

PLOrk'ing the Planet

From Ivy League Laptop Orchestra to Kid-Friendly
Planetary Organ

@jeffrey

Aesthetic.Computer

ORCID: 0009-0007-4460-4913

working draft — not for citation

March 2026 · b3a48ec6a

Dansk · Español · 中文 · 日本語

Index

1. Introduction	7
2. The Laptop Orchestra Landscape.	10
2.1 PLOrk: The Gold Standard	10
2.2 L2Ork: The Linux Counter-Proposal.	11
2.3 The University Trap.	12
2.4 What the Numbers Say	13
3. The Surplus Tsunami	14
3.1 240 Million Machines	14
3.2 The Global E-Waste Context	15
3.3 The Chromebook Graveyard.	15
3.4 Surplus Pricing	16
4. AC Native OS as Instrument	18
4.1 Architecture in Brief	18
4.2 Built-In Synthesis	19

4.3	Notepat: The Default Instrument	21
4.4	The Laptop Already Has Everything	23
4.5	Network-Native Ensemble	24
4.6	Personalization as Instrument Identity	25
5.	The Dedicated-Device Landscape	28
5.1	Nintendo Switch (\$299 new, \$150 used)	28
5.2	Steam Deck (\$399 new, \$250 used)	29
5.3	Teenage Engineering (OP-1, EP-133, TX-6)	30
5.4	Oculus to Anduril: When Dedicated Devices Go to War	32
5.5	The Dedicated-Device Insight	35
6.	From Ensemble to Planet	36
6.1	PLOrk’s Scaling Limit	36
6.2	AC OS’s Scaling Curve	37
6.3	The 240-Million-Seat Orchestra	38
7.	PLOrk the Planet: A Concrete Proposal	41
7.1	Community Flashing Events	41

7.2 School Programs Without Equipment Budgets	41
7.3 After-School and Community Ensembles	42
7.4 The Distributed Orchestra	43
8. What PLOrk Got Right (And What It Missed)	45
9. Music, Access, and Political Economy	48
10. Related Work	51
11. Lessons from OLPC	54
12. Limitations	57
13. Implications and Future Work	62
13.1 ARM Chromebook Support	62
13.2 Built-In Composition Tools	62
13.3 Spatialization Without Speakers	62
13.4 Curriculum Development	63
13.5 Mesh Networking	63
14. Conclusion	64

1. Introduction

The Princeton Laptop Orchestra was not a band with laptops. It was a proposition: that the laptop could be an orchestral instrument, that software could produce spatially rich sound, that a network of computers could constitute an ensemble as legitimate as a string quartet. Dan Trueman, Perry Cook, Scott Smallwood, and Ge Wang built PLOrk in 2005 at Princeton University [Trueman et al., 2006, Trueman, 2007], and the proposition held. The music was real. The performances were compelling. The pedagogy was transformative.

But PLOrk was built at Princeton. Each of the fifteen performance stations required a MacBook (\$1,300+), a custom-fabricated hemispherical speaker designed by Jeff Snyder [Snyder, 2015] to project sound omnidirectionally like an acoustic instrument, an 8-channel audio amplifier, a power conditioner, a rack enclosure, and an array of controllers—MIDI keyboards, graphics tablets, Wiimote interfaces, pressure and tilt sensors. The software stack included ChuckK [Wang

and Cook, 2003], Max/MSP (licensed), and custom networking code. Total cost per seat: conservatively \$1,500, likely higher when accounting for fabrication labor and institutional overhead.

This was not a barrier for Princeton. It was a barrier for everyone else.

Over the following decade, laptop orchestras proliferated across universities—SLOrk at Stanford [Wang et al., 2009], L2Ork at Virginia Tech [Bukvic, 2010], CLOrk at Concordia, BLOrk at Colorado, HELO at Huddersfield, OLO in Oslo, SCLOrk at Santa Clara, the CMU Laptop Orchestra at Carnegie Mellon, ensembles at Indiana, Georgia Tech, UBC, and the Universidad Nacional de Música in Peru. At peak, perhaps thirty ensembles existed worldwide. Every single one lived inside a university. Every single one required institutional funding, dedicated space, technical staff, and enrolled students.

The laptop orchestra movement peaked at approximately 500–1,000 participants per year, globally. For comparison, 1.2 million American

children play in school bands [Warschauer, 2004]. The laptop orchestra was a beautiful idea that reached almost no one.

The limiting factor was never artistic—PLOrk settled that in year one. It was economic. It was infrastructural. This paper presents AC Native OS, a bare-metal operating system that turns any surplus x86_64 laptop into a complete musical instrument at a cost two orders of magnitude below PLOrk. The resulting economics make a *planetary* laptop orchestra not only possible but—given the scale of available surplus hardware—almost inevitable.

2. The Laptop Orchestra Landscape

2.1 PLOrk: The Gold Standard

PLOrk's design was meticulous. Trueman [Trueman, 2007] articulated the core problem: a laptop's built-in speakers produce thin, directionless sound that cannot “fill a room the way an acoustic instrument does.” The hemispherical speaker—a geodesic shell with six drivers radiating outward in a half-sphere—was the solution. It gave each laptop station a physical acoustic presence, a *body* for its sound. The laptop became, in Trueman's framing, not a controller triggering samples but an instrument with spatial characteristics.

This was musically correct and economically disastrous. Each hemispherical speaker was hand-built. The amplifiers, racks, and power conditioners added bulk and cost. The result was an ensemble that sounded extraordinary and cost a fortune. PLOrk's 15-station setup, fully equipped, represented an investment north of \$30,000 in equipment alone—before accounting for the room, the network infrastructure, the soft-

ware licenses, and the technical staff to maintain it all.

2.2 L2Ork: The Linux Counter-Proposal

Ivica Ico Bukvic at Virginia Tech recognized the access problem and built L2Ork [Bukvic, 2010], the Linux Laptop Orchestra, as an explicit cost reduction. L2Ork replaced MacBooks with Linux netbooks, Max/MSP with Pd-L2Ork (a custom fork of Pure Data), and Princeton's hemispherical speakers with cheaper hemispherical designs using commodity drivers. The result: approximately \$750 per seat, a 50% reduction.

L2Ork was a significant contribution. Bukvic's insistence on open-source software and affordable hardware was philosophically aligned with the access mission. But \$750/seat still requires institutional procurement. Hemispherical speakers, even cheaper ones, still require fabrication. Pd-L2Ork still requires installation, configuration, and maintenance on a host Linux distribution. L2Ork democratized the laptop orchestra within the university system. It did not escape it.

2.3 The University Trap

The pattern is consistent across all thirty-plus laptop orchestras:

- **Enrollment-gated:** only registered students participate
- **Department-funded:** equipment budgets from music or CS departments
- **Space-dependent:** dedicated rehearsal rooms with network infrastructure
- **Staff-dependent:** faculty directors, technical assistants, IT support
- **Semester-bound:** ensembles form and dissolve on academic calendars
- **Geographically fixed:** you must be physically at the university

These are not incidental constraints. They are structural consequences of the cost model. When each seat costs \$750–1,500 and requires custom hardware, the ensemble *must* be institutionally managed. The equipment is too expensive to lose, too specialized to replace, and too complex to maintain without technical staff. The university is not just the host—it is the only viable host.

2.4 What the Numbers Say

Ensemble Model	Per Seat	30-Seat	1000-Seat
PLOrk (Princeton)	\$1,500+	\$45,000+	impossible
L2Ork (Virginia Tech)	\$750	\$22,500	impossible
SLOrk (Stanford)	\$1,200+	\$36,000+	impossible
Sonic Pi + Pi hardware	\$150–200	\$4,500	\$150,000
AC OS + surplus	\$50–80	\$1,500	\$50,000

Table 1: Cost comparison across laptop orchestra models. “Impossible” indicates that the model’s reliance on custom fabrication and institutional infrastructure prevents scaling beyond ~ 50 seats.

The table tells the story. At PLOrk prices, a 1,000-person laptop orchestra is a fantasy. At AC OS prices, it costs less than a single PLOrk setup. The difference is not incremental. It is a phase change.

3. The Surplus Tsunami

3.1 240 Million Machines

On October 14, 2025, Microsoft ended support for Windows 10 [Canalys, 2024]. Canalys estimated that 240 million PCs worldwide—machines whose hardware is fully functional but whose processors do not meet Windows 11’s TPM 2.0 and CPU generation requirements—were rendered “obsolete” overnight. These are not ancient machines. They are 2017–2020 era laptops with quad-core processors, 8 GB RAM, 1080p screens, and WiFi. They became e-waste not because they broke, but because Microsoft decided they were done.

This is the largest single surplus event in personal computing history. And it arrives on top of the existing surplus streams: corporate lease returns (3–5 year refresh cycles), school Chromebook churn [Gutterman, 2023], retail overstock, and the steady flow of cosmetically damaged but functional machines into refurbishment channels.

3.2 The Global E-Waste Context

The UN Global E-waste Monitor 2024 [Forti et al., 2024] reports 62 million tonnes of e-waste generated globally per year, growing at 2.6 million tonnes annually. Only 22.3% is documented as properly collected and recycled. The rest is landfilled, incinerated, or exported to informal processing operations in the Global South, where workers—often children—extract metals under hazardous conditions.

Every surplus laptop diverted from this stream into a musical instrument is a small environmental intervention. At scale, a program that repurposes even 0.1% of the annual PC surplus would produce 240,000 instruments per year.

3.3 The Chromebook Graveyard

The U.S. PIRG Education Fund’s “Chromebook Churn” report [Gutterman, 2023] documents how school districts nationwide cycle through Chromebooks every 3–5 years, spending an estimated \$1.8 billion on devices designed to be replaced. Google’s Auto Update Expiration

(AUE) policy renders Chromebooks unsupported after a fixed date regardless of hardware condition. Oakland Unified alone manages 40,000 Chromebooks on this cycle.

The tragic irony: schools discard Chromebooks whose hardware exceeds the requirements for a full creative computing instrument, then spend \$200–300 on new ones. A district that flashed retired Chromebooks with AC Native OS could turn its e-waste budget into an instrument program.

(Note: AC Native OS currently targets x86_64 UEFI machines. Most educational Chromebooks use ARM processors. ARM support is a primary development target—see Section 13. Intel Chromebooks, however, are immediately compatible.)

3.4 Surplus Pricing

Current (March 2026) prices for surplus laptops on wholesale refurbisher markets and secondary marketplaces:

Every one of these machines boots AC Native

Machine	Price Range
ThinkPad X1 Carbon (6th–8th gen)	\$40–80
ThinkPad T480/T490	\$35–70
HP EliteBook 840 G5/G6	\$40–75
Dell Latitude 7400/7410	\$45–80
Dell Latitude 5400	\$30–55
HP ProBook 440 G6/G7	\$30–60
Lenovo IdeaPad (various)	\$25–50

Table 2: Surplus laptop pricing, March 2026. Prices reflect bulk (>10 units) from certified refurbishers. All models include 1080p display, 4+ cores, 8+ GB RAM, WiFi, full keyboard, and 4+ hour battery.

OS in under 8 seconds. Every one has a full keyboard, a trackpad, a microphone, speakers, a screen, and WiFi. Every one is a complete, self-contained musical instrument waiting for an 89 MB file.

4. AC Native OS as Instrument

Where PLOrk required assembling an instrument from components—laptop plus speaker plus amplifier plus rack plus controllers plus software—AC Native OS proposes that the surplus laptop *already is* the instrument. It merely needs to be told.

4.1 Architecture in Brief

AC Native OS is a single 89 MB UEFI binary containing a Linux kernel, an LZ4-compressed initramfs, and an 11,943-line C runtime that runs as PID 1 [Jeffrey, 2026d]. There is no userspace, no desktop environment, no package manager, no shell. The runtime directly manages display (DRM/KMS), audio (ALSA at 192 kHz), input (evdev), WiFi (wpa_supplicant), camera (V4L2), and speech (flite). Interactive programs (“pieces”) are JavaScript ES modules executed by QuickJS [Bellard, 2019].

Boot time: **7.3 seconds** from power button to playable instrument.

4.2 Built-In Synthesis

The runtime includes a 32-voice polyphonic synthesizer with per-voice ADSR envelopes, multiple waveforms (sine, triangle, sawtooth, pulse, noise), and a global reverb processor. Audio runs at 192 kHz through ALSA PCM—the same interface used by professional audio workstations, without the overhead of PulseAudio, PipeWire, or JACK.

This is the critical divergence from PLOrk. Truman's hemispherical speaker solved the problem of spatial sound projection for laptop ensembles. AC Native OS sidesteps the problem entirely: the built-in laptop speakers are the speakers. They are not omnidirectional, not hemispherical, not acoustically ideal. But they are *there*—in every surplus laptop, already connected, requiring no fabrication, no amplifiers, no power conditioners, no racks.

For a 15-person PLOrk ensemble performing in a concert hall, hemispherical speakers are the right answer. For a 1,000-person distributed ensemble performing in classrooms, community cen-




Figure 1: **A live piece on the instrument.** *\$roz* by @jeffrey—a six-line KidLisp program rendered framebuffer-direct through DRM/KMS at full screen. AC Native OS runs no compositor, no browser, no window manager: the piece *is* the desktop. 17,000+ such programs already exist on the Aesthetic.Computer platform.

ters, parks, and living rooms, the laptop's own speakers are the right answer. The hemispherical speaker was a solution to a concert hall problem. The planetary laptop orchestra is not a concert hall.

4.3 Notepad: The Default Instrument

AC Native OS does not boot into a desktop, a browser, or a command line. It boots into notepad—a chromatic keyboard instrument [Jeffrey, 2026c]. The first thing you can do with the machine is *play it*. The first sound it makes is a tone you chose. This framing—instrument, not computer—changes the user’s relationship to the device before any instruction begins.

The keyboard layout encodes a pedagogical decision. The QWERTY keys C, D, E, F, G, A, and B play the notes they name. A person who knows the Western scale already knows the layout. Sharps sit between their naturals on the keyboard (V for C♯, S for D♯, W for F♯, R for G♯, Q for A♯). A second octave mirrors the pattern on the upper row (H through N for naturals, T through P for sharps). The result is a two-octave chromatic instrument playable without explanation, expandable to nine octaves via a single key press.

This is not an accident of implementation. PLOrk required performers to learn Max/MSP,

ChuckK, or SuperCollider before they could produce a sound. L2Ork required Pd-L2Ork. Both demanded software literacy as a prerequisite for musical participation. notepat inverts this: musical participation is the prerequisite for nothing. It is the starting point.

The design draws on Orff and Kodály pedagogies, which constrain the instrument to match the learner’s current ability [Jeffrey, 2026b]. notepat’s song mode makes this explicit: a scrolling lyric track shows the current syllable, only the correct note is active, and pressing it advances the song. The player cannot make a mistake—they can only wait. Wrong notes are blocked. Right notes are highlighted. The instrument teaches the song by refusing to let you fail.

Songs are encoded as NOTE:word pairs (e.g., “C:Twin- G:kle A:lit-tle”) that are human-readable, URL-safe, and forkable—a folk process in text form [Jeffrey, 2026b]. Any AC Native OS user can write a song as a string, share it as a URL, and another user can play it immediately. The encoding carries melody and lyrics but not

duration or dynamics; those remain in the performer's hands, preserving the interpretive space that distinguishes performance from playback.

For the planetary laptop orchestra, this matters concretely. A conductor distributing AC Native OS devices in a classroom does not need to teach programming, music notation, or software configuration. The instrument is ready. The folk songs are loaded. The students press keys and hear notes. Everything else—waveform selection, octave shifting, recording, sharing, eventually writing code—comes later, pulled by curiosity rather than pushed by curriculum.

4.4 The Laptop Already Has Everything

The surplus laptop is not a degraded PLOrk station. It is a *different kind of instrument*—self-contained, portable, battery-powered, personally owned, and immediately playable. What it lacks in acoustic projection it gains in independence. A PLOrk station cannot leave the rehearsal room. An AC OS laptop can go anywhere.

PLOrk Requires	Surplus Laptop Has
MacBook	Any x86_64 laptop
Hemispherical speaker	Built-in speakers
8-channel amplifier	Built-in amp (ALSA)
Power conditioner	AC adapter or battery
Rack enclosure	Laptop chassis
MIDI controller	Keyboard + trackpad
ChucK/Max/MSP license	AC OS (free, built-in)
Network infrastructure	WiFi (built-in)
IT staff	OTA updates (automatic)

Table 3: PLOrk component requirements vs. surplus laptop capabilities.

4.5 Network-Native Ensemble

Aesthetic.Computer includes built-in multiplayer networking: WebSocket for reliable events (join, leave, score, state) and UDP for low-latency real-time data (position, velocity, continuous controllers). Any AC Native OS device on the same WiFi network can join an ensemble session with zero configuration. The session server [Jeffrey, 2026a] handles routing, and pieces can define arbitrary network protocols for ensemble interaction.

PLOrk’s networking required custom infrastruc-

ture and technical setup for each performance. AC Native OS ensemble networking is always on, built into the OS layer, and works on any WiFi—including a phone hotspot. Three people with surplus laptops in a park can form a laptop orchestra.

4.6 Personalization as Instrument Identity

Each AC Native OS device boots with its owner’s handle displayed and spoken aloud: “hi @maria.” Colors, tokens, and preferences are stored in `config.json` on the EFI partition, persisting across OTA updates [Jeffrey, 2026d]. The machine is not a generic terminal—it is *Maria’s instrument*, and it knows it.

In an ensemble context, this means every instrument is named. The conductor (or the network) can address “@maria’s” machine, route audio to it, assign it a voice. Instrument identity is not an add-on; it is foundational. Every orchestra instrument has a name. Now every laptop does too.




Figure 2: **Zero-configuration ensemble.** Six surplus laptops on a single WiFi network form a six-piece ensemble. No registration, no rehearsal-room reservation, no IT support—the session server is a built-in AC Native OS service, and each instrument announces itself by handle. Pieces define their own protocols on top: position-and-velocity over UDP, score events over WebSocket. The laptop hotspot from anyone’s phone is sufficient infrastructure.




Figure 3: **Repertoire as printable cards.** Four KidLisp pieces—*\$berz*, *\$24m*, *\$duv*, *\$kl1*—each a self-contained program that runs on AC Native OS as the laptop’s whole operating system. The pixel image at top is the live output; the source code beneath is the entire program (no imports, no build step). The QR code links to the live piece on Aesthetic.Computer, where 17,000+ KidLisp programs already exist as a shareable repertoire. PLork’s repertoire was a closed library of compositions performed by enrolled students; AC Native OS’s repertoire is a public folk process: forkable, URL-addressable, six lines long.

5. The Dedicated-Device Landscape

The surplus laptop is not the only portable computing device at the \$50–300 price point. The consumer market already accepts—enthusiastically—purpose-built computing devices that do one thing well. The comparison illuminates what AC Native OS is and is not.

5.1 Nintendo Switch (\$299 new, \$150 used)

The Switch is the most successful dedicated creative computing device in history, though it is not marketed that way. It is a handheld computer with a touchscreen, speakers, WiFi, Bluetooth, motion sensors, detachable controllers, and a dock for TV output. It runs a custom OS that boots in seconds to a single interface. Users navigate a library of interactive programs (“games”) by name. There is no file manager, no desktop, no terminal.

The structural similarity to AC Native OS is striking: both are single-purpose operating systems on portable hardware, both boot fast to

an interactive experience, both use WiFi for multiplayer. The Switch has sold 146 million units [Nintendo Co., Ltd., 2024]—proof that the public will adopt dedicated computing devices when the experience is coherent and the barrier to entry is a power button.

The difference is purpose and economics. The Switch costs \$299 new. A surplus ThinkPad running AC Native OS costs \$50. The Switch is a closed platform owned by Nintendo. AC Native OS is open, modifiable, and self-updating. The Switch cannot be reprogrammed by its owner. An AC Native OS device runs user-authored pieces. But the Switch proves the form factor: a portable, purpose-built, instantly-on computing device is something people want, understand, and use daily.

5.2 Steam Deck (\$399 new, \$250 used)

Valve's Steam Deck is a handheld x86_64 PC running a custom Linux distribution (SteamOS) that boots directly into a game library. It is, architecturally, almost exactly what AC Native OS does: a purpose-built Linux environment on com-

modity x86_64 hardware, optimized for a single use case, with a fast boot and a curated interface. The Steam Deck demonstrates that the “bare-metal Linux on x86_64” approach works commercially. Valve has sold an estimated 5–10 million units. Users accept and prefer the dedicated experience over a general-purpose desktop. The Steam Deck also proves that built-in speakers, while acoustically modest, are acceptable for interactive media when the experience is otherwise compelling.

Where the Steam Deck requires purpose-built hardware (\$399), AC Native OS runs on hardware that already exists and costs \$50. The Steam Deck runs games; AC Native OS runs pieces. But the conceptual architecture—custom Linux, fast boot, curated library, built-in networking—is shared.

5.3 Teenage Engineering (OP-1, EP-133, TX-6)

Teenage Engineering’s product line represents the high end of dedicated creative instruments:

the OP-1 Field (\$1,999), EP-133 K.O. II (\$299), TX-6 mixer (\$1,199). These are purpose-built devices for music creation with custom hardware, built-in speakers, battery power, and opinionated interfaces. They are beautiful objects. They are also, functionally, luxury goods. The OP-1's primary market is not working musicians or students—it is affluent adult hobbyists. The device appears more often in YouTube unboxing videos and NAMM trade show booths than in classrooms or community centers. At \$2,000, it is inaccessible to the populations that most need creative instruments.

This is the pattern: dedicated music hardware either targets institutions (PLOrk, Ableton Push in university studios) or affluent individuals (Teenage Engineering, Elektron, Moog). The middle—affordable, programmable, personally owned instruments for people without institutional affiliation or disposable income—is empty.

AC Native OS on a surplus laptop cannot match Teenage Engineering's industrial design. The ThinkPad keyboard is not a sequencer grid. The laptop form factor is not a pocket instrument.

But AC Native OS offers what no luxury instrument can: scale, programmability, and zero marginal cost. An OP-1 for every child in a school costs \$600,000 for 300 units. A surplus laptop for every child costs \$15,000. The OP-1 cannot be reprogrammed by its owner. The AC Native OS instrument runs any piece its owner writes or downloads. The question is not whether a ThinkPad is as beautiful as an OP-1. It is whether beauty should be a prerequisite for access.

5.4 Oculus to Anduril: When Dedicated Devices Go to War

The clearest cautionary arc in the dedicated-device landscape belongs to Palmer Luckey. In 2012 Luckey founded Oculus VR with a Kickstarter for a head-mounted display that booted directly into immersive virtual environments—a creative dedicated device par excellence, optimized for “presence” rather than for general-purpose computing. Facebook acquired Oculus in 2014 for \$2 billion. In March 2017 Luckey was fired (his own framing) following media coverage

of his \$10,000 donation to a pro-Trump political action committee, eventually receiving a reported \$100 million buyout [Levy, 2019, Solana, 2024].

In June 2017 Luckey co-founded Anduril Industries [Wikipedia, 2026], which now applies the dedicated-device pattern—fast boot, single purpose, sensor-rich, network-native, no general-purpose desktop in the way—to autonomous military hardware. The product line includes the Sentry Tower (a solar-powered autonomous surveillance pole), Roadrunner (a VTOL counter-drone interceptor that lives in a climate-controlled “Nest”), Ghost autonomous helicopters, and the Seabed Sentry undersea sensor network, all coordinated by Lattice OS, a hardware-agnostic sensor-fusion platform that fuses radar, thermal, and optical feeds to drive autonomous response loops in milliseconds [Anduril Industries, 2026]. By 2026 Anduril had secured over \$6 billion in government contracts worldwide [Pelley, 2024], taking over the U.S. Army’s Integrated Visual Augmentation System program from Microsoft.

The architectural pattern is the same as the Switch, the Steam Deck, and the proposal in this paper: a purpose-built operating system on commodity-class hardware, optimized to boot directly into a single coherent experience, networked by default. The *telos* is opposite. Luckey's arc demonstrates that "dedicated computing device" is not a politics; it is an architectural pattern. The same pattern can serve a child's first instrument or an autonomous lethal sensor-shooter loop, depending on who controls the platform and what gets booted by PID 1.

This is why the AC Native OS proposition cannot stop at engineering. A dedicated device is also a question of authorship. PLOrk's hemispherical speakers were artisanal and the institution chose what they played. Anduril's Sentry Towers are factory-built and a defense contract chooses what they target. The surplus laptop running AC Native OS is mass-produced surplus, and *the user* chooses—which is the entire argument. A planet's worth of laptops becomes either a kid-friendly orchestra or somebody else's autonomous instrument, depending entirely on

who flashes the USB.

5.5 The Dedicated-Device Insight

The consumer electronics market has already answered the question “Will people use dedicated computing devices?” with 146 million Switches, 5–10 million Steam Decks, and a thriving market for purpose-built music hardware. AC Native OS applies the dedicated-device model to surplus hardware: same architectural pattern (fast boot, single purpose, curated interface, built-in networking), radically different economics (free software on \$50 hardware instead of \$300–400 purpose-built devices).

The surplus laptop is not a degraded general-purpose computer. It is an *unrealized dedicated device*—one that was always capable of being an instrument, but was running Windows instead.

6. From Ensemble to Planet

6.1 PLOrk's Scaling Limit

PLOrk serves 15 people per semester. Over twenty years, assuming full enrollment every semester, PLOrk has served approximately 600 individual participants. This is a generous estimate—many students participate in multiple semesters, and the ensemble does not run every term.

To scale PLOrk to 100 seats would require \$150,000+ in equipment, a larger performance space, more amplifiers, more power infrastructure, more technical staff. To scale to 1,000 seats is physically impossible—the hemispherical speakers alone would require a warehouse.

The PLOrk model scales *linearly with cost and logarithmically with complexity*. Each additional seat requires not just equipment but proportionally more logistical overhead. This is the fundamental constraint: PLOrk is an artisanal instrument. It is beautiful, but it cannot reproduce.

6.2 AC OS's Scaling Curve

AC Native OS scales linearly with cost and *sub-linearly with complexity*. Each additional instrument is one more surplus laptop and one USB flash—sixty seconds of labor. There are no speakers to build, no racks to wire, no software to install, no accounts to provision. The OTA update system means every instrument maintains itself. Adding the 1,001st instrument to a fleet of 1,000 takes the same effort as adding the second to a fleet of one.

Scale	PLOrk Model	AC OS Model
15 seats	\$22,500	\$750
30 seats	\$45,000	\$1,500
100 seats	\$150,000	\$5,000
1,000 seats	impossible	\$50,000
10,000 seats	impossible	\$500,000
100,000 seats	impossible	\$5,000,000

Table 4: Scaling costs. PLOrk model becomes physically impossible beyond ~ 50 seats due to fabrication and space constraints. AC OS model scales linearly with hardware cost only; software and maintenance cost is zero at any scale.

At \$50 per instrument, a city-wide laptop orchestra of 1,000 instruments costs \$50,000—the price of a single well-equipped PLOrk installation. A national program distributing 100,000 instruments costs \$5 million—less than a single OLPC country deployment, which typically ran \$10–50 million [Kraemer et al., 2009] for hardware that often went unused.

6.3 The 240-Million-Seat Orchestra

The Windows 10 end-of-life surplus represents 240 million potential instruments. Not all are x86_64 laptops. Not all have functional batteries. Not all are accessible. But even a fraction of this surplus, properly diverted, dwarfs any educational technology deployment in history.

Consider: if 1% of Windows 10 surplus machines were flashed with AC Native OS, the result would be 2.4 million creative instruments distributed worldwide. The OLPC project, at its peak, deployed approximately 3 million XO laptops over its entire lifetime—at a cost of billions. One percent of the Windows 10 surplus, at zero manufacturing cost and \$0 per unit for software, matches

OLPC's entire deployment.

The instruments already exist. They are sitting in closets, warehouses, and recycling bins. The software to activate them is 89 MB.




Figure 4: **The cost cliff.** Total ensemble cost on a log scale across six scales of deployment. PLOrk reaches a hard ceiling near 100 seats: not because the cost graph runs out of paper, but because hemispherical-speaker fabrication, rack space, and amplifier infrastructure stop being physically tractable. AC Native OS continues into the gray zone with no change in deployment effort—each additional instrument is one more USB flash. The two models do not differ by a constant factor; they differ in *shape*.

7. PLOrk the Planet: A Concrete Proposal

7.1 Community Flashing Events

The simplest deployment model: a community event where participants bring surplus laptops and leave with instruments. The event requires one person with USB drives, a `config.json` template, and a table. Each machine is personalized (“What’s your name?”), flashed (sixty seconds), booted (seven seconds), and handed back. The participant leaves with a personal creative instrument that will update itself forever.

This is not speculative. It is a straightforward logistics operation—simpler than a voter registration drive, cheaper than a school bake sale.

7.2 School Programs Without Equipment Budgets

A school district retiring Chromebooks or Windows 10 machines can redirect the hardware to an instrument program instead of a recycler. Intel-based Chromebooks and all x86_64 PCs are immediately compatible. The “equipment budget”

is zero—the equipment already exists and was already paid for. The only cost is the labor of flashing USB drives.

For schools that have already discarded their hardware: surplus ThinkPads from corporate donors at \$30–50 each produce a 30-instrument classroom ensemble for \$900–1,500. This is less than the annual software subscription for most school technology programs.

7.3 After-School and Community Ensembles

The laptop orchestra has never existed outside the university because it has never been affordable outside the university. At \$50 per instrument with zero ongoing costs, laptop ensembles become viable for:

- After-school music programs
- Community centers and libraries
- Youth orchestras and maker spaces
- Senior centers and retirement communities
- Incarcerated populations (no internet required for local ensemble)

- Refugee camps and disaster relief (battery-powered, WiFi optional)
- Rural and remote communities (OTA updates via any connection)

None of these contexts could support a PLOrk station. All of them can support a surplus laptop.

7.4 The Distributed Orchestra

Because AC Native OS ensemble networking operates over standard WiFi and internet connections, a laptop orchestra need not be in the same room. A piece designed for distributed performance—where latency is a compositional parameter rather than a bug—enables:

- City-wide simultaneous performances
- School-to-school inter-district ensembles
- Cross-border telematic orchestra events
- Asynchronous “relay” compositions passed between time zones

The Concordia Laptop Orchestra (CLOrk) has experimented with telematic performance in academic contexts. AC Native OS makes telematic

performance available to anyone with WiFi and a surplus laptop.

8. What PLOrk Got Right (And What It Missed)

This paper is not a critique of PLOrk's artistry or pedagogy. PLOrk is one of the most important developments in computer music, and its contributions are foundational:

1. **Legitimacy:** PLOrk proved that laptop ensembles produce real music, not gimmicks. This was not obvious in 2005.
2. **Spatialization:** The hemispherical speaker demonstrated that electronic instruments benefit from acoustic presence. This insight persists even when the specific solution changes.
3. **Composition:** PLOrk generated a new repertoire [Smallwood et al., 2008] and new compositional strategies for networked instruments. This body of work is directly applicable to any laptop ensemble at any scale.
4. **Pedagogy:** PLOrk's course structure—combining performance, composition, instrument design, and acoustic research—is a model for creative computing education [Trueman, 2007].

5. **Community:** PLOrk created a new social form—the laptop orchestra as ensemble—that generated joy, collaboration, and artistic discovery.

What PLOrk missed—and what, in fairness, was not its mission to solve—was access. PLOrk was a research project at an elite university. It was designed to answer the question “Can laptop ensembles be musically meaningful?” It was not designed to answer “Can everyone have one?”

L2Ork moved toward the access question with cheaper hardware and open-source software, but the structural dependence on institutional infrastructure remained. Sonic Pi [Aaron, 2016] addressed individual access to music coding but is a single-user tool on a host OS, not an ensemble instrument. None of these projects have proposed a model where the laptop orchestra could operate without any institution at all.

That is the proposition here. Not to replace PLOrk, but to fulfill the dream that PLOrk started: that a network of computers could be a new kind of orchestra. PLOrk proved it could

be. AC Native OS proposes to make it so cheap that the proof becomes planetary.

9. Music, Access, and Political Economy

Jacques Attali argued in *Noise* [Attali, 1985] that music is prophetic: changes in the production and distribution of music anticipate changes in political economy. The history of music moves from ritual (sacrifice) to representation (concert hall) to repetition (recording) to what Attali called *composition*—a mode where everyone creates, where the distinction between performer and audience dissolves.

The laptop orchestra, in Attali's framework, is a composition-era ensemble. There is no audience in the traditional sense; participants are simultaneously composers, performers, and listeners. But if composition-era music is defined by universal participation, then the university laptop orchestra is a contradiction: it gates participation behind enrollment, tuition, and geography. It is composition-era music with representation-era access controls.

Thomas Turino [Turino, 2008] distinguishes between *participatory* music (where success is measured by the degree of participation) and *pre-*

sentational music (where success is measured by the quality of the performance for an audience). PLOrk performances are presentational—they take place on concert stages for seated listeners. But the PLOrk *rehearsal* is participatory—everyone plays, everyone experiments, the collective sound is the point.

A planetary laptop orchestra is participatory by default. There is no stage. There is no audience. There are only participants, each with their own instrument, connecting when and how they choose. Turino’s framework predicts that this shift—from presentational laptop orchestra to participatory one—will change not just who plays but what “playing” means.

Ivan Illich’s “tools for conviviality” [Illich, 1973] completes the theoretical frame. A convivial tool is one that can be used by anyone, without specialized training, to accomplish purposes they determine themselves. A PLOrk station—with its hemispherical speaker, amplifier rack, and ChuckK patch—is not a convivial tool. It requires training, infrastructure, and institutional support. A surplus laptop running AC Native OS

approaches conviviality: it boots into a playable instrument, requires no configuration, updates itself, and belongs to its owner.

10. Related Work

Laptop orchestras. PLOrk [Trueman et al., 2006] established the form. L2Ork [Bukvic, 2010] demonstrated Linux viability and cost reduction. SLOrk [Wang et al., 2009] at Stanford contributed ChucK-based pedagogy, a curriculum centered on Wang’s on-the-fly programming model [Wang and Cook, 2003], and the resourceful IKEA-salad-bowl hemispherical speaker variant. Thirty-plus university ensembles have operated since 2005. The COVID-19 pandemic disrupted or dissolved many of these ensembles—in-person rehearsal was impossible, and the institutional funding that sustained equipment and space often did not survive budget contractions. As of 2026, the number of active laptop orchestras appears significantly reduced from the pre-pandemic peak, though precise figures are difficult to establish because many ensembles existed informally within departments. None has operated outside a university.

Music coding for access. Sonic Pi [Aaron, 2016] runs on Raspberry Pi and desktop OSes,

teaching music through live coding. It is the closest existing project to democratized computer music but is single-user, requires a host OS, and is not an ensemble instrument.

Educational hardware. OLPC [Negroponte, 1995, Kraemer et al., 2009] demonstrated both the ambition and the failure mode of hardware-first access. Raspberry Pi [Raspberry Pi Foundation, 2012] lowered board cost but not total system cost. Chromebooks [Gutterman, 2023] achieved school-scale deployment but with planned obsolescence and vendor lock-in.

Creative computing platforms. Processing [Reas and Fry, 2007] and Scratch [Resnick et al., 2009] lowered barriers to creative coding but assume a general-purpose OS. Aesthetic.Computer [@jeffrey, 2026a] provides the web-based platform; AC Native OS [@jeffrey, 2026d] eliminates the OS dependency entirely.

Theory. Attali [Attali, 1985] on music as political prophecy; Turino [Turino, 2008] on participatory vs. presentational performance; Illich [Illich, 1973] on convivial tools; Papert [Papert,

1980] on constructionist learning; Kittler [Kittler, 1995] on the materiality of computing; McLuhan [McLuhan, 1964] on media as environment; Ukeles [Ukeles, 1969] on maintenance as creative practice.

11. Lessons from OLPC

The One Laptop Per Child project [Negroponte, 1995, Kraemer et al., 2009] is the closest precedent to the ambition described here—and the most instructive failure. Nicholas Negroponte announced in 2005 (the same year as PLOrk’s founding) that MIT would produce a \$100 laptop and distribute it to every child in the developing world. The XO laptop shipped in 2007 at \$188. Over its lifetime, OLPC deployed approximately 3 million units across roughly 40 countries, at a total program cost estimated in the billions when accounting for logistics, training, and government partnerships.

OLPC failed for reasons that are now well-documented [Kraemer et al., 2009]: the hardware was purpose-built and unfamiliar (a novel form factor, a novel OS, a novel interface); local repair was impossible because parts were proprietary; the software (Sugar OS) had no ecosystem and no continuity with what teachers or students already knew; deployments required government buy-in, ministry coordination, and logistics chains that

broke down in the field; and the per-unit cost, while lower than commercial laptops, was still too high for the poorest contexts when multiplied by millions.

AC Native OS inverts every one of these failure modes:

Failure Mode	OLPC	AC OS
Hardware	Purpose-built (\$188)	XO Surplus laptops (\$50), already manufactured
Form factor	Unfamiliar to users	Standard laptop, already known
Repair	Proprietary parts	Commodity hardware, locally serviceable
Software	Sugar OS (no ecosystem)	AC OS (self-updating)
Deployment	Gov't ministry coordination	One person with USB drives
Per-unit cost	\$188 + logistics	\$50 hardware + \$0 software
Scale	3M units over 10 years	240M machines already exist

Table 5: OLPC failure modes and AC OS counterparts.

The deepest lesson from OLPC is that hardware-first deployments fail when they require manufac-

turing, logistics, and institutional coordination. AC Native OS requires none of these because the hardware already exists, is already distributed globally, and is already being discarded. The deployment mechanism is a file, not a supply chain.

12. Limitations

This paper advances an ambitious claim. The limitations are real and should be stated plainly.

Audio quality. Built-in laptop speakers are acoustically poor. They lack bass response, have limited dynamic range, and project sound narrowly. Trueman’s hemispherical speaker solved a real problem—electronic instruments need acoustic presence to function musically in ensemble contexts. A surplus ThinkPad’s speakers cannot match this. For intimate or small-group contexts, built-in speakers may suffice. For any ensemble larger than a room, external amplification is needed, partially eroding the “no additional hardware” claim.

However, the amplification gap has a commercially available answer that did not exist when PLOrk was designed. The Minirig speaker system (Minirig 4, \$170; Minirig Mini, \$100; Minirig Subwoofer 4, \$250) is a portable, Bluetooth-and-aux speaker designed for exactly this use case: high-fidelity audio from a small, battery-powered, rugged enclosure. A single Minirig 4 produces

80 dB at 1 m with a frequency response of 50 Hz–20 kHz—adequate for a classroom or small venue. Multiple Minirigs can be daisy-chained via aux or paired wirelessly for stereo and multi-point configurations. Adding a Minirig Subwoofer extends bass response to 40 Hz, approaching the low-end presence of a hemispherical speaker system.

The cost comparison is instructive. A PLOrk hemispherical speaker required custom fabrication (\$300–500 in materials), an 8-channel amplifier (\$200+), a power conditioner, and a rack enclosure. Total per-seat amplification cost: roughly \$600–800, not counting labor. A Minirig 4 at \$170 provides comparable acoustic presence for small-ensemble contexts, ships to your door, fits in a backpack, runs on a rechargeable battery for 30+ hours, and requires no fabrication, no rack, no power conditioner.

This does not eliminate the limitation—a Minirig is not a hemispherical speaker, and directional projection remains an open problem for laptop ensembles. But it reduces the amplification question from “build custom hardware” to “buy an off-the-shelf speaker,” which is a qualitatively dif-

ferent kind of problem. For a community laptop orchestra operating on a budget, 15 Minirig Minis (\$1,500 total) provide per-seat amplification at roughly one-eighth the cost of PLOrk's custom solution, with zero fabrication time.

ARM support. AC Native OS currently targets x86_64 UEFI machines only. The majority of surplus educational Chromebooks use ARM processors (Mediatek, Qualcomm). Until ARM support ships, the single largest pool of surplus educational hardware remains inaccessible. This is the most critical engineering gap.

No formal evaluation. This paper presents no user study, no controlled experiment, no systematic evaluation of musical quality, learning outcomes, or ensemble coordination. The claims about access, cost, and scalability are grounded in hardware economics and logistics analysis, not empirical pedagogy research. A rigorous evaluation—comparing musical outcomes between a PLOrk-style ensemble and an AC Native OS ensemble of equivalent size—would substantially strengthen the argument.

Single-author project. AC Native OS is primarily authored by one person. The bus factor is one. A planetary deployment cannot depend on a single maintainer. The system must be documented, the codebase must be legible to new contributors, and the OTA update infrastructure must be resilient to the absence of its creator.

Network latency. Distributed ensemble performance over the internet introduces latency that fundamentally changes what music is possible. Local WiFi latency (1–5ms) permits tight synchronization. Internet latency (50–200ms) does not. The paper gestures at “latency as compositional parameter” but does not demonstrate compositions that make this work musically. This is an open research problem, not a solved one.

No curriculum. PLOrk’s pedagogy [Trueman, 2007] was developed over years of teaching. AC Native OS has no curriculum, no lesson plans, no documented teaching practice. The instrument exists; the pedagogy does not. Without it, deploying hardware into schools risks repeating OLPC’s pattern of distributing devices without the educational infrastructure to make them

meaningful.

13. Implications and Future Work

13.1 ARM Chromebook Support

The single most impactful engineering target is ARM support for Mediatek and Qualcomm Chromebooks—the most abundant surplus educational hardware worldwide. Achieving this would unlock millions of additional instruments from school surplus alone.

13.2 Built-In Composition Tools

Current AC Native OS pieces include a chromatic keyboard, a command navigator, and a system panel. The ensemble use case demands sequencers, pattern editors, looping tools, and collaborative composition interfaces—all as pieces, hot-swappable at runtime.

13.3 Spatialization Without Speakers

PLOrk's insight about spatial sound remains valid even when the solution changes. Future work includes software-defined spatialization: using the ensemble's distributed physical positions

(reported via UDP) to create emergent spatial audio fields from the aggregate of many laptop speakers. A ring of 30 laptops *is* a hemispherical speaker, if the software knows where each one is.

13.4 Curriculum Development

PLOrk's pedagogy [Trueman, 2007]—combining performance, composition, instrument design, and acoustic research—should be adapted for zero-infrastructure contexts. This means curricula that assume no dedicated space, no technical staff, no prior programming experience, and instruments that participants own and take home.

13.5 Mesh Networking

Peer-to-peer ensemble connectivity without internet infrastructure would enable performances in locations with no WiFi: outdoor spaces, rural areas, developing regions. Bluetooth mesh or ad-hoc WiFi between AC Native OS devices is an active development target.

14. Conclusion

In 2005, Dan Trueman asked “Why a laptop orchestra?” [Trueman, 2007] and gave a compelling answer: because networked computers can be a new kind of ensemble, with new timbres, new spatial characteristics, new compositional possibilities, and new social configurations. He was right. PLOrk proved it.

Twenty years later, the question is different. Not “why a laptop orchestra?” but “why only *one*?” Why thirty, in thirty universities, serving a few hundred people a year? Why not three hundred, or three thousand, or three million?

The answer has been economic. Hemispherical speakers cost money. Amplifier racks cost money. MacBooks cost money. Max/MSP licenses cost money. Technical staff cost money. The laptop orchestra was expensive, so it stayed in the one place where expensive things for small numbers of people are tolerated: the university.

AC Native OS removes the economic barrier. An 89 MB file. A \$50 surplus laptop. Seven seconds to boot. Built-in synthesis, built-in networking,

built-in personalization, built-in updates. No speakers to build, no software to install, no IT department to maintain, no institution to host it.

With 240 million PCs entering the surplus market from Windows 10 alone, and 62 million tonnes of e-waste accumulating annually [Forti et al., 2024], the raw material for a planetary laptop orchestra is not scarce—it is embarrassingly abundant. The hardware exists. The software exists. The network exists. The music, as PLOrk showed us twenty years ago, is real.

PLOrk'ing the planet is not a metaphor. It is a logistics problem. And the logistics just got a hundred times cheaper.

ORCID: [0009-0007-4460-4913](https://orcid.org/0009-0007-4460-4913)

References

Samuel Aaron. Sonic pi – performance in education, technology and art. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Live Coding*, 2016.

Anduril Industries. Lattice OS, Sentry Tower, Roadrunner, and Mission Autonomy, 2026. URL <https://www.anduril.com/lattice/mission-autonomy>. Company product pages for Lattice (sensor-fusion operating system), Sentry Tower (solar-powered autonomous surveillance pole), Roadrunner (VTOL counter-drone interceptor with climate-controlled “Nest” enclosure), and Seabed Sentry (undersea sensor network introduced 2025).

Jacques Attali. *Noise: The Political Economy of Music*. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis, 1985. Translated by Brian Massumi. Originally published 1977.

Fabrice Bellard. QuickJS JavaScript engine. 2019. URL <https://bellard.org/quickjs/>. A small and embeddable JavaScript engine.

Ivica Ico Bukvic. Introducing L2Ork: The Linux Laptop Orchestra. *Linux Journal*, 2010(198), 2010.

Canalys. 240 million PCs face obsolescence from Windows 10 end of life, 2024. Market analysis of Windows 10 end-of-support impact on PC installed base.

Vanessa Forti, Cornelis P. Baldé, Ruediger Kuehr, and Garam Bel. The global e-waste monitor 2024. Technical report, United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR) and International Telecommunication Union (ITU), 2024. URL <https://ewastemonitor.info/the-global-e-waste-monitor-2024/>.

Lucas Rockett Gutterman. Chromebook churn: How short-lived laptops are costing schools and the environment. Technical report, U.S. PIRG Education Fund, 2023. URL <https://publicinterestnetwork.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/PIRG-Chromebook-Churn-Full-Report-May-1.pdf>.

Ivan Illich. *Tools for Conviviality*. Harper & Row, New York, 1973.

@jeffrey. Aesthetic computer '26: A mobile-first runtime for creative computing, 2026a. Companion paper describing the AC platform.

@jeffrey. Folk songs for laptop orchestra '26: Encoding, teaching, and performing melody on aesthetic computer, 2026b. Companion paper on song mode pedagogy, NOTE:word encoding, and folk melody properties.

@jeffrey. notepat '26: A chromatic keyboard instrument for the browser and beyond, 2026c. Companion paper describing notepat's design, synthesis engine, and co-evolution with the AC platform.

@jeffrey. AC Native OS '26: A bare-metal creative computing operating system, 2026d. Companion paper describing the AC Native OS architecture and surplus hardware thesis.

Friedrich A. Kittler. There is no software. *CTheory*, 1995. Article a032.

Kenneth L. Kraemer, Jason Dedrick, and Prakul Sharma. One laptop per child: Vision vs. reality. In *Communications of the ACM*, volume 52, pages 66–73. ACM, 2009.

Ari Levy. Oculus co-founder: “I got fired” from Facebook for “no reason at all”, 2019. URL <https://www.cnbc.com/2019/05/22/oculus-co-founder-i-got-fired-from-facebook-for-no-reason-at-all.html>. Interview with Palmer Luckey on his March 2017 departure from Facebook following a \$10,000 donation to a pro-Trump political action committee.

Marshall McLuhan. *Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964.

Nicholas Negroponte. *Being Digital*. Alfred A. Knopf, New York, 1995.

Nintendo Co., Ltd. Top selling title sales units — Nintendo Switch, 2024. Consolidated sales figures from Nintendo FY2024 earnings report. 146 million Switch units sold worldwide as of March 2024.

Seymour Papert. *Mindstorms: Children, Computers, and Powerful Ideas*. Basic Books, New York, 1980.

Scott Pelley. Tech billionaire Palmer Luckey wants to remake the U.S. military with autonomous weapons, 2024. URL <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/palmer-luckey-future-warfare-anduril-60-minutes/>. Television journalism segment on Anduril Industries' autonomous-weapons portfolio and government-contract growth.

Raspberry Pi Foundation. Raspberry pi, 2012. URL <https://www.raspberrypi.org>. A low-cost single-board computer for education.

Casey Reas and Ben Fry. *Processing: A Programming Handbook for Visual Designers and Artists*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2007.

Mitchel Resnick, John Maloney, Andrés Monroy-Hernández, Natalie Rusk, Evelyn Eastmond, Karen Brennan, Amon Millner, Eric Rosenbaum, Jay Silver, Brian Silverman, and Yasmin Kafai. *Scratch: Programming for all*.

Communications of the ACM, 52(11):60–67, 2009.

Scott Smallwood, Dan Trueman, Perry Cook, and Ge Wang. Composing for laptop orchestra. In *Computer Music Journal*, volume 32, pages 9–25. MIT Press, 2008.

Jeff Snyder. Hemispherical loudspeakers for laptop orchestras, 2015. Design notes for PLOrk hemispherical speaker system.

Jeremy Solana. American vulcan, 2024. URL <https://www.tabletmag.com/feature/american-vulcan-palmer-luckey-anduril>.

Long-form profile of Palmer Luckey covering his Oculus founding, Facebook departure, the reported \$100 million buyout, and the formation of Anduril Industries.

Dan Trueman. Why a laptop orchestra? *Organised Sound*, 12(2):171–179, 2007.

Dan Trueman, Perry Cook, Scott Smallwood, and Ge Wang. PLOrk: The Princeton Laptop Orchestra, year 1. In *Proceedings of the Inter-*

national Computer Music Conference (ICMC),
New Orleans, LA, 2006.

Thomas Turino. *Music as Social Life: The Politics of Participation*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 2008.

Mierle Laderman Ukeles. Manifesto for maintenance art 1969! 1969. Proposal for an exhibition “Care”.

Ge Wang and Perry R. Cook. ChucK: A concurrent, on-the-fly audio programming language, 2003. Strongly-timed audio programming language developed at Princeton.

Ge Wang, Nicholas Bryan, Jieun Oh, and Rob Hamilton. Designing for the laptop orchestra. In *Proceedings of the International Computer Music Conference (ICMC)*, Montreal, QC, 2009.

Mark Warschauer. Technology and social inclusion: Rethinking the digital divide. 2004.

Wikipedia. Anduril industries, 2026. URL https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anduril_Industries.

Reference summary of company founding (2017, Costa Mesa, CA), product line, and reported government contracts; consulted May 2026.