

Keymaps as Social Software

Versioned Virtual Objects via Social Contract

@jeffrey

Aesthetic.Computer

ORCID: 0009-0007-4460-4913

<https://notepat.com> · <https://aesthetic.computer>

[working draft — not for citation]

Abstract. A *keymap* — the small declarative table that says “QWERTY-A plays MIDI 60” or “Z X C V B N M = C D E F G A B” — is software in every functional sense: it is versioned, it has inventors, it is forked, it propagates across binaries, and users demand cross-tool compatibility with it. But it is not a binary, not a project, not a service, and rarely an asset on anyone’s balance sheet. The standard “open-source vs. proprietary” axis cannot see it. I name this category *social software* — a deliberate pun on Shirky’s 2003 term — and argue that keymaps are its archetypal instance. Tracing the lineage from Sholes (1873) through Dvorak (1936), Colemak (2006), and the post-2010 GitHub fork ecosystem; through Janko (1882), Wicki–Hayden (1896/1986), and modern isomorphic controllers; and through the de-facto chromatic-staircase QWERTY-piano convention shared by Ableton, Logic, GarageBand, and FL Studio, I show that the category is large, coherent, and politically consequential. Reading the body of evidence through Lialina’s *Turing Complete User* [Lialina, 2012], I argue that the keymap *is* the gap her essay names — the user-authorable surface whose disappearance is the disappearance of the user as author. I close by reading notepat.com as a deliberate intervention: not an inheritor of the dominant DAW convention but a competing proposal for a better-designed keymap, offered as evidence that the social-software gap remains open for re-authorship.

1. INTRODUCTION: AN ARTIFACT

Figure 1 is a one-page diagram of the keymap shipped by notepat.com [Scudder, 2024], a chromatic keyboard instrument inside Aesthetic.Computer [Scudder, 2026]. The diagram shows a piano staff up top, a QWERTY keyboard below, and dashed lines connecting the two. The connecting principle is a slogan printed in the subtitle: *notes that name themselves*. The QWERTY letter C plays C; D plays D; E plays E; and so on through B. The upper octave continues alphabetically (H I J K L M N for C_5 through B_5). Sharps sit one row above their natural where possible, by analogy to piano black keys (V S W R Q for $C^\sharp D^\sharp F^\sharp G^\sharp A^\sharp$).

This diagram is the artifact. It is small. It contains no code. It is not a binary, not a service, not a runtime. It is a table. And yet, of every component that constitutes [notepat](https://notepat.com), the table is the one that travels — the one that anyone who plays a notepat-derived instrument across the web, AC Native, the macOS menubar, Max for Live, or the phone app must know and agree on. The table is what makes [notepat](https://notepat.com) *itself* across substrates.

This paper is about that kind of table. I will call it a *keymap*, and I will argue that keymaps form a category of computational artifact that the standard frame of computing scholarship — a frame organized around binaries, projects, and licenses — cannot see. The category is not small. Its other members include the QW-

ERTY layout itself, the Dvorak layout, Colemak and its forks, the Wicki–Hayden isomorphic note layout, the chromatic-staircase QWERTY-piano mapping shared by every major digital audio workstation, the Singmaster notation for Rubik’s-cube algorithms [Singmaster, 1981], the Nashville Number System [Matthews, 1984], Plover steno theory [Knight, 2010], fighting-game numpad notation, the Camelot Wheel [Davis, 1991], and Vim’s key-bindings, among many others.

I will call the category *social software*, deliberately punning on Shirky’s 2003 term [Shirky, 2003, Allen, 2004]. Where Shirky and his contemporaries used “social software” to designate *software that mediates social interaction* — forums, wikis, chat systems — I use it to designate *software whose existence is social agreement*. A keymap has no compiler, no runtime, no maintainer organization, and rarely a financial mechanism behind it. It exists because enough people decided to act as if it does. It persists because users demand cross-binary compatibility with it. When that compatibility breaks, users notice; when it is preserved, the keymap propagates further.

The paper proceeds in seven movements. §2 states the category problem: keymaps are software-shaped but not software, and the open-source/proprietary axis cannot see them. §3–4 trace two lineages of keymap invention — the typewriter-to-computer lineage (Sholes,

notepat.com Keymap

Aesthetic.Computer
by @jeffrey



A chromatic keyboard instrument. C-D-E-F-G-A-B play the notes they name. Sharps *mostly* sit one row above their natural — like piano black keys.




Figure 1: The notepat.com keymap. The QWERTY letter `c` plays C, `d` plays D, ..., `b` plays B; the upper octave continues alphabetically (`h i j k l m n`); sharps sit above their natural by piano-black-key analogy where possible. Two-handed split: lower octave on left hand, upper on right. Implementations: notepat.com (web), AC Native, MenuBand (macOS menubar), AC-Notepat (Max for Live), Remote (phone).

Dvorak, Colemak) and the musical-instrument lineage (Janko, Wicki-Hayden, the modern hex-isomorphic controllers). §5 examines the dominant contemporary case: the chromatic-staircase QWERTY-piano keymap that Ableton, Logic, GarageBand, and FL Studio all ship by default — an unowned convention that nobody designed, nobody maintains, and everybody implements. §6 reads notepat’s “notes that name themselves” keymap as a deliberate intervention against that incumbent: not an inheritance, not a fork, but a competing proposal for what the table should look like. §7 introduces the notation surface: every keymap has a textual form in which usage of the keymap travels, and the notation is often the more durable half of the artifact — a structural claim with Vim as the canonical positive case and the DAW chromatic-staircase as the negative one. §8 catalogs the broader genus, showing that input mappings, notation systems, and category labels share a common social-software pattern. §9 reads the body of evidence through Lialina’s *Turing Complete User*, arguing that keymaps are the empirical body of her claim that users are programmers in waiting. §10 closes with what this asks of designers: ship the keymap as a first-class object — readable, exportable, forkable — and the notation alongside it.

2. THE CATEGORY PROBLEM

A keymap is a small declarative table. The minimal form is a list of pairs: (*physical-key-event*, *semantic-output*). The QWERTY layout is the table that pairs key positions with letters; the Dvorak layout reassigns the same positions to a different set of letters; the chromatic-staircase QWERTY-piano mapping pairs each key with a MIDI note number; the Singmaster cube notation pairs each face label with a rotational operator. In all cases, the table is the artifact. The binary that consumes the table is interchangeable.

This makes keymaps difficult to locate within the prevailing frames of computing scholarship. The open-source/proprietary axis [Galloway, 2004] sorts software by the legal status of its source. A keymap has no source — it is a table, not a program. The platform-studies axis [Nieborg and Poell, 2018] sorts software by the platform it sits on. A keymap sits on *every* platform that consumes its shape. The format-theory axis [Sterne, 2012] sorts software by the spec it implements. A keymap rarely has a spec; the chromatic-staircase QWERTY-piano mapping has none, and yet four of the world’s largest DAWs all ship the same one. The protocol axis [Galloway, 2004] sorts software by the wire format it speaks. A keymap is not a wire format; it is a table consulted at the input boundary.

Sterne’s *MP3: The Meaning of a Format* [Sterne,

2012] comes closest. Sterne argues that “formats, standards, and infrastructures — and the need for content to fit inside them — are every bit as central to communication as the boxes we call ‘media.’” Substitute *keymap* for *format* and most of his apparatus carries over. But formats have specs (RFCs, ISO documents, reference implementations). Keymaps usually do not. The chromatic-staircase QWERTY-piano mapping is implemented in Ableton Live, Logic Pro, GarageBand, and FL Studio [Ableton, 2024, Apple Inc., 2024, Image-Line, 2024] without ever having been written down in a binding spec. It exists because the four implementations agree, and the four implementations agree because everybody who learned one expects the next to be the same.

Bowker and Star’s *Sorting Things Out* [Bowker and Star, 1999] treats classifications and standards as social-political objects whose persistence is sociological, not technical. A keymap is a classification: it sorts physical inputs into semantic categories. Star and Griesemer’s *boundary object* [Star and Griesemer, 1989] captures another aspect: keymaps mediate between communities of practice (typist and programmer; pianist and DAW user; cuber and cube-solver) without belonging fully to any one. Star’s *ethnography of infrastructure* [Star, 1999] captures a third: keymaps are boring, consequential, and largely invisible to the people who depend on them.

What we want to name is the conjunction of these features: a small table that is a classification (Bowker & Star), a boundary object (Star & Griesemer), an infrastructure (Star), and a format (Sterne) — but is none of those things alone. I propose *social software* for the conjunction. The pun on Shirky is the point: in 2003 the term named *software for sociality*; in 2026 it should also name *software of social agreement*. Both readings are real. A keymap is the second.

3. LINEAGE I: KEYBOARD LAYOUTS

The earliest sustained example of a keymap-as-social-software is the QWERTY layout itself. Christopher Latham Sholes patented an early typewriter with Carlos Glidden and Samuel Soulé in 1868 (US 79,265); the as-shipped QWERTY arrangement was finalized in-house by Remington’s mechanics in the spring and summer of 1873 as a manufacturing engineering decision and was *never patented* [Yasuoka and Yasuoka, 2011]. The standard folkloric account attributes the layout to anti-jam mechanics; Yasuoka and Yasuoka’s archival work locates it in the transcription practice of telegraph operators, who needed to type Morse code in real time. Either way, the layout’s persistence is sociological: it is the founding empirical case of path-dependence economics [David, 1985, Arthur, 1989], with a counter-tradition arguing the dominance has been overstated [Liebowitz and Margolis, 1990]. The David–Liebowitz dispute is the primal scene for treating an input mapping as an object whose persistence is an empirical question about social adoption



Figure 2: Three typing keymaps over the same physical substrate. Each layout is a re-binding of identical key positions to a different set of letters. QWERTY (Sholes 1873, never patented), Dvorak (Dvorak & Dealey 1936, US 2,040,248), Colemak (Coleman 2006, public domain). The post-2010 fork ecosystem extends this line.

rather than a derivable consequence of technical superiority.

August Dvorak and William Dealey patented the Dvorak Simplified Keyboard in 1936 (US 2,040,248). They claimed it was faster, less fatiguing, and more pedagogically rational than QWERTY. It propagated unevenly: the US Navy adopted it in studies during World War II; the Apple IIc shipped a Dvorak switch; modern operating systems all ship Dvorak as a selectable layout; and a small but durable community of users have used it for decades. Workman [Bucao, 2010], Colemak [Coleman, 2006], and the post-2010 fork ecosystem (Colemak-DH, Halmak, Norman) constitute a third generation. None of these layouts has a maintainer organization. None has revenue. All are versioned virtual objects propagating across forums, GitHub repositories, and operating-system layout files. The Maltron keyboard [Malt, 1977] added a hardware-coupled variant; Plover stenotype theory [Knight, 2010] extended the surface to encompass chorded shorthand. National layouts (AZERTY, QWERTZ, BÉPO) participate in the same logic, with the additional twist of formal state recognition: the French BÉPO layout, designed by a community in 2005, was folded into a 2019 AFNOR national standard — a literal observable transition from social contract to state contract.

4. LINEAGE II: INSTRUMENT LAYOUTS

Musical-instrument layouts have run a parallel history. Paul von Jankó’s six-row isomorphic piano keyboard [Janko, 1882] was endorsed by Liszt and Rubinstein, manufactured by Decker, Blüthner, and Bösendorfer, and taught at a Janko Conservatory in New York. By every social precondition for adoption it should have replaced the standard piano keyboard. It did not. Fewer than ten Janko pianos are known to survive. The Janko case is the negative pole of the keymap-as-social-software argument: every condition for propagation was met and




Figure 3: Wicki–Hayden hex layout (Wicki 1896 / Hayden 1986). Same-row neighbors differ by a whole tone; up-and-right neighbors by a perfect fourth. The C major triad ($C E G$) is highlighted: the chord is a fixed three-cell shape on the surface, and translating that shape transposes the chord to any key. “Transposition is translation” is the central isomorphic-keyboard claim, and the property the standard piano’s white-and-black asymmetry sacrifices.



Figure 4: Two stringed-instrument tunings as a small case study in asymmetric pitch interfaces. Guitar EADGBE is uniform in perfect fourths *except* the major-third between G and B (the irregularity that lets the standard chord shapes lie under the hand and that the entire literature of folk, blues, jazz, and rock guitar has been authored against). Violin keeps strict P5s but each open string is a different absolute pitch. Trumpet (3 valves with non-uniform semitone offsets), ocarina (asymmetrically clustered finger holes), and the standard piano all belong to the same family: the diatonic naming keymap embodied in many surfaces, each with its own asymmetry.

propagation still failed. Whatever the social-software contract is, it is more than the sum of endorsements, manufacturers, and conservatories.

R. H. M. Bosanquet’s generalized keyboard [Bosanquet, 1875] was a 53-equal-division-of-the-octave (53-EDO) enharmonic harmonium and a 1875 organ in 48-note schismatic and quarter-comma meantone, demonstrated to the South Kensington Museum in 1876. It is the ancestor of essentially every modern isomorphic controller. Kaspar Wicki patented an isomorphic note layout for bandoneon in 1896 [Wicki, 1896]; Brian Hayden, a concertina player, independently re-invented and re-patented essentially the same layout in 1986. The double invention by ninety years is itself an argument: the layout has the character of a *discovery*, the kind of object that recurs because the underlying structure of music makes it useful, not because it is a unique cultural artifact.

Each of these surfaces carries its own genealogy. The modern three-valve trumpet (Stölzel and Blühmel, c. 1814) standardized after a century of natural-trumpet practice; the Vienna and rotary variants retain differ-

ent valve mechanisms tied to specific orchestral traditions, and each of those mechanisms is its own keymap-over-pitch with its own pedagogical literature. The ocarina lineage runs from Mesoamerican vessel flutes through Giuseppe Donati’s 1853 standardization at Budrio, where the asymmetric finger-hole placement was the design choice that made the 10-hole pattern teachable to amateur players and propagated into Italian, then Asian, then global traditions. The guitar’s six-string EADGBE tuning crystallized in the 1700s out of Renaissance lute tunings: the B-string irregularity is itself a path-dependence story — a compromise that lets the open chord shapes lie under the hand at the cost of breaking the otherwise-uniform fourths, and the entire literature of folk, blues, jazz, and rock guitar has been authored against that single irregular interval. The violin’s strict perfect-fifths tuning (G D A E) anchors it in the Italian luthier tradition (Amati, Stradivari, Guarneri) and in the fifths-based string-section conventions that the orchestral repertoire has been written into. Each instrument’s asymmetry is not a flaw the music tolerates but an inheritance the music has been authored around. Each *shapes culture*: it determines what a beginner learns first, what a virtuoso practice exercise looks like, what teachers say to students, what notation traveled most easily. The standard piano keyboard sits inside this larger tradition, not above it; the isomorphic alternatives propose something more radical than they sometimes admit, which is the dissolution of the keymap-of-pitch-naming that connects all of these instrument families to one another.

The standard reading of Jankó’s failure — and the marginality of the Wicki–Hayden / hex-grid family more broadly — is path-dependence on the David–Liebowitz model: the piano persists by lock-in alone. A harder reading is available. The white-and-black asymmetry of the standard piano keyboard is itself a feature the repertoire has been written into. The visual-tactile alternation is a chromatic-circle landmark map — the player knows where C is because it sits left of two blacks, where F sits left of three — and this offloads absolute pitch position from memory to the eye and the proprioceptive feel of the hand. Strip the asymmetry, and the offloaded information has nowhere to land: transposition becomes translation, but absolute location becomes recall. Four centuries of Western keyboard repertoire have absorbed the asymmetry into a notion of *key character*: the physical fingering of F^\sharp major differs from the fingering of C major, and the music has been written to that differing. Isomorphism is sometimes pitched as removing an accident of history; on this reading the accident has become productive, and removing it flattens an axis of musical meaning the literature has been authored along. This does not dissolve the David–Liebowitz path-dependence story so much as cut along it from the other side: the standard piano is path-dependent *and* the path has produced an object that is not strictly equivalent to

its alternatives. The deeper move available here is to treat the Western tonal system itself as a keymap — the seven-letter diatonic naming $CDEFGAB$ is already a social-software contract over pitch space, written into musical theory, pedagogy, sheet-music conventions, and the language of every key signature. The standard piano’s white-key arrangement is the physical realization of that keymap; the black keys are the chromatic alterations notation already names with \sharp and \flat . On this reading the asymmetry of the keyboard is not an accident the music has been composed around but the literal mechanical embodiment of the underlying pitch-naming convention. Reading Jankó, Wicki, and the hex-grid family as pure lock-in on the QWERTY model misses that the standard piano is doing two jobs at once — physical interface and notational encoding — and that the alternatives propose to dissolve the second to optimize the first. The argument is scoped, however, to the 12-tone-equal-tempered repertoire that names pitches with the diatonic letters. Outside that scope — microtonal and xenharmonic music in 19-, 22-, 31-, 53-EDO; Maqam and other non-Western tonal systems where the pitch-naming keymap differs — the standard piano is actively obstructive, and the isomorphic line from Bosanquet through the Lumatone has a strong, repertoire-grounded claim. The asymmetry-as-feature reading is a defense of the standard piano *within* the keymap-of-pitch-naming it embodies; it is not a defense of the standard piano as the universal solution to keyboard layout. Within that scope, the same keymap-of-pitch-naming is what `notepat` (§6) preserves: the diatonic letters $CDEFGAB$ travel from the standard piano’s white-key arrangement onto QWERTY’s letter caps, where pressing the letter `c` plays the note C . The standard piano embodies the pitch-naming keymap with white keys and a black-key asymmetry that signals chromatic alteration; `notepat` embodies the same keymap with QWERTY letters and the row-above convention for sharps. Both are realizations of the same underlying social-software contract over Western pitch space; only the physical interface differs. Where Jankó dissolves the naming keymap to optimize the physical interface, and the chromatic-staircase DAW convention dissolves *both* (§5), `notepat` continues the spirit of the diatonic naming convention unchanged — the seven letters $CDEFGAB$ still mean the seven notes they have named for centuries — and re-asks only the question Sholes’ 1873 mechanics asked: what physical surface is the right one to carry the naming keymap forward on. The pitch-naming contract endures; the substrate underneath it is the open question, and the standard piano’s white-key arrangement is one answer in a longer historical line that QWERTY’s letter caps can also serve.

The contemporary descendants are commercial products: the C-Thru AXiS-49 (2008) [C-Thru Music, 2008], Jim Plamondon’s Thummer [Plamondon, 2003], the Roger Linn LinnStrument, the ROLI Seaboard, the Lumatone hex grid, and Lippold Haken’s Continuum

Fingerboard [Haken et al., 1998]. Andrew Milne, William Sethares, and James Plamondon’s papers on isomorphic controllers and tuning continua [Milne et al., 2007, 2008] provide the theoretical foundation. What is striking from a keymap-as-social-software view is that these instruments *ship configurable*: the layout is an explicit object the user uploads, and the xenharmonic community shares layouts as files. The Lumatone in particular treats the keymap as the unit of distribution. The instrument is a substrate; the keymap is the artifact that makes it musical.

5. THE DAW CHROMATIC-STAIRCASE: CONVERGENCE WITHOUT OWNERSHIP

The strongest contemporary case for the keymap-as-social-software argument is the de-facto chromatic-staircase QWERTY-piano keymap shared by every major digital audio workstation. Ableton Live, Logic Pro, GarageBand, and FL Studio all ship the same convention by default [Ableton, 2024, Apple Inc., 2024, Image-Line, 2024]. The shape of the convention is: take the lower three rows of the QWERTY keyboard; treat one row as the white keys of a chromatic scale, ascending from C ; and treat the row above as the black keys, in the gaps where black keys would fall on a piano. Ableton, Logic, and GarageBand use `A S D F G H J K` (home row) for the white keys $CDEFGABC$ and `W E T Y U` (row above) for the black keys $C^\sharp D^\sharp F^\sharp G^\sharp A^\sharp$. FL Studio uses the bottom row `Z X C V B N M` for the lower octave’s white keys and the same row-above pattern for the black keys. The principle is identical. The exact row assignment differs by one row.

No one designed this convention. No spec defines it. There is no governing body, no maintainer, no funding mechanism. The convention exists because the four implementations agree, and the four implementations agree because the convention was already present in the 1990s tracker software that preceded the modern DAW (FastTracker, Renoise, Impulse Tracker), and because every user who has learned one DAW expects the next to behave the same. Tutorial documentation written for one DAW transfers, more or less, to another. This is the *social-software contract* in its purest form: a versioned virtual object that propagates through pedagogy and expectation, with no central authority.

It is also, I will argue, badly designed. The chromatic-staircase keymap has three structural weaknesses. **First**, the QWERTY letter on each key bears no relation to the note name it produces. `A` plays C ; `S` plays D ; `D` plays E (Figure 5). A user who has learned the Western seven-note scale gains no purchase on the keymap from that knowledge. **Second**, the keymap is monohanded by default — the entire usable range sits on the left side of the keyboard, with the right hand idle. **Third**, the keymap is illegible without a tutorial: there is no mnemonic, no diagram printed on the physical keys, and no way to de-




Figure 5: The DAW chromatic-staircase QWERTY-piano keymap (Ableton, Logic, GarageBand variant). Home row `A S D F G H J K` plays the white keys C through C ; the row above places sharps in the gaps where the piano black keys would fall ($C^\sharp D^\sharp$ then a gap at R , $F^\sharp G^\sharp A^\sharp$, then gaps). The QWERTY letter on each cap bears no relation to the note name it produces.

rive the mapping from first principles. A keymap that requires a manual is a keymap that has lost its social-software character.

A useful contrast is the *WASD* convention in PC gaming. *WASD* has the same structural shape as the chromatic-staircase — an unowned, unspecified, cross-application user-input convention — but a different propagation history. Pre-*WASD*, PC games defaulted to arrow keys (Wolfenstein 3D, Doom, the Quake series through 1997) [Wikipedia contributors, 2026]; intermediate experiments included System Shock’s *ASDX* (1994) and Descent’s *AZ+QE*. Dennis “Thresh” Fong, a competitive Quake player, popularized the *WASD* layout through his self-published configuration guide [Fong, 1997] and won the first nationwide Quake tournament in 1997. Valve’s *Half-Life* shipped *WASD* as default in 1998, and by the early 2000s the convention had saturated PC games [Fenlon, 2016]. *WASD* persists for a reason the DAW chromatic-staircase does not: it is genuinely better than the official default. It frees the right hand for the mouse, sits adjacent to Shift / Space / Ctrl / Tab, and exploits home-row finger placement on a QWERTY keyboard. The structural lesson is that propagation is a function of social mediation *and* fitness: *WASD* propagated because Fong’s pedagogy reached players *and* the mapping won on the merits. The chromatic-staircase propagated through pedagogy alone, despite the merits running the other way. Both cases sit in the same category — unowned conventions that govern user input across applications. They demonstrate that the category is large enough to span both the well-designed and the badly-designed, the user-validated and the inherited-by-inertia.

6. NOTEPAT: NOTES THAT NAME THEMSELVES

Against this incumbent, *notepat* proposes a different keymap (Figure 1). The design principle is single: *notes that name themselves*. The QWERTY letter `c` plays C . The QWERTY letter `d` plays D . The seven naturals of

the Western scale — $C D E F G A B$ — play the notes their letters name. The upper octave continues alphabetically: $H I J K L M N$ for $C_5 D_5 E_5 F_5 G_5 A_5 B_5$. Sharps sit one row above their natural, by analogy to the black keys of a piano, where the QWERTY letters allow it: `v s w r q` for $C^\sharp D^\sharp F^\sharp G^\sharp A^\sharp$. The lower octave falls under the left hand; the upper octave falls under the right.

The keymap reverses each of the chromatic-staircase’s three weaknesses. **First**, the letter on each key *is* the note name. Anyone who knows the Western scale already knows the keymap. **Second**, the layout is two-handed by default: the left hand plays the lower octave; the right hand mirrors it on the upper. **Third**, the keymap is self-documenting: the diagram in Figure 1 can be reproduced from memory by anyone who can spell “*CDEFGAB*.”

notepat’s contribution is not the implementation. The implementation is, by design, modest [Scudder, 2024]: a few hundred lines of JavaScript routing keyboard events to an audio synthesis API. The contribution is the keymap. *notepat* is presented across six implementations — the web piece at *notepat.com*, the AC Native bare-metal port, the MenuBand macOS menubar app, the AC-Notepat Max for Live device, the Remote phone app, and a VST3 scaffold — and the keymap is the one thing that is identical across all of them. It is the *notepat*-shaped social-software contract.

This is the move the paper is asking the reader to see. The dominant DAW chromatic-staircase keymap was never *chosen* — it was *inherited* from tracker software, persisted by user expectation, and locked in by cross-application convention. *notepat* demonstrates that the gap is open: that a deliberately-designed alternative can be authored by a single developer in a few hundred lines of code, shipped across multiple implementations in a year, and offered to a wider community as a competing social-software contract. The political stake is small in any one instance and large in aggregate: *the gap is open everywhere*.

7. NOTATION: THE DISCURSIVE SURFACE

The argument so far has treated the keymap as a table. But a keymap is not only a table. It also has a *notation* — a textual form in which usage of the keymap is read, written, taught, cited, and argued over. The notation is the discursive surface of the keymap. It is also, I will argue, the half of the keymap that actually travels. A keymap whose notation is portable propagates as text; a keymap whose notation is awkward or absent propagates only as muscle memory. The quality of the notation is therefore a designable property of the keymap, not a derivative artifact. A designer who ships only the binding-table has shipped half of the object.

The canonical case is Vim. Vim’s underlying keymap is a table mapping keystrokes to editor commands: `d` initiates a delete operator, `i` an inner text-object, `w` a




Figure 6: Vim’s modal keymap and its travelling notation. Modes (NORMAL, INSERT, VISUAL) are connected by labeled keystroke transitions; common commands (`dd` delete-line, `ciw` change-inner-word, `gg` go-top, `:wq` write-and-quit, etc.) are the discursive currency of the keymap. The notation is so portable it has been re-implemented in VS Code, JupyterLab, Vimium, the macOS Cocoa text system, and `set -o vi`.

word motion. What travels in Vim discourse, however, is not the table. What travels is a *notation*: `dd`, `ciw`, `gg`, `:wq`, `<C-w>` (Figure 6). Tutorials, blog posts, README files, vimtutor, and the long-running vim-vs-emacs argument are all conducted in this notation. The notation is so portable that it survives outside Vim entirely: *vim mode* appears in Visual Studio Code, in JupyterLab, in shells via `set -o vi`, in macOS Cocoa text fields, in browsers via Vimium. What is being ported is the notation. The runtime is contingent.

The DAW chromatic-staircase is the negative case. There is no portable notation for it. Writing a melody played on Ableton’s musical typing requires falling back to event-sequence prose — “press **A**, then **S**, then **D**” — which describes input rather than music. Compare to Singmaster cube notation [Singmaster, 1981] (Figure 7), where `R U R' U' R U2 R'` lets a Rubik’s-cube algorithm travel intact between cubers; or to the Nashville Number System [Matthews, 1984, Williams, 1988], where “1–4–5” lets a chord progression travel between session musicians; or to Plover steno theory [Knight, 2010], where the chord notation lets stenographic patterns travel through a community-edited dictionary. The DAW staircase has no such handle. Its keys are addressable only by their physical position. The keymap therefore exists in muscle memory and rarely on the page — which is exactly why it has lower discursive presence than Vim despite an arguably larger user base.

notepat’s “notes-name-themselves” design has, as a structural side-effect, a notation that costs nothing to learn. To write a notepat sequence, one writes `C D E F G A B` — which is also standard music notation. The notation is not invented for notepat; it is *inherited* from an existing literacy. A pianist who has never seen a notepat keymap can read a notepat score; conversely, a notepat performer who learns the keymap is also rehearsing standard pitch-letter literacy. This is what a well-designed notation surface looks like: the notation is so trivially derivable from prior knowledge that learning the notation costs nothing. Ableton’s chromatic-staircase, in contrast, would require a notepat-like score to be re-coded




Figure 7: Singmaster’s cube notation [Singmaster, 1981]. Each capital letter names a face (Up, Down, Left, Right, Front, Back); a bare letter is a clockwise quarter-turn, a primed letter (') a counter-clockwise quarter-turn, and a digit-2 a half-turn. The seven-token string `R U R' U' R U2 R'` is a complete algorithm portable between cubers, GitHub repos, and YouTube tutorials — the keymap-notation pair traveling as text.

as `A S D F G H J` — a private notation tethered to one keymap, useful nowhere else.

The empirical literature on keyboard shortcut adoption supports this reading. Peres et al. found that working alongside other shortcut users is a stronger predictor of shortcut use than computer experience alone [Peres et al., 2004]. Lozhkina et al. extend the result: the discovery channel for shortcuts is interpersonal and visual [Lozhkina et al., 2025]. Wang et al. explicitly use the term *vocabulary* to name what shortcut design hands a user [Wang et al., 2020]. The argument of this paper sharpens those findings: the social mediation is not a generic effect of being-around-other-users; it is a specific transmission via the notation surface. Colleagues read each other’s screens, see `:wq`, ask, learn. Where there is no transmissible notation, there is no social mediation to mediate. The same logic explains the success of live-coding mini-notations: Alex McLean and Geraint Wiggins’s TidalCycles pattern language [McLean and Wiggins, 2010], ported to JavaScript as Strudel [Roos and McLean, 2023], and Olivia Jack’s Hydra transform-chains for live-coded visuals [Jack, 2018], alongside ABC notation for folk music [Walshaw, 2011]. In each case the runtime is contingent — Haskell, JavaScript, WebGL, MIDI players — but the notation carries the practice across runtimes, workshops, and decades.

The corollary catalog of §8 is, when read through this lens, almost entirely a catalog of notations. Singmaster, Nashville, ABC, IPA, Camelot, fighting-game numpad notation, scientific pitch, the NATO spelling alphabet, knitting abbreviations — each is a notation derived from a keymap (or input mapping) that allowed the underlying practice to travel as text. The keymap-notation pair is the unit. The keymap supplies the affordance; the notation supplies the propagation surface.

The structural claim has a deeper diagnostic. Walter Ong’s distinction between orality and literacy [Ong,

1982], building on Havelock’s account of the same transition in ancient Greece [Havelock, 1963], cuts the question cleanly. *Oral* traditions persist through performance, person-to-person transmission, and repeated approximation; they have no canonical text, mutate slightly across instances, and survive because performers keep performing them. *Literate* traditions persist through fixed text, authoritative editions, and exact reproduction; they have specs, canons, and reference implementations. The standard frames of computing scholarship are all literate frames: an open-source license governs a fixed text, a protocol governs a fixed wire format, a format governs a fixed encoding. The DAW chromatic-staircase is a primary-oral keymap — there is no canonical text, only four implementations that agree by performance. Vim has both an oral substrate (one learns the keymap by watching another developer’s screen) and a literate edge (the notation: `:wq, <C-w>, ciw`). `notepad` collapses the literate side into the standing literacy of pitch-letter notation: the keymap inherits its written form from a centuries-older literate practice. The oral substrate is the keymap; the notation is the literate fixation; where the latter succeeds, the former gains a body that travels. The orality-literacy axis is the diagnosis of why keymaps escape the open-source/proprietary frame at all: the standard frames are literate frames, but the keymap is at least partly oral, and the absence of a literate fixation in academic and industrial discourse alike is the symptom that gave this paper its motivating question.

The same orality-literacy axis explains a feature of the keymap literature: there isn’t much of one. The PC Gamer history of WASD [Fenlon, 2016] is the canonical popular-press source on a convention that controls hundreds of millions of hours of gameplay; the equivalent academic literature is essentially empty. That is not because keymaps are unimportant. It is because the existing apparatus of computing scholarship — specs, RFCs, source-code repositories — is calibrated for literate artifacts, and a keymap is partly oral. The paper that does not exist is exactly the one this paper argues should.

8. THE COROLLARY CATALOG

The keymap is one instance of a much larger genus: *versioned virtual objects that propagate via social contract, not via binaries*. Table 1 catalogs the major species. The catalog is not exhaustive. Its purpose is to show that the genus is real and large.

Several patterns emerge. First, the genus spans input mappings (QWERTY, Vim, WASD), notational systems (Singmaster, Nashville, IPA), category labels (controller buttons, CSS colors), and community-authored initialisms (the NATO spelling alphabet; the LGBTQ+ acronym, whose revision history — LGB → LGBT → LGBTQ → LGBTQIA → LGBTQIA2S+ — is itself a versioned-without-governing-body process documented in style guides [GLAAD, 2024]). What uni-

fies them is not the medium but the *role*: each is a small declarative table consulted at a boundary between human and machine, between communities of practice, or between competing implementations. Second, the named-inventor pattern is highly variable. Some objects have a single named inventor (Singmaster, Coleman, Davis); others have convergent or anonymous origins (DAW chromatic-staircase, fighting-game numpad notation); others are community-versioned with named additions (the LGBTQ+ initialism); others are federation-crystallized after a period of community competition (algebraic chess, BÉPO). Third, the propagation mechanism is almost always *not* a binary. It is pedagogy, expectation, citation, or written reference — the apparatus of a literate community.

The boundary of the category is worth drawing crisply. **Open formats** like PNG and MP3 are governed by specifications and reference implementations [Sterne, 2012] — closer to format than to social software. **Open protocols** like HTTP and IRC have RFCs and steward bodies — Galloway’s *Protocol* [Galloway, 2004] covers this terrain. **Folksonomies** (tags, hashtags) are content-classification rather than interface-as-object. **Memes** propagate but are content. The keymap is distinct from all of these: *a small declarative table that mediates input/output, with no governing body, that nonetheless behaves as if standardized*.

9. READING THROUGH LIALINA

Olia Lialina’s essay *Turing Complete User* [Lialina, 2012, 2021] is the load-bearing theoretical anchor for this paper. Lialina argues that the category “user” is being quietly eliminated from contemporary software design, replaced by a register that addresses people without acknowledging the agency that made them users in the first place. The argument is moral and political: “We need to take care of this word because addressing people and not users hides the existence of two classes of people — developers and users” [Lialina, 2012]. Her thesis is that users are programmers in waiting; the substrate must remain programmable for the agency to be real.

The keymap, I argue, is exactly the surface where Lialina’s argument finds material expression. Lialina names the condition that makes user-authorship possible: “a situation when the work flow of an application has gaps that can be filled by users, where smoothness and seamlessness are broken” [Lialina, 2012]. The keymap is such a gap. The hardware is fixed; the binary is closed; but the table mapping QWERTY-A to MIDI-60 is user-authorable, user-versionable, and user-demanded across applications. When applications close that gap — bake in one mapping, refuse alternatives, hide the table behind a UX layer that admits no edits — the user-as-author dies in that surface. This is what Lialina, in her companion essay [Lialina, 2018], calls *desktopization*: the colonization of user-configurable surfaces by managed

Table 1: Selected versioned virtual objects propagating via social contract. “Originator” lists the named inventor where one exists; “*conv.*” indicates a convergent or anonymous origin. “Propagation” names the principal mechanism by which the object travels.

Object	Originator (year)	Domain	Propagation mechanism
QWERTY	Sholes (1873)	Typing	Manufacturer adoption → ISO/AFNOR → OS layout files
Dvorak	Dvorak & Dealey (1936)	Typing	US Patent → US Navy → user-installed OS layouts
Colemak	Coleman (2006)	Typing	colemak.com + GitHub forks (Workman, Halmak, Colemak-DH)
BÉPO	Community (2005) → AFNOR (2019)	Typing	Community → state standard
Janko	Jankó (1882)	Music	<i>Failed propagation</i> — negative case study
Wicki–Hayden	Wicki (1896) / Hayden (1986)	Music	Concertina + bandoneon community → modern MPE controllers
Bosanquet	Bosanquet (1875)	Music	Microtonal community → Lumatone
DAW chromatic-staircase	<i>conv.</i> (Trackers, 1990s)	Music	Cross-DAW pedagogy; user expectation
WASD movement (PC games)	Fong (1996–97); Half-Life (1998)	Games	Competitive-Quake pedagogy → Half-Life default → industry convention [Fenlon, 2016, Fong, 1997]
Notepat “names themselves”	Scudder (2024)	Music	Cross-implementation contract within Aesthetic Computer
Plover steno theory	Knight (2010s)	Typing	GitHub + community-forked dictionaries
Vim keybindings	Joy (1976) → Moolenaar (1991)	Editing	“Vim keybindings everywhere” lists; macOS Cocoa text fields
Singmaster cube notation	Singmaster (1981)	Puzzle	Penguin booklet → universal cuber adoption
Algebraic chess notation	Stamma (1737) → FIDE (1981)	Game	Federation crystallization of competing community conventions
Nashville Number System	Matthews (1957) / Williams (1988)	Music	Nashville session-musician oral tradition + self-published book
ABC notation	Walshaw (1980s)	Music	Plain-text folk-tune sharing in mailing lists
Helmholtz / Scientific pitch	Helmholtz (1863) / Sauveur (1713)	Music	Acoustics textbooks + MIDI documentation
Camelot Wheel	Davis (1991)	DJing	Mixed In Key + every key-detection tool
Numpad notation (236P, 623K)	<i>conv.</i> (Japanese FGC)	Fighting games	Wikis, matchmaking subreddits
TidalCycles mini-notation	McLean & Wiggins (2010)	Live-coded music	Tidal Club + workshops + GitHub patterns [McLean and Wiggins, 2010]
Strudel mini-notation	Roos & McLean (2023)	Live-coded music	Browser editor + ICLC pedagogy; ported from Tidal [Roos and McLean, 2023]
Hydra transform chains	Jack (2018)	Live-coded visuals	Browser + gists + <code>sketch.hydra.ojack.xyz</code> [Jack, 2018]
IPA	IPA Association (1888)	Phonetics	Federation-standardized; revised at Kiel 1989
NATO spelling alphabet	ICAO (1956)	Aviation	Federation-standardized
LGBTQ+ initialism	Community (1980s onward)	Identity terminology	Style guides (GLAAD); community-revised through use, no governing-body fiat [GLAAD, 2024]
Controller buttons (A/B/X/Y, \triangle \circ \times)	Nintendo (1990) / Goto (1994)	Games	Cross-platform ports must ship both labels
CSS named colors	X11 <code>rgb.txt</code> (1987) → SVG 1.0 (2001)	Web	Inherited unchanged across browser implementations
Knitting abbreviations	Craft Yarn Council / UK convention	Craft	Printed in every pattern

UX. The keymap is the canary.

The corollary catalog of §8 sharpens the point. Each entry in Table 1 is a surface on which a user community has authored a small declarative table that the underlying technology did not require. The Western scale does not require ABC notation; the QWERTY keyboard does not require Plover steno theory; the Rubik’s cube does not require Singmaster notation; the chromatic 12-tone equal-tempered scale does not require notepat’s “names-themselves” keymap. Each is a piece of user-authored convention that survives and propagates because a community decided it should.

The political stake of *Turing Complete User* is the preservation of these surfaces. The contribution of this paper is to give the surfaces a name — *social software* — and to demonstrate, by enumeration, that the category is large enough to be politically consequential. The argument of §7 sharpens the political claim: where the keymap has a portable notation, the user’s authorship is legible to other users; where it has none, the keymap dies in muscle memory and the social-software contract becomes invisible even to the people upholding it. The disappearance of any one keymap is small. The disappearance of the entire user-authorable layer is the disappearance of Lialina’s user. The disappearance of the *notation surface* is the disappearance of the user’s voice, in addition to the user’s hand.

10. WHAT THIS ASKS OF DESIGNERS

If the argument of §9 is right — if keymaps are the empirical body of the Turing Complete User — then a small, concrete request follows for designers of any system that consumes a keymap. **Ship the keymap as a first-class object.** Make it readable: print the table somewhere a user can see it. Make it exportable: let a user save the table to a file. Make it forkable: let a user load a different table. Make the format documented: prefer a plain-text table to an opaque binary. Prefer a published convention to a private one. Prefer a community-shared keymap to a vendor-only one.

And ship the notation as a first-class object too (§7). The keymap is half the artifact; the notation is the other half. A keymap whose notation is awkward, untranscribable, or invented from scratch will sit dormant in muscle memory. A keymap whose notation is terse, typographic, and derivable from existing community literacy will travel as text, propagate through tutorials, and survive its current runtime. The two design questions are inseparable: when you choose a binding, you are also choosing how that binding will be written about, taught, and ported.

notepat ships its keymap as Figure 1 — a one-page diagram, freely reproducible, present in every implementation. The DAW chromatic-staircase ships its keymap as a tutorial buried in a manual, identical across appli-

cations by accident, owned by no one and contestable by no one. The difference is not technical. The difference is whether the social-software contract is treated as an explicit object or an implicit one.

11. CONCLUSION

A keymap is a small declarative table. It is not a binary. It is not a service. It is not a project. The standard frames of computing scholarship cannot see it. And yet keymaps are versioned, named, forked, and demanded across applications — they are software in every sense that matters. I have argued that they constitute a category, called the category *social software*, traced two lineages that produced it, examined the dominant contemporary case (the DAW chromatic-staircase) and a deliberate intervention against it (notepat’s notes-that-name-themselves), shown that each keymap has a *notation surface* that is often the half of the artifact that actually travels, enumerated a broader catalog of corollaries that are themselves predominantly notations, and read the body of evidence through Lialina’s *Turing Complete User* as evidence for her claim that users are programmers in waiting. The disappearance of any single keymap is small. The disappearance of the entire user-authorable layer is the political problem Lialina names.

The methodological contribution is a unit of analysis: the keymap-and-its-notation as the first-class object of computing scholarship that the binary, the project, the platform, and the format together cannot resolve. Read through Ong, the keymap is the partly-oral artifact and the notation is its literate edge — which is why neither the keymap alone nor the notation alone is enough, and why the standard frames of computing scholarship, calibrated as they are for fully-literate artifacts, miss the category entirely. The political contribution is a small request: *ship the keymap as a first-class object, and the notation alongside it.*

References

- Ableton. Computer MIDI keyboard. Live Manual, 2024. Home row *A–K* = white keys *C–E₅*; row above (*WE TYU*) = black keys *C_♯D_♯F_♯G_♯A_♯*. Same chromatic-staircase convention shared with Logic Pro and GarageBand.
- Christopher Allen. Tracing the evolution of social software, October 2004. *Life With Alacrity*, 13 October 2004. <https://www.lifewithalacrity.com/article/tracing-the-evolution-of-social-software/>.
- Apple Inc. Use musical typing in Logic Pro. Logic Pro User Guide, 2024. <https://support.apple.com/guide/logicpro/play-software-instruments-lgcpb19cbd34/mac>.
- W. Brian Arthur. Competing technologies, increasing

- returns, and lock-in by historical events. *Economic Journal*, 99(394):116–131, 1989.
- R. H. M. Bosanquet. Generalized keyboard, 1875. 53-EDO enharmonic harmonium and 1875 organ in 48-note schismatic and quarter-comma meantone, demonstrated to the South Kensington Museum 1876. Ancestor of modern isomorphic controllers.
- Geoffrey C. Bowker and Susan Leigh Star. *Sorting Things Out: Classification and Its Consequences*. MIT Press, 1999.
- OJ Bucuo. Workman keyboard layout, 2010. Released Labor Day 2010. <https://workmanlayout.org/>.
- C-Thru Music. AXiS-49 USB music interface. Hardware product release, June 2008. Hex isomorphic MIDI controller using Peter Davies’s 1983 “Harmonic Table” layout. https://www.c-thru-music.com/cgi/?page=prod_axis-49.
- Shai Coleman. Colemak keyboard layout, 2006. Released 1 January 2006. <https://colemak.com/>.
- Paul A. David. Clio and the economics of QWERTY. *American Economic Review*, 75(2):332–337, 1985. Founding empirical case of path-dependence economics.
- Mark Davis. Camelot wheel (Easymix System), 1991. Now the de facto DJ harmonic-mixing notation across key-detection tools. <https://mixedinkey.com/camelot-wheel/>.
- Wes Fenlon. How WASD became the standard PC control scheme. PC Gamer, 2016. The canonical popular-press history of WASD’s emergence from competitive Quake culture (Dennis “Thresh” Fong, 1996–97), industry adoption via Half-Life (Valve, 1998), and saturation across PC games by the early 2000s. <https://www.pcgamer.com/how-wasd-became-the-standard-pc-control-scheme/>.
- Dennis “Thresh” Fong. Thresh’s Quake bible. Self-published online guide, 1997. Competitive-Quake configuration guide that described the WASD layout as an “inverted T” and is widely credited as the proximate cause of the convention’s spread among players. Original site long-defunct; archived copies circulate via the Internet Archive.
- Alexander R. Galloway. *Protocol: How Control Exists After Decentralization*. MIT Press, 2004.
- GLAAD. GLAAD media reference guide. <https://glaad.org/reference>, 2024. Style reference documenting the revision history of the LGBTQ+ initialism (LGB → LGBT → LGBTQ → LGBTQIA → LGBTQIA2S+).
- Cited here strictly for the acronymic / versioned-without-governing-body parallel; substantive treatment of identity-terminology politics is out of scope for the present paper.
- Lippold Haken, Ed Tellman, and Patrick Wolfe. An indiscrete music keyboard. *Computer Music Journal*, 22(1):30–48, 1998. doi: 10.2307/3681043. Canonical paper for the Continuum Fingerboard. First commercial unit sold 1999 by Haken Audio.
- Eric A. Havelock. *Preface to Plato*. Harvard University Press, 1963. The transition from oral to literate culture in ancient Greece. Cited here as the predecessor to Ong’s framework.
- Image-Line. Typing keyboard to piano keyboard. FL Studio Online Manual, 2024. Default mapping: lower octave $Z-M = C_4-B_4$ (white), $S-J =$ black; upper octave $Q-U = C_5-B_5$ (white), $2-7 =$ black. Same chromatic-staircase convention as Ableton, Logic, GarageBand. User-configurable via `.scr` files in `System/Config/Typing to piano/`. <https://www.image-line.com/fl-studio-learning/fl-studio-online-manual/>.
- Olivia Jack. Hydra: Live coding networked visuals. Open-source software, <https://hydra.ojack.xyz/>, 2018. Browser-based live-coding visual environment. The Hydra notation (chained transform calls like `osc(20).rotate(0.5).out()`) propagates through workshop pedagogy, gist sharing, and `sketch.hydra.ojack.xyz` examples — a visuals counterpart to Tidal’s audio mini-notation.
- Paul von Janko. Janko keyboard, 1882. Six-row isomorphic piano keyboard. Endorsed by Liszt and Rubinstein; manufactured by Decker, Blüthner, Bösendorfer; failed to propagate.
- Mirabai Knight. Plover and the Open Steno Project, 2010. <http://plover.stenoknight.com/>. Open-source stenography engine; QWERTY-to-steno overlay turns any NKRO keyboard into a steno machine.
- Olia Lialina. Turing complete user. Contemporary Home Computing, October 2012. <https://contemporary-home-computing.org/turing-complete-user/>. Reissued 2021 as the lead essay of the open-access Interface Critique book *Turing Complete User: Resisting Alienation in Human Computer Interaction*, Heidelberg University Press.
- Olia Lialina. Rich user experience, UX and desk-topization of war. *Interface Critique Journal*, 1, 2018. <https://interfacecritique.net/journal/volume-1/lialina-rich-user-experience/>.
- Olia Lialina. *Turing Complete User: Resisting Alienation in Human Computer Interaction*. Interface Critique. Heidelberg University Press, 2021. Open access.

- Stan J. Liebowitz and Stephen E. Margolis. The fable of the keys. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 33(1):1–25, 1990. Counters David 1985; canonical reply in the QWERTY debate.
- Anastasia Lozhkina, Craig Anslow, and Nicolai Marquardt. The role of social interactions in the interaction discovery of keyboard shortcuts. In *Proceedings of the 36th Conference on l’Interaction Humain-Machine (IHM ’25)*. ACM, 2025. doi: 10.1145/3765712.3765714. Confirms and extends Peres et al. 2004: keyboard shortcut discovery is interpersonal and visual, with the readable form of the shortcut as the unit of transmission.
- Lillian G. Malt. Keyboard design in the electronic era. In *PIRA Symposium: Printing Industry Research Association*, number Symposium Paper No. 6, Leatherhead, UK, September 1977. Canonical primary reference for the Maltron layout, developed with Stephen Hobday.
- Neil Matthews, Jr. *The Nashville Numbering System: An Aid to Playing by Ear*. Hal Leonard Studio Series. Hal Leonard, 1984. ISBN 0881883352. The inventor’s own book. Matthews of the Jordanaires devised the system in the late 1950s (commonly dated 1957) for vocal-arrangement shorthand; Charlie McCoy extended it to whole-song chord charts in the early 1960s.
- Alex McLean and Geraint Wiggins. Tidal: Pattern language for the live coding of music. In *Proceedings of the 7th Sound and Music Computing Conference (SMC ’10)*, 2010. The original TidalCycles mini-notation: a small declarative pattern language for cyclic events, embedded in Haskell. The mini-notation has since been ported to Strudel (web) and is taught as a stand-alone notation in workshops and Tidal Club.
- Andrew J. Milne, William A. Sethares, and James Plamondon. Isomorphic controllers and dynamic tuning: Invariant fingering over a tuning continuum. *Computer Music Journal*, 31(4):15–32, 2007. doi: 10.1162/comj.2007.31.4.15.
- Andrew J. Milne, William A. Sethares, and James Plamondon. Tuning continua and keyboard layouts. *Journal of Mathematics and Music*, 2(1):1–19, March 2008. doi: 10.1080/17459730701869558. Peer-reviewed theoretical foundation for the Thummer / Wicki–Hayden / hex isomorphic family.
- David B. Nieborg and Thomas Poell. The platformization of cultural production: Theorizing the contingent cultural commodity. *New Media & Society*, 20(11):4275–4292, 2018. doi: 10.1177/1461444818769694.
- Walter J. Ong. *Orality and Literacy: The Technologizing of the Word*. Routledge, 1982. The canonical articulation of the orality–literacy distinction. Oral traditions persist through performance and approximation; literate traditions persist through fixed text and exact reproduction. Used here as the deep diagnostic for why keymaps escape the standard frames of computing scholarship.
- S. Camille Peres, Franklin P. Tamborello, Michael D. Fleetwood, Phillip Chung, and Danielle L. Paige-Smith. Keyboard shortcut usage: The roles of social factors and computer experience. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, 48(5):803–807, 2004. doi: 10.1177/154193120404800513. Found that working alongside other shortcut users is a stronger predictor of shortcut adoption than computer experience alone — empirical foundation for the social-software claim about how keymaps actually propagate.
- Jim Plamondon. Jammer keyboard (Thummer). Thumtronics prototype, September 2003. Wicki–Hayden isomorphic prototype; Thumtronics disbanded 2009. Theory developed in Milne, Sethares & Plamondon (2008).
- Felix Roos and Alex McLean. Strudel: Live coding on the web. In *International Conference on Live Coding (ICLC ’23)*, 2023. Strudel ports TidalCycles’ mini-notation to JavaScript. The notation, not the runtime, is what travels — a live-coding case of the notation-as-discursive-surface argument.
- Jeffrey Scudder. Notepat “notes that name themselves” keymap. notepat.com / Aesthetic Computer, 2024. Letter *C* plays C_4 , *D* plays D_4 , ..., *B* plays B_4 ; upper octave *H–N* continues alphabetically for $C_5–B_5$; sharps *VSWRQ* sit above their natural by piano-black-key analogy. Two-handed split: lower octave on left hand, upper on right. Implementations: notepat.com (web), AC Native, MenuBand (macOS menubar), AC-Notepat (Max for Live), Remote (phone).
- Jeffrey Scudder. Aesthetic computer ’26, 2026. <https://aesthetic.computer>.
- Clay Shirky. A group is its own worst enemy, 2003. ETech keynote, Santa Clara, 24 April 2003. <http://shirky.com/2003/07/>. Crystallizes the 2003 reclamation of “social software”.
- David Singmaster. *Notes on Rubik’s “Magic Cube”*. Penguin Books, 1981. Singmaster notation is now used universally for cube algorithms.
- Susan Leigh Star. The ethnography of infrastructure. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 43(3):377–391, November 1999. doi: 10.1177/00027649921955326.
- Susan Leigh Star and James R. Griesemer. Institutional ecology, “translations” and boundary objects: Amateurs and professionals in Berkeley’s Museum of Ver-

tebrate Zoology, 1907–39. *Social Studies of Science*, 19(3):387–420, 1989.

Jonathan Sterne. *MP3: The Meaning of a Format*. Duke University Press, 2012. Closest scholarly precedent for treating a technical convention as a cultural object. Substitute “keymap” for “format” and most of the apparatus carries over.

Chris Walshaw. ABC notation standard, v2.1, 2011. Originated late 1980s; abc2mtex 1993. <https://abcnotation.com/wiki/abc:standard:v2.1>.

Yu Shih Wang, Melanie Tory, and Angus G. Forbes. KeyMap: Improving keyboard shortcut vocabulary using norman’s mapping. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, 2020. doi: 10.1145/3313831.3376483. Applies Norman’s natural-mapping principle to keyboard shortcut design. Uses “vocabulary” as the unit of analysis — already half-way to the notation argument made in this paper.

Kaspar Wicki. Wicki note layout, 1896. Patented for bandoneon; independently re-invented and re-patented by Brian Hayden in 1986 — the layout is now known as Wicki–Hayden.

Wikipedia contributors. Arrow keys. Wikipedia, 2026. Tertiary reference summarizing the arrow-key vs. WASD transition; cites pre-WASD experiments such as System Shock’s ASDX (1994) and Descent’s AZ+QE (1995). https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arrow_keys.

Chas Williams. *The Nashville Number System*. Self-published, Nashville, TN, 1988. ISBN 0963090666. The most-cited modern reference; now in its 7th+ edition. <https://nashvillenumbersystem.com/>.

Koichi Yasuoka and Motoko Yasuoka. On the prehistory of QWERTY. *ZINBUN*, (42):161–174, March 2011. Locates the 1873 layout in telegraph-operator transcription practice rather than anti-jam mechanics. The 1873 reorganization to the as-shipped layout was an unpatented Remington manufacturing decision; the only Sholes patents are US 79,265 (1868, earlier layout) and US 207,559 (1878, post-shipping variant). <http://kanji.zinbun.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~yasuoka/publications/PreQWERTY.html>.