by Chinese

jianpu [Schaffrath, 1995]. notepat's NOTE:word format is a direct descendant of this tradition: encoding melody as text so that computation and transmission become possible.

6. Computational Dimensions

Passmore et al. modeled folk melodies as evolving sequences from a 12-pitch “alphabet,” applying sequence alignment methods borrowed from molecular genetics to 10,062 Japanese and English melodies [Passmore et al., 2023]. They found that note changes with smaller melodic impact are more likely to survive—a selection pressure that favors the simplicity folk songs are known for.

If folk melodies are subject to evolutionary selection pressure toward simplicity, then they are *converging* on the properties that make them playable on constrained interfaces. The QWERTY keyboard is not an impoverished substitute for a piano—it is a constraint that matches the constraint the folk process already applies.

Lomax’s cantometrics project coded 37 aspects of performance style across 5,776 songs from 1,026 societies, finding that performance style (not melodic content) was the primary cultural indicator [Lomax, 1968]. This supports notepat’s design decision to encode pitch but not rhythm:

the culturally significant variation happens in *how* the notes are performed, which is exactly what the player controls.

7. Related Instruments

7.1 aQWERTYon

NYU’s Music Experience Design Lab built the aQWERTYon, a browser instrument mapping QWERTY keys to scale degrees so there are “no wrong notes” [Hein, 2017]. notepat differs in two ways: it uses *chromatic* mapping (wrong notes are possible and pedagogically useful), and it pairs notes with lyrics (the song teaches itself).

7.2 ABC Notation

The de facto standard for folk music encoding, ABC notation represents melody as text [Walshaw, 2011]. The `abcjs` library renders ABC as interactive sheet music in browsers. notepat’s encoding is simpler—no duration, no barlines—because it is not a notation system but a *performance script*: it tells the player what to press, not what the music looks like.

7.3 Tunepal

A music information retrieval system connecting musicians to 13,290 traditional Irish tunes via query-by-playing [Duggan and O'Shea, 2009]. Tunepal finds tunes; notepat teaches them. They are complementary.

8. The Bare-Metal Folk Instrument

notepad runs not only in browsers but on bare metal—a 987-line QuickJS port that boots as PID 1 on AC Native OS [Jeffrey, 2026c]. A surplus ThinkPad running notepad on bare metal is, in a literal sense, a folk instrument: a single-purpose device for playing songs, with no desktop environment, no app store, no distractions.

The boot sequence: power on, kernel loads (7.3 seconds), the screen says “hi @alice,” and notepad is playing. The student’s name is spoken aloud by text-to-speech. The keyboard lights up with the first note of the song. There is nothing else to do, nowhere else to go. The laptop is the instrument.

8.1 Why Bare Metal Matters for Folk Songs

The PLOrk model [Jeffrey, 2026d] required custom hardware: hemispherical speakers, audio interfaces, dedicated laptops. The cost was prohibitive for most schools. AC Native OS inverts this: it runs on surplus commodity hardware—

retired corporate laptops available for \$30–80—and transforms them into single-purpose instruments.

A classroom of 30 bare-metal notepad devices, each loaded with a different folk song from a different tradition, is a folk orchestra. Each student learns their song, then the class performs together. The Korean student plays Arirang. The American student plays Amazing Grace. The Japanese student plays Sakura. The instruments are identical; the songs are culturally specific; the ensemble is global.

This is the scenario described in the companion paper on laptop orchestras [Jeffrey, 2026d]—but applied specifically to folk music, where the repertoire is public domain, culturally significant, and structurally suited to the instrument.

8.2 Offline Operation

The bare-metal notepad requires no internet connection. Songs can be pre-loaded on the boot partition in `config.json`. A teacher prepares a USB drive with a set of folk songs, flashes it onto

30 laptops, and the classroom is ready. No WiFi, no accounts, no cloud services. The song files are kilobytes. The entire OS is 89 MB.

This matters for the contexts where folk music education is most needed: schools without reliable internet, community centers without IT support, rural areas without broadband. The folk song and the folk instrument share a design constraint: they must work with what is available.

9. Future Work

- **Crowdsourced encoding:** A public repository of NOTE:word encodings, submitted as URLs, versioned like folk songs themselves.
- **Cross-cultural collections:** Encoding melodies from the Essen, Roud, and Lomax archives into notepat format, with permission and attribution.
- **Adaptive difficulty:** Expanding pitch range as the player masters simpler songs—a Kodály-like progression through the folk repertoire.
- **Multiplayer folk sessions:** Two notepat players on the same song, one playing melody, one playing harmony—a digital *session* in the Irish traditional sense.
- **Recording and sharing:** Capture a performance as audio, pair it with the encoding URL, and post it—an oral tradition with receipts.

10. Conclusion

Folk songs survived centuries without notation, without recording, without instruments. They survived because they were simple enough to remember, flexible enough to adapt, and valuable enough to share.

A browser keyboard that teaches these songs one note at a time is not a departure from the folk tradition. It *is* the folk tradition—adapted, once more, to the instrument at hand.

ORCID: [0009-0007-4460-4913](https://orcid.org/0009-0007-4460-4913)

References

Bryan Duggan and Brendan O’Shea. Tunepal: Searching a digital library of traditional music scores. In *Proceedings of the ISMIR 2009 Conference*, 2009. MIR system for 13,290 traditional Irish, Welsh, Scottish, and Breton tunes.

Ethan Hein. Designing a more welcoming aQWERTYon experience. <https://www.ethanhein.com/wp/2017/designing-a-more-welcoming-aqwertyon-experience/>, 2017. NYU MusEDLab browser instrument mapping QWERTY to scale degrees.

@jeffrey. Aesthetic computer ’26: A mobile-first runtime for creative computing. 2026a. Working draft. <https://aesthetic.computer>.

@jeffrey. notepat.com: From keyboard toy to system front door. 2026b. Working draft. <https://notepat.com>.

@jeffrey. AC native OS: A single-file operating system for creative computing. 2026c. Working draft. <https://aesthetic.computer>.

@jeffrey. Plork'ing the planet: From ivy league laptop orchestra to kid-friendly planetary organ. 2026d. Working draft. <https://papers.aesthetic.computer>.

@jeffrey. Whistlegraph: Drawing, singing, and the graphic score as viral form. 2026e. Working draft. <https://papers.aesthetic.computer>.

Maud Karpeles. Definition of folk music. *Journal of the International Folk Music Council*, 7:6–7, 1955. Continuity, variation, and selection as the three folk process properties.

Zoltán Kodály. *Folk Music of Hungary*. Barrie and Jenkins, London, revised edition, 1971. Pentatonic folk songs as foundation for music education.

Alan Lomax. *Folk Song Style and Culture*. American Association for the Advancement of Science, Washington, DC, 1968. Cantometric analysis of 5,776 songs from 1,026 societies.

Carl Orff. *The Schulwerk*, volume 3 of *Carl Orff/Documentation*. Schott, Mainz, 1978. Re-

movable xylophone bars creating “no wrong notes” interfaces.

Sam Passmore, Patrick E. Savage, et al. Global relationships between musical, linguistic, and genetic diversity. *Current Biology*, 33(4):547–555, 2023. Sequence alignment of 10,062 Japanese and English folk melodies.

Helmut Schaffrath. The Essen Associative Code: A code for folk-song analysis. In *Computing in Musicology*, volume 9, pages 77–88. Center for Computer Assisted Research in the Humanities, 1995. ~8,000 European and Chinese folk songs in computer-friendly notation.

Chris Walshaw. ABC notation standard v2.1. <https://abcnotation.com/wiki/abc:standard:v2.1>, 2011. Text-based music notation, de facto standard for folk/traditional music.