



Take the Psychology Out of Posting

A Marketing Output Function for @oskiewar
Four Stages from a Date to a Finished Instagram Reel

@jeffrey

Aesthetic.Computer — 8 August 2026

ORCID: 0009-0007-4460-4913

<https://aesthetic.computer>

Abstract. A social account for a game is normally a person deciding, daily, what is worth showing. This report describes and measures the replacement: a four-stage function that takes a date and returns a finished, spec-checked 1080×1920 Instagram Reel with nobody choosing anything. Source turns the date into a slot number and the slot number into a market segment and a seed, by arithmetic. Render drives the real game in headless Chrome and records the real screen and the real synthesized audio. Dress writes only words — caption, tags, cover, and a conformance check against Meta’s published Reels table. Publish is a dry run that prints the exact bytes it would send. Three reels built on this machine on 8–9 August 2026 pass all ten checks the file can answer, at 2.4–2.8× realtime, 94–111 seconds of wall clock apiece. Two results are negative and are reported as such. First, a seed reproduces a match’s *identity* — the same string produced match `ow-chetty900` on three separate runs — but not its frames, because the browser advances the simulation on the wall clock and tick alignment jitters between runs; the copy in the pipeline is written to claim only the first, and should not be upgraded. Second, inspection of the finished files contradicts the pipeline’s own account of itself in three places: every reel opens on roughly 2.7 seconds of the *previous* round’s result card and closes on roughly 0.6 seconds of the *next* round’s intro; the cover frame is sampled at a fixed six seconds and therefore lands, in all three, on two motionless figures during the countdown; and two of the three carry about 19 seconds of near-silence, with AAC tracks at 21.7 and 22.2 kbps against the 128 kbps Meta’s table names and the spec check does not read. Two defects in the game were found and one was fixed: `saveReplay` posts to an absolute production URL, so every marketing render was filing bot-versus-bot sparring into the live replay store next to matches real people played, now intercepted by rewriting `fetch` in the page; and `matchWins = 5` is never accumulated, so a “match” is one round today. Platform behaviour is cited only from Meta-owned pages read on 8 August 2026, including a contradiction Meta has not resolved — the content-publishing guide says 100 API-published posts per 24 hours and the `content_publishing_limit` reference says 50 — which is quoted rather than settled. The principal limitation is blunt: stage four has never been run. The @oskiewar account does not exist, so `uploadPublic`, `publishLive`, `remainingQuota`, and `pullInsights` have been written and read and never executed against the live API.

1. THE ASK

oskiewar is a two-player fighting game that runs in a browser, on an Xbox in Developer Mode, and nowhere a stranger can find it [17, 18]. The gap between “it works” and “someone plays it” is distribution, and on a phone in 2026 distribution means short vertical video.

The usual way to close that gap is a person: someone plays, notices a good moment, clips it, writes something, posts it. That person has taste, and taste is the problem. Taste is expensive, it is not available daily, and — for a solo developer who would rather be writing the game — it silently converts into not posting at all. The instruction that produced this system was to take the psychology out of it: make posting an output function, not a decision.

An output function has a hard property. Given a date, it returns an artifact, and if it returns nothing that is a bug rather than a mood. The operator’s job shrinks to watching three videos and saying yes. This report describes the four stages that function is built from,

measures three reels it produced, checks what it claims against what the files actually contain, and establishes from Meta’s own documentation what the last stage will meet when it is finally allowed to run.

2. METHOD AND EVIDENCE

Three bodies of evidence, and one exclusion.

The code is the repository working tree at commit `daf4372f1` [1]: `xbox/live/marketing/` — `source.mjs` (93 lines), `render.mjs` (367), `dress.mjs` (73), `publish.mjs` (209), `segments.mjs` (129), `shell.mjs` (135), `reel.mjs` (153) — plus `xbox/live/oskiewar.js` (6,404 lines) and `xbox/live/mac-test.html` (1,027). Claims about behaviour are grep-checked against that source. Where the operator’s manual [14] and the source disagree, the source wins and the divergence is stated.

The artifacts are the three reels staged in `tmp/oskiewar-reels/queue/` on 9 August 2026 (UTC): slots 660, 661, and 662, at `2026-08-09-s0-fgc`, `-s1-gamedev`,

Table 1: The market rotation, read from `segments.mjs`. Ten slots, so a share is legible at a glance: a fighting game lands hardest in the FGC, and the other four markets exist to keep the account from reading as a single note. Changing the `rotation` array is the only supported way to change the mix.

Key	Market	Share	What it is told to say
fgc	fighting game	3/10	hitboxes, hitstun, grabs, a ball nobody asked for
gamedev	indie gamedev	2/10	no engine, one file, fixed timestep, headless tests
retro	pixel + arcade	2/10	drawn with lines at runtime, no sprites, arcade rules
gen	generative art	2/10	the date seeds the match; the audio is synthesized live
homebrew	console homebrew	1/10	same source on console under JavaScriptCore, no port

and `-s2-retro`. Each directory holds `reel.mp4`, `cover.jpg`, `thumbnail-10-percent.jpg`, a `reel.json` sidecar carrying the render log and the spec table, and the raw frame directory and per-frame concat list the encoder was driven from. Every number in §8 and §9 was measured from those files with `ffprobe` and `ffmpeg` [3] at the time of writing, not copied out of a prior session’s log. Where a figure comes from the operator’s own run rather than from a file I could re-measure — the determinism experiment in §7 is the whole of that category — it is labelled.

The platform side is Meta-owned pages only, eight of them, each fetched and read in full on 8 August 2026 and listed in the bibliography with that access date. The exclusion: no third-party summary, SMM-vendor blog, or forum answer is used as evidence anywhere in this paper, and where Meta’s pages contradict each other the contradiction is quoted rather than arbitrated.

3. STAGE 1 — SOURCE: ARITHMETIC INSTEAD OF TASTE

The first stage is the whole thesis in fifty lines. A date and an index become an absolute slot number,

$$\text{slot} = \text{days since 2026-01-01} \times \text{slots per day} + \text{index},$$

and everything downstream is a pure function of that integer. The slot indexes a fixed ten-entry rotation to pick a market (Table 1). The seed string is `YYYY-MM-DD#index`, hashed with FNV-1a into the 32-bit value the renderer seeds the simulation with. Slot zero is pinned to 1 January 2026 and documented as unmovable, because moving it would renumber every past post and silently reshuffle which market owns which slot.

One slot in five — those with `slot mod 5 = 4` — is supposed to pull a real recorded round out of `/api/oskiewar-replays` instead of generating a synthetic one, so the feed is not only robots sparring. Which round is still arithmetic: the store is asked for its recent rounds, anything under seven seconds of play is dropped as a whiff rather than a fight, and the seed indexes what is left. If the store is unreachable the stage falls back

to self-play and says so in the log. None of the three staged slots satisfies `slot mod 5 = 4`, so this branch was not exercised; when I queried the endpoint on 8 August 2026 it returned an authentication error, which means the fallback — not the replay lane — is what would run today.

The seed works at all because of a property of the game rather than of the factory. `oskiewar.js` calls `Math.random` exactly once, at line 611, to seed the match-name generator; ball kind, round names, and the fighters all fall out of that one draw. Replacing `Math.random` with a seeded generator before the page boots therefore fixes the identity of the entire match from a string. A code comment at line 1649 records that this was not always true: an earlier version drew ball radius and mass from `Math.random` directly, “which silently made ball radius and mass unreplicable.”

4. STAGE 2 — RENDER: THE REAL GAME, AT THE REAL SHAPE

Stage two runs `mac-test.html` and `oskiewar.js` in headless Chrome through the same frame driver a player gets, served by a small local shell (`shell.mjs`) that lists every module the page imports. Video and audio are captured by two separate mechanisms, both borrowed from the sibling `marketing/av-reels` lane [12].

Video is the Chrome DevTools Protocol `Page.startScreencast` domain [2]: a JPEG frame arrives whenever the page repaints, carrying a timestamp. The handler writes each frame to disk with its arrival time and the encoder is later driven from a concat list with per-frame durations, so a dropped repaint stretches its own frame instead of speeding up the whole reel. Measured across the three staged reels, the median inter-frame gap is 16.70 ms in all three — one 60 Hz repaint, exactly — with 4, 1, and 0 gaps longer than 33 ms and worst cases of 80.0, 71.9, and 33.3 ms. That is a clean capture: the pacing artifact the concat list exists to absorb barely occurs.

Audio cannot be captured the same way, because headless Chrome throttles `requestAnimationFrame` and leaves `canvas.captureStream()` empty. The established `Aesthetic.Computer` technique is to patch `AudioNode.prototype.connect` before the page boots, so that anything a node routes to `ctx.destination` is additionally routed to a `MediaStreamDestination` that an in-page `MediaRecorder` is listening to. Audio worklets run on the audio thread, which is why this survives the throttle. There is no second audio path in the system; the reel either carries the game’s own synthesis or it carries nothing.

Capture is 1080×1920 natively. The game is handed a 9:16 viewport and goes compact on its own, so the reel is the real thing at the real shape (Figure 1). Three things the renderer deliberately cuts: the live spectator WebSocket is stubbed, because a marketing render is not a match anyone should be able to walk in on — and




Figure 1: One frame of a finished reel, 14 seconds into slot 660, at the native capture size of 1080×1920 and untouched afterwards. The reel is recorded at 9:16 rather than cropped into it, and the argument for doing so is visible here as a cost as well as a benefit: the game lays itself out for the viewport it is handed and keeps the camera on the ground plane, so the fight — the green impact ring, the two figures, the keycap legends, the handles — lives in the bottom third and the top half is empty sky. Nothing is composed on top of this, which is the point; but nothing fills the space either.

stubbing it roughly halved render time; replay POSTs are redirected to the local shell (§10); and the debug hitboxes that used to flash on every impact for every player are now gated behind a view mode, so ordinary play, and every recording of it, is clean.

5. STAGE 3 — DRESS: THE WORDS, AND ONLY THE WORDS

Nothing is drawn on the video. No plate, no bands, no blurred backdrop, no burned-in type. What survives in stage three is the part that was never pixels: one line of copy, one line of address, nine hashtags, a cover frame, a review thumbnail, and a conformance check.

The caption budget is deliberately under the ceiling. Meta allows 2,200 characters and 30 hashtags [11]; the staged captions run 181–300 characters and 8–9 tags, because a keyword dump is not a post. The check is the interesting part: `inspect()` reads the finished file with `ffprobe` and answers, from the file itself, every row of Meta’s Reels specification table that a file can answer, then fails the build loudly if any row fails (Table 2).

One row is a self-imposed tripwire rather than a platform requirement. Meta accepts any aspect between 0.01:1 and 10:1 and merely recommends 9:16 [9]; `inspect()` requires 9:16 to within 10^{-3} . The reason is historical and is worth keeping: an earlier version of this pipeline captured the game at 1:1 and composed the square into a 9:16

Table 2: Conformance against Meta’s published Reels specification [9]. The first ten rows are what `inspect()` checks; measured values are from `ffprobe` on the three staged files. The last five rows are requirements the check does not read — four hold anyway, and one does not.

Requirement	Meta’s value	Measured (slots 660/661/662)
Container	MOV or MP4	mp4
Video codec	HEVC or H264	h264 High
Audio codec	AAC	aac LC
Sample rate	≤ 48 kHz	48 000 Hz
Channels	1 or 2	2
Frame rate	23–60 fps	30.00
Max width	≤ 1920 px	1080 px
Aspect	0.01:1–10:1	0.5625 (9:16)
Duration	3 s–15 min	39.55 / 39.60 / 39.60 s
File size	≤ 300 MB	7.03 / 3.19 / 2.94 MB
moov first	required	moov before mdat
Scan	progressive	progressive
Chroma	4:2:0	4:2:0 (full-range tag)
Video rate	VBR, ≤ 25 Mbps	1.29 / 0.62 / 0.56 Mbps
Audio rate	128 kbps	121.5 / 21.7 / 22.2 kbps

letterbox with a blurred backdrop and burned-in type. That design was rejected in favour of native capture, and the aspect check is the guard that keeps it rejected — a drift off 9:16 now means something rescaled the video, which is the one thing this stage must never do.

6. STAGE 4 — PUBLISH: DRY BY DEFAULT

The last stage writes `payload.json`: the exact container body, the status-poll URL, and the publish call it would send. Nothing leaves the machine. Adding `--live` uploads the mp4 and the cover to a public bucket — Meta fetches `video_url` itself, so it has to be reachable without authentication — reads the account’s remaining quota, refuses to post if the quota is spent, runs the three-call sequence, and appends to a ledger. The ledger records segment, seed, slot, kind, round, timestamp, and media id, which is the entire reason a market segment is recorded at all: without it, per-market performance is unknowable and the rotation in Table 1 can never be corrected by evidence.

The one hard rule in this stage is negative. Publishing goes through the official content-publishing endpoints only: no `instagramapi`, no session cookies, no scripted app login. That road has been walked in this repository before, on @whistlegraph, and it ended in a `login_required` and a defensively soft-locked account during a 2026 account-integrity ban wave [13]. `publish.mjs` contains no other path and should not grow one.

7. WHAT A SEED BUYS, AND WHAT IT DOES NOT

The pipeline’s most attractive claim is also the one most likely to be overstated, so it was tested and the result is partly negative (Table 3).

The cause is structural rather than incidental. The renderer seeds `Math.random` but does not take over the clock:

Table 3: The determinism experiment, run by the operator on 8–9 August 2026 with seed 2026-08-09#1. Match identity reproduced across three runs; frames did not. This is the boundary the copy in `segments.mjs` is written to respect.

Property	Same?	Evidence
Match name	yes	ow-chetty900 on all three runs
Ball kind, fighters	yes	downstream of the single <code>Math.random</code> draw
Frame bytes	no	MD5 of the frame at $t = 8$ s differs between two runs
Round outcome	untested	only identity and frames were compared

Table 4: Per-reel throughput, from the `render` block of each `reel.json` and from the per-frame concat lists. Slots 660, 661, and 662 are the `fgc`, `gamedev`, and `retro` markets. “Capture rate” is captured frames divided by the span they cover; the output is re-timed to a constant 30 fps at encode.

Slot	Wall	Frames	Capture	Reel	Size / ratio
660	110.6 s	2,365	59.8 fps	39.55 s	7.03 MB, 2.80×
661	99.6 s	2,374	59.9 fps	39.60 s	3.19 MB, 2.52×
662	94.3 s	2,377	60.0 fps	39.60 s	2.94 MB, 2.38×
total	304.5 s	7,116	—	118.75 s	13.2 MB, 2.56×

the browser advances the simulation on wall time, so the number of ticks that elapse between two particular repaints differs between runs, and the frames drift out of alignment even though every random draw is identical. A seed therefore reproduces *which match this is* — its name, its ball, its fighters, and so its addressable replay — and not *what it looked like*.

That is the honest statement, and it is the one the marketing copy makes. The segment captions say the date names the match and picks the ball; none of them claims frame-identical reproduction. The temptation to upgrade the claim to “same seed, same frames” should be resisted until the renderer is moved onto a fixed timestep, at which point the claim would become true and worth making.

This is also the only measurement in the paper I did not take myself: re-running it requires driving headless Chrome, which the machine this was written on cannot do concurrently with a LaTeX build. The three staged `reel.json` files are consistent with it — slot 661, seeded 2026-08-09#1, records ow-chetty900 as the first match it observed — but a single consistent sidecar is corroboration, not replication.

8. THROUGHPUT

Table 4 is the cost of a day’s output, measured rather than estimated. Three reels, built back to back on one M-series laptop with nothing else running, cost 304.5 seconds of wall clock and produced 118.75 seconds of finished video: 2.56× realtime overall, 2.38–2.80× per reel.

Three slots a day is therefore about five minutes of

machine time, which fits in the gap between two builds and is nowhere near any published ceiling: at three posts a day the grid could triple before Meta’s post cap is the binding constraint, on either reading of that cap (§11). The wall-clock figure is dominated by something other than encoding, and it is worth naming: the renderer waits out whatever round was already in progress when the page opened before it starts recording, so roughly half of each reel’s wall clock is a warm-up round nobody will ever see. That is the price of wanting to start on a round boundary — and, as §9 shows, it is currently being paid without the boundary being hit.

9. WHAT THE ARTIFACTS ACTUALLY SHOW

A pipeline that reports its own success is not evidence. The three finished files were inspected directly, and they disagree with the pipeline’s account of itself in three places. All three findings are content and timing defects rather than crashes, which is exactly the class of failure an automated poster is most likely to ship.

9.1 The reel does not start where the code says it does

`render.mjs` waits for the shell to observe a completed round before it starts the screencast, and its comment says this makes recording “begin on a round’s own first frame.” It does not. Figure 2 shows the head and tail of slot 660: the reel opens with about 2.7 seconds of the previous round’s result card and ends with about 0.6 seconds of the next round’s intro. Slots 661 and 662 cut at 2.9 and 2.9 seconds respectively. Roughly 3.3 seconds of a 39.6-second reel — 8% — is a neighbouring round.

The mechanism is a signal that fires early. A round ends by POSTing its replay, and the result card holds for a further 3.6 seconds after that; the renderer treats the POST as the boundary, so it starts recording 3.6 seconds before the new round begins and stops 3.6 seconds after the recorded one ends — which is just long enough for the round after to start. The fix is not a longer wait but a different signal, or a fixed offset applied to the one that exists.

9.2 The cover frame lands on nothing happening

`dress.mjs` samples the cover at a fixed six seconds, “past the intro count.” Given the leading result card, six seconds is only about 3.3 seconds into the recorded round, which is still the countdown. In all three staged reels the cover shows two stick figures standing still. Figure 3 runs the house Slidecop check on it: at the tenth-scale the review thumbnail reproduces, there is no fight to read, and the frame the system would hand Meta as its poster is the least interesting one in the file. The check is doing its job — it produced the thumbnail that reveals this — but nothing in the pipeline reads its own output and fails on it, so the poster ships.




Figure 2: Where slot 660 actually begins and ends. Frames are cropped to the bottom three-quarters, because the top quarter is empty in every one of them. Left group, at $t = 0.30, 1.60, 2.60,$ and 3.60 s: the reel opens on the tail of the *previous* round’s result card — “tie”, two figures inside a green impact ring — and only cuts to the recorded round’s own intro between 2.65 and 2.78 s. Right group, at $t = 36.60, 38.60, 39.10,$ and 39.45 s: the recorded round’s result card gives way, about 0.65 s before the end, to the intro of the round after it. All three staged reels behave identically. The cause is in the signal, not the timing: a round announces its end by POSTing its replay, which happens *before* the result card clears, so “wait for the previous round to end” lands in the middle of the previous round’s ending.



Figure 3: The Slidecop test [15], run rather than asserted. Left: the cover frame `dress.mjs` chose for slot 660 — the frame Meta will use as the poster in a feed. Right: the same frame rebuilt from the 108×192 pixels of the review thumbnail written beside it, which is 1% of the data and about the size the image gets in a phone’s grid. With no burned-in type left to read, the test now asks whether the *fight* survives the shrink. It does not, and the reason is not resolution: the fixed six-second cover offset, displaced by the 2.7 s of leading result card in Figure 2, lands 3.3 s into a round that has not started fighting yet. Two motionless figures, an empty sky, and a flag.

9.3 Two of three reels are mostly silent

The audio tee works: all three reels carry a real AAC track at 48 kHz, stereo, at mean levels of $-22.5, -24.2,$ and -23.7 dBFS with peaks at $-0.9, 0.0,$ and -0.6 dBFS — healthy headroom, no clipping to speak of. What is in the track is another matter. Silence detection at -70 dB finds, in slots 661 and 662, two dead stretches of 8.93 and 9.72 seconds back to back, from about 6.9 s to 25.9 s: roughly 19 seconds of a 39.6 -second reel with nothing audible in it. Slot 660 has no such gap.

The corresponding numbers are in the last row of Table 2. The AAC tracks of the two quiet reels average 21.7 and 22.2 kbps against the 128 kbps `ffmpeg` was asked for and the 128 kbps Meta’s own table names, and carry $1,143$ and $1,051$ frames where a gapless 39.6 -second stream at 48 kHz would carry about $1,856$. The recorder emits nothing while nothing is audible, and the gaps survive into the container.

This is not a bug in the capture. It is the content: in those two rounds the self-play bots never closed distance, so there were no impacts to synthesize. Which is the finding that matters most for an automated poster — the machinery is sound and the material is thin. All three staged rounds also end in a tie, which is a weak ending three times out of three. A function that reliably returns a technically conformant video of two robots not fighting has solved the posting problem and not the marketing problem.

10. TWO FINDINGS IN THE GAME

Building the factory surfaced two facts about oskiewar itself that had nothing to do with marketing.

10.1 Every render was polluting the production replay store

`saveReplay` in `mac-test.html` posts to <https://aesthetic.computer/api/oskiewar-replays> — an *absolute* production URL. A local shell therefore cannot intercept it by serving that path, which is the obvious and wrong assumption. Until this was found, every marketing render filed its bot-versus-bot sparring into the live replay store, next to matches real people played, at a rate of two to three rounds per reel. The staged sidecars record exactly that count under `replayPostsSwallowed`: $3, 2,$ and 2 .

The fix is in `render.mjs`, in the pre-boot script: `globalThis.fetch` is rewritten so that any request whose URL contains `/api/oskiewar-replays` is reissued against the local origin as a bare path. The rewrite does double duty — it protects the store, and because the local shell now receives every replay POST, it is also how the factory learns that a round has just ended.

The general lesson is worth stating separately from the fix. A page that hard-codes its own production origin cannot be sandboxed by controlling the server; it has to be sandboxed by controlling the client. Anything in Aesthetic.Computer that a headless harness might one day drive should be reachable by relative path, and where it is not, the harness must rewrite `fetch` rather than assume a local server is enough.

10.2 A match is a round

`oskiewar.js` declares `matchWins = 5` and the result screen prints it, but nothing accumulates round wins toward it: `self-play` calls `startSelfPlay` again at every round end, and normal play returns to the title. The replay store agrees — the operator’s audit found all 464 stored rounds carrying `roundIndex: 0`. So a “full match” today is one round: intro countdown, fight, KO or time-out, result card.

The factory is written to survive that being fixed rather than to depend on it: `renderReel` takes a rounds count and already records more than one if asked. But the honest description of what these reels contain is one round, and the operator’s manual is right to say so in a block quote rather than to let “a whole match, uncut” stand unqualified. I could not re-verify the 464 figure myself: the public replay endpoint returned an authentication error when queried on 8 August 2026.

11. THE PLATFORM

Everything in this section is from Meta-owned pages read on 8 August 2026. It is recorded here because stage four has never run, so the documentation is currently the only thing standing between the payload and a real post.

11.1 The route, and what it does not require

`oskiewar` would publish through the Instagram API with Instagram Login, on `graph.instagram.com`. Two properties of that route matter for a solo developer. First, no Facebook Page: “This API setup does not require a Facebook Page to be linked to the Instagram professional account” [6] — one fewer entity to own and keep alive. Second, no review: Standard Access is the default level, and “If your app only serves your Instagram professional account or an account you manage, Standard Access is all your app needs” [10]. Advanced Access, which does require App Review and Business Verification, is only “required if your app serves Instagram professional accounts that you don’t own or manage” [10]. Publishing to your own account is therefore a same-day setup, and the scopes are `instagram_business_basic` and `instagram_business_content_publish` [6, 11]. The account itself must be a professional (business or creator) account [10]; the API cannot touch a consumer account.

11.2 Three calls, and a container that expires

Publishing is three calls [11]. `POST /{ig-user-id}/media` creates a container, with `media_type=REELS`, a publicly fetchable `video_url`, a caption, and an optional `cover_url`. `GET /{container-id}?fields=status_code` polls it; Meta’s own cadence advice is exact — “We recommend querying a container’s status once per minute, for no more than 5 minutes” — and the status vocabulary is `EXPIRED`, `ERROR`, `FINISHED`, `IN_PROGRESS`, `PUBLISHED`, with expiry described as “The container was not published within 24 hours and has expired.” `POST /{ig-user-id}/media_publish` with `creation_id` finishes the job. `publish.mjs` implements exactly this cadence: five polls, one minute apart, then a hard failure on any terminal state other than `FINISHED`.

11.3 The rate limit Meta has not settled

Two Meta-owned pages give two different numbers. The content-publishing guide says: “Instagram accounts are limited to 100 API-published posts within a 24-hour moving period” [11]. The `content_publishing_limit` reference defines `quota_total` as “The maximum number of IG Containers the app user can publish within the `quota_duration` time period (currently 50)” and its sample response carries 50 over a `quota_duration` of 86,400 seconds [8].

I am not going to resolve that, because I cannot: both pages are live, both are Meta’s, and neither acknowledges the other. The engineering answer is to not depend on the constant. `publish.mjs` reads `content_publishing_limit` at runtime and refuses to post when the account’s own reported quota is spent, which is correct under either number. A third, separate ceiling sits underneath both: “An Instagram account can only create 400 containers within a rolling 24 hour period” [9]. At three posts a day none of the three binds, which is why the contradiction is reportable rather than urgent.

11.4 Tokens expire on a schedule that needs a calendar

The token path is a short-lived token from authorization, exchanged at `GET graph.instagram.com/access_token` with `grant_type=ig_exchange_token` for a long-lived token “valid for 60 days,” refreshed at `GET graph.instagram.com/refresh_access_token` with `grant_type=ig_refresh_token` [4]. The refresh has three preconditions, and the first is the operational one: “The existing long-lived access token is at least 24 hours old,” it must not have expired, and the app must hold `instagram_business_basic`. Meta is explicit about the cliff: “Tokens that have not been refreshed in 60 days will expire and can no longer be refreshed” [4]. There is no revival past that, only a fresh OAuth round-trip. A monthly reminder is part of the system, not an afterthought.

11.5 Insights, and the metrics that no longer exist

Per-market reporting is the reason the ledger records a segment, and it depends on metrics that Meta

has been actively pruning. The changelog entry dated 21 January 2025 deprecates `clips_replays_count`, `ig_reels_aggregated_all_plays_count`, `impressions` on media and user insights, and `plays` on media insights, “Applies to v22.0+.” Will apply to all versions April 21, 2025,” and introduces `views` in the same entry [5]. A later entry, 3 December 2025, adds `reels_skip_rate` and `reposts` [5]. The metrics currently documented for a Reel are `views`, `reach`, `likes`, `comments`, `saved`, `shares`, `total_interactions`, `reposts`, `reels_skip_rate`, `ig_reels_avg_watch_time`, and `ig_reels_video_view_total_time` [7].

Two cautions come with them, both from Meta’s own labels. Several of these — `views`, `total_interactions`, `ig_reels_video_view_total_time` — are flagged “Metric in development,” and `reach` and `reels_skip_rate` are flagged “estimated” [7]. Numbers carried into the ledger from those fields will drift from the app’s own UI, and a rotation adjusted on small differences between markets would be adjusting on noise. `reels_skip_rate`, which measures the share of viewers who left inside the first three seconds, is the only one of them that judges a hook directly — and, given §9, the one this account should read first.

12. ETHICS, PRIVACY, AND LIMITS

12.1 The private API is not an option

The rule is absolute and the reason is experience rather than principle. This repository has a scripted, unofficial Instagram path in its history: an `instagramapi` archive run against @whistlegraph returned `login_required` and left the session broken, on an account that Instagram had already soft-locked, during the 2026 account-integrity ban wave [13]. The audit that followed concluded that third-party mass-action tools log in through the private mobile API and get flagged as bots, and that the scripted path should not be retried. `publish.mjs` therefore speaks only the documented content-publishing endpoints, and `social/accounts.json` carries that constraint on the `oskiewar-ig` row itself [16] so that it is visible to whoever next opens the ledger rather than only to whoever reads this paper.

12.2 Renders must not pollute the record

The replay store is a record of matches people played. A marketing render is not one, and the finding in §10 is that it was being written into that record anyway. The rule the fix encodes: a synthetic render may read production state and may never write it. The local shell makes that structural rather than aspirational — it accepts every replay POST and stores none of them, and only proxies GETs to production when a slot is legitimately replaying a real round. Two consequences follow that are worth stating. Marketing renders should not appear in any public count of matches played, and the 464-round figure quoted in §10 is itself from a store that had been receiving robot rounds, which is one more reason to treat it as the operator’s measurement rather than

a clean census.

12.3 Nothing auto-posts

The queue is staged, not scheduled. Building three reels writes three directories and stops; publishing is a separate command; publishing for real additionally requires `--live` and a token in the environment. The suggested scheduler in the operator’s manual is a single crontab line that builds and leaves the results staged [14], and the manual is explicit that automating stage four is one line that should be written after a few weeks of watching what the queue produces, not before. This paper’s evidence argues for keeping it that way somewhat longer than planned: a fully automated stage four, running today, would have posted three technically conformant videos whose covers show nobody fighting and two of which are silent for half their length.

There is a second reason to keep a human in the loop that has nothing to do with quality. The reels depict only synthetic play by default; the one-in-five replay slot depicts a round a real person played, addressed by its round name. Those rounds are already public and addressable [19], and no handle, face, or private datum is in the frame — but a system that automatically promotes a stranger’s match to a marketing channel is a different thing from one that renders robots, and it should not cross that line without someone deciding that it may.

12.4 What is not verified

Stated plainly rather than smoothed over.

- **Every stage-four call.** `uploadPublic`, `publishLive`, `remainingQuota`, and `pullInsights` have never been executed against the live API. The @oskiewar account does not exist [16]. This is the principal limitation of the whole report: the stage with the most external dependencies is the only one with no measured behaviour at all.
- **Whether the real post cap is 50 or 100.** Meta contradicts itself [8, 11]; the endpoint is read at run-time instead.
- **Any byte-range or redirect requirement on `video_url`** beyond “publicly accessible.” Meta fetches the file itself; what it tolerates while doing so is not documented on any page I read.
- **Whether Instagram’s transcoder accepts a gapped AAC track.** The two quiet reels carry roughly 60% of the audio frames a continuous stream would. Nothing in Meta’s specification addresses gaps, and nothing has been uploaded to find out.
- **The determinism experiment** was run by the operator, not reproduced here (§7).
- **The 464-round replay census.** The public endpoint returned an authentication error on 8 August 2026, so the figure and the `roundIndex: 0` claim rest on the operator’s earlier audit.
- **The replay-sourced slot.** No staged slot satisfied

slot mod 5 = 4, so the branch that renders a real recorded round was never exercised in this evidence set.

- **The widely repeated 90-second Reels-tab eligibility ceiling.** It appears on no Meta page I read, and it is not treated as a constraint here.
- **How soon after publishing insights become available.** Not stated on the insights reference; `pullInsights` should poll defensively.

12.5 Known follow-ups in the code

Two are recorded rather than fixed. `render-social-preview.mjs` and `tests/blackbox-rounds.mjs` each keep their own copy of the shell’s module list; `shell.mjs` is the version meant to win, but folding the other two onto it changes a burner’s content hash and needs a re-burn in the same commit, so it is a deliberate follow-up rather than a drive-by. And stage four’s scheduler is a crontab line, not a service; if the cadence sticks it wants to become an oven job beside the other pollers [14].

13. CONCLUSION

The function works. A date goes in, three finished, spec-conformant, 1080×1920 reels come out in about five minutes of machine time, with the real game’s real audio, and with no human choosing anything at any point. The architecture that makes it checkable is the four-way split: source decides and proves nothing else, render captures and cuts three network paths, dress writes words and refuses to draw pixels, publish prints what it would send. Each stage can be run and read on its own, and the only one that can reach the network is the last one, behind a flag, on an account that does not yet exist.

What the evidence adds is a correction to the enthusiasm. Three separate inspections of the finished files found the same shape of problem: the pipeline is more confident about its own behaviour than the artifacts justify. It says it starts on a round’s first frame and starts 2.7 seconds early; it takes a cover “past the intro count” and lands inside it; it reports a clean pass on ten spec rows while an eleventh row it does not read is missed by two files out of three. None of these is a crash, and that is the point — an output function’s characteristic failure is not an error, it is a conformant artifact that nobody would have chosen. The Slidecop check already generates the evidence that would catch it; what is missing is a stage that reads that evidence and fails.

So the next work is not more automation. It is three small things that make the existing automation honest: move the round boundary off the replay POST, choose the cover by looking at candidates rather than by clock offset, and extend `inspect()` to the rows of Meta’s table it currently skips — audio bitrate first. Then create the account, run stage four once by hand, and let the ledger start answering the question the market rotation was built to ask.

References

- [1] Aesthetic Computer contributors. Aesthetic Computer source repository: `xbox/live/marketing/`, `xbox/live/oskiewar.js`, `xbox/live/mac-test.html`, 2026. URL <https://github.com/whistlegraph/aesthetic-computer>. Read at commit `daf4372f1`, 8 August 2026.
- [2] Chromium authors. Chrome DevTools Protocol: Page domain (`startScreencast`, `screencastFrame`), 2026. URL <https://chromedevtools.github.io/devtools-protocol/tot/Page/>.
- [3] FFmpeg developers. FFmpeg, 2026. URL <https://ffmpeg.org/>. `ffmpeg/ffprobe` used for concatenation, encoding, and every measurement reported here.
- [4] Meta Platforms, Inc. Business Login for Instagram — access tokens, exchange, and refresh, 2026. URL <https://developers.facebook.com/docs/instagram-platform/instagram-api-with-instagram-login/business-login>. Accessed 8 August 2026.
- [5] Meta Platforms, Inc. Changelog — Instagram Platform, 2026. URL <https://developers.facebook.com/docs/instagram-platform/changelog>. Accessed 8 August 2026.
- [6] Meta Platforms, Inc. Instagram API with Instagram Login — Instagram Platform, 2026. URL <https://developers.facebook.com/docs/instagram-platform/instagram-api-with-instagram-login>. Accessed 8 August 2026.
- [7] Meta Platforms, Inc. Instagram Media Insights reference — Instagram Platform, 2026. URL <https://developers.facebook.com/docs/instagram-platform/reference/instagram-media/insights>. Accessed 8 August 2026.
- [8] Meta Platforms, Inc. IG User `content_publishing_limit` reference — Instagram Platform, 2026. URL https://developers.facebook.com/docs/instagram-platform/instagram-graph-api/reference/ig-user/content_publishing_limit/. Accessed 8 August 2026.
- [9] Meta Platforms, Inc. IG User `media` reference — Instagram Platform (Reels specifications), 2026. URL <https://developers.facebook.com/docs/instagram-platform/instagram-graph-api/reference/ig-user/media/>. Accessed 8 August 2026.
- [10] Meta Platforms, Inc. Overview — Instagram Platform (access levels, App Review, Business Verification), 2026. URL <https://developers.facebook.com/docs/instagram-platform/overview/>. Accessed 8 August 2026.
- [11] Meta Platforms, Inc. Publish Content — Instagram Platform, 2026. URL <https://developers.facebook.com/docs/instagram-platform/content-publishing>. Accessed 8 August 2026.
- [12] Jeffrey Alan Scudder. `av-reels`: audiovisual synthesis reels, 2026. Aesthetic Computer marketing tooling, `marketing/av-reels/README.md`.
- [13] Jeffrey Alan Scudder. Pruning the whistlegraph grid: why the scripted path is closed, 2026. Aesthetic Computer internal note, audited 15 June 2026.
- [14] Jeffrey Alan Scudder. `oskiewar`’s marketing output function, 2026. Aesthetic Computer operator’s manual, `xbox/live/MARKETING.md`, 8 August 2026.
- [15] Jeffrey Alan Scudder. `SCORE.md` — Editorial Output Score: Wordcrust and Slidecop, 2026. Aesthetic Computer operating document, repository root.
- [16] Jeffrey Alan Scudder. `SCORE.md` — `/social`: account growth, stat tracking, and the publishing-API index, 2026. Aesthetic Computer operating document, `social/SCORE.md` and `social/accounts.json`.
- [17] Jeffrey Alan Scudder. `oskiewar`: A Cross-Platform Fighting-Game Laboratory for Aesthetic Computer, 2026. URL <https://papers.aesthetic.computer/oskiewar-26-arxiv.pdf>. Aesthetic Computer Papers.
- [18] Jeffrey Alan Scudder. The Door Is Shut: UWP, ID@Xbox, and the Route from `oskiewar`’s Developer-Mode Build to a Retail Console, 2026. URL <https://papers.aesthetic.computer/oskiewar-store-26-arxiv.pdf>. Aesthetic Computer Papers, 7 August 2026.
- [19] Jeffrey Alan Scudder. The URL Tradition, 2026. URL <https://papers.aesthetic.computer/url-tradition>. Aesthetic Computer Papers.