



The URL Tradition

Addressable Creative Computing from HyperCard to Aesthetic Computer

@jeffrey

Aesthetic.Computer

ORCID: 0009-0007-4460-4913

<https://aesthetic.computer>

[working draft — not for citation]

Abstract. Creative computing environments have historically been *opaque*: the state of the machine is accessible only to the person sitting in front of it. HyperCard stacks lived on a single disk. Processing sketches compiled to local executables. Scratch projects required a portal to find. The URL changes this. When every creative artifact is a URL—typeable, shareable, memorable—the artifact escapes the machine and enters culture as an address. This paper traces a “URL tradition” in creative computing whose roots run deeper than the World Wide Web: from Vannevar Bush’s Memex trails and Ada Lovelace’s networked footnotes through Ted Nelson’s Xanadu and the Negro Motorist Green Book, into the web proper, JODI’s URL-as-art, single-serving sites, the tilde, Glitch’s instant remix URLs, p5.js’s Web Editor, and Aesthetic Computer’s design decision that every piece is a top-level path. We argue that URL-addressability is not a feature but a *medium property*—one that reshapes authorship, distribution, pedagogy, performance, and political claim around creative code. AC extends the tradition by making the URL the primary interface: there is no menu, no file picker, no project list. You type a word and you are in the piece. The prompt *is* the address bar.

1. INTRODUCTION

Every creative computing environment makes a choice about addressing. How does a user find, share, and return to a piece of work? The answer to this question shapes the entire character of the system.

HyperCard [Atkinson, 1987] addressed content by *card* and *stack*—spatial metaphors bound to a single machine. A stack was a file. To share it, you copied a floppy disk. The brilliant interface metaphor was undermined by a distribution model that assumed physical proximity.

The World Wide Web [Berners-Lee et al., 1994] introduced the URL: a universal, human-readable address for any document on any networked machine. Tim Berners-Lee’s design insisted that addresses be *cool*—short, stable, and guessable [Berners-Lee, 1998]. This was not an implementation detail. It was a social contract: if you give someone a URL, it should still work when they try it.

Creative computing environments that embrace the URL inherit this contract. Those that don’t—that hide work behind login walls, project IDs, or native app containers—break it. This paper argues that URL-addressability constitutes a tradition in creative computing, one that Aesthetic Computer consciously extends.

Between creative coding tools and the art web lies an underexamined form: the *single-serving site*—a URL that does exactly one thing. These sites, named by Jason Kottke in 2008 [Kottke, 2008], represent the URL tradition at its purest: the domain name *is* the content. *IsItChristmas.com*, *zombo.com*, *DoNothing.com*—each is a creative computing artifact whose address is inseparable from its function. AC’s pieces inherit this sensibility: *aesthetic.computer/wand* does one thing. The URL tells you what.

2. THE STACK WITHOUT A URL

2.1 HyperCard (1987)

HyperCard gave non-programmers the power to build interactive software through a card-and-stack metaphor. Each card was a screen; each stack was a program. The system was profoundly democratic: it shipped free with every Macintosh, and its scripting language (HyperTalk) was designed to read like English [Atkinson, 1987].

But a HyperCard stack had no address. It was a file on a disk. Sharing meant copying. Discovery meant someone physically handing you a floppy or, later, downloading from a BBS. The stack was powerful but *landlocked*—trapped on the machine that made it.

2.2 Processing (2001)

Processing [Reas and Fry, 2007] brought creative coding to a generation of artists and designers. A sketch was a `.pde` file that compiled to a Java applet or, later, a standalone application. Sharing meant exporting and uploading. The Processing community website provided a gallery, but each project was behind a portal: click, wait, load.

Processing’s contribution was making code *writable* for artists. But it did not make the resulting work *addressable* in the web’s sense. A Processing sketch was a destination, not a link.

2.3 Scratch (2007)

Scratch [Resnick et al., 2009] solved the sharing problem within its own ecosystem: every project gets a numbered URL on `scratch.mit.edu`. But these URLs are opaque—`scratch.mit.edu/projects/12345678`—and require the Scratch runtime to view. The address exists but it is not *cool*: it encodes nothing about the content, and it cannot be guessed or remembered.

3. BEFORE THE WEB: TRAILS, FOOTNOTES, AND THE GREEN BOOK

The URL did not begin in 1989. It is the operationalized fragment of a much older desire—that words and works should remain reachable across time and across the social-political terrain that words and works have to cross. Before Berners-Lee codified the URL, three traditions had already imagined the address as infrastructure for cultural memory and survival.

3.1 Bush’s Trails (1945)

Vannevar Bush’s *As We May Think* [Bush, 1945] described the Memex: a desk-sized device storing documents on microfilm and capable of preserving *trails*—author-curated paths between documents that another reader could later replay. Bush’s insight was that the address-by-location (this shelf, this drawer) was insufficient for thinking; what scholarship needed was the address-by-association, a way to fix a relationship between documents and hand the relationship to someone else. The trail was a portable graph of citations. The Memex was never built, but every URL is a fragment of one of its trails.

3.2 Lovelace’s Footnotes

Sadie Plant traces the lineage further back [Plant, 1997]. Ada Lovelace’s 1843 footnotes on the Analytical Engine were already “a node within a network”—each note pointing to other texts, other diagrams, other speculations. Plant argues that hypertext was *immanent* to the printed page from the start; the digital network only operationalized what footnotes had always been doing. “All, and everything is naturally related and intercon-

nected,” Lovelace wrote in her own footnotes. “A volume I could write on this subject.” The web is the volume she did not have time to write.

3.3 Xanadu (1965)

Ted Nelson named the dream Xanadu in 1965 [Nelson, 1965, 1974]. In Nelson’s design every quotation retained a stable address linking back to its source, no matter how often it was cited. Xanadu’s transclusion model is more demanding than the URL: a Xanadu link survives copying, splicing, and recontextualization because the source remains addressable. The web settled for a weaker promise—a URL points but does not transclude—but the demand is unchanged. The URL is what we got. Xanadu is what we are still owed.

3.4 The Negro Motorist Green Book (1936–1966)

While Bush, Nelson, and (later) Berners-Lee theorized address infrastructure for documents, a different address infrastructure had been in operation for human bodies. From 1936 to 1966, Victor Hugo Green published *The Negro Motorist Green Book* [Green, 1936–1966]—an annual directory of restaurants, gas stations, hotels, and barbershops that would safely serve Black travelers crossing Jim Crow America.

André Brock [Brock, 2020] argues that the Green Book should be read as a *cultural network browser*. The US highway system was the network. The Green Book was the directory. Black drivers were the browsers. Each entry was an address that promised survival—the inverse of a Sundown Town, the affirmative dual of the redlined map. The Green Book was, Brock writes, “one of the first cultural network browsers,” a search engine for those seeking culturally vital information at a time when the wrong wrong turn could be fatal.

This is the URL tradition’s deepest root. An address is not a neutral pointer: it is a *promise*, made by someone who knows the territory, that the destination exists and that you will not be turned away. The brilliance of the Green Book and the brilliance of “cool URIs don’t change” [Berners-Lee, 1998] are the same brilliance: addresses must be reliable across the social-political terrain they navigate. A broken URL and a missing Green Book entry both betray the same trust.

When Aesthetic Computer commits to memorizable, top-level paths, it inherits from this lineage. The URL is not just a way to find a piece. It is a public claim that the piece will be there when you arrive.

4. THE URL ENTERS CREATIVE COMPUTING

4.1 The Web as Creative Medium

The web itself was the first URL-native creative computing environment. A hand-written HTML page at

`geocities.com/~user/art.html` was simultaneously a creative artifact and a shareable address. The early web understood something that later platforms forgot: *making* and *addressing* should be the same act [Nelson, 1974].

Net.art practitioners of the 1990s exploited this identity with surgical precision. The URL was not infrastructure supporting the work. The URL *was* the work.

JODI's `www.wwwwwww.jodi.org` (1995) used the domain name itself as an art object [JODI (Joan Heemskerk and Dirk Paesmans), 1995]. The extra w's were the piece. The browser rendered incomprehensible green text on a black background; the real content was hidden in the HTML source. The URL was the title, the gallery wall, and the doorway simultaneously.

Olia Lialina's *My Boyfriend Came Back from the War* (1996) existed at a specific address: `teleportacia.org/war/` [Lialina, 1996]. The work was a series of nested frames that fragmented a post-war narrative into clickable shards. You could not separate the piece from its URL. Every copy, every mirror, every screenshot was a degradation. The URL was the original. Later, Lialina documented the personal home page as a form of folk art [Lialina, 2005]—the “under construction” GIF, the guest book, the hit counter—all artifacts of people learning to occupy an address.

The Art Happens Here anthology documents this moment when the address became the medium [Connor et al., 2019]. What net.art understood, and what creative computing environments repeatedly forget, is that the URL is not a pointer to the work. The URL is a *material* of the work, the way canvas is a material of painting. An environment that generates opaque URLs—`/projects/abc123`—is like handing a painter a canvas with no surface texture. Technically functional. Aesthetically dead.

The decay of net.art URLs is itself part of the form. The *glitchbrowser* (2005–2008), built by Beflix, Organised, and Dmtr, was a URL-rewriting tool: you typed a destination and the glitchbrowser served you the destination with its embedded JPEGs and GIFs corrupted into ribbons of color [Menkman, 2011]. The browser took itself offline in 2008. The URL where the glitchbrowser once lived now returns nothing. The work survives only as documentation. Link rot is not a failure mode of net.art—it is one of its subjects. A piece that lives at an address knows it can lose the address, and many net.art works staged that loss in advance.

Olia Lialina later argued that the personal home page was “a vernacular of file formats” [Lialina, 2005]: a folk vocabulary built from `<marquee>` tags, blinking text, MIDI loops, animated buddies, and the under-construction GIF. The under-construction GIF was not embarrassing—it was honest. It admitted that the address was alive, that someone was at work behind it. The opposite of an under-construction GIF is a 404: a frozen

page that betrays no one is home.

4.2 Single-Serving Sites (2000s)

In 2008, Jason Kottke named a form that had been accumulating for years: the *single-serving site* [Kottke, 2008]. A single-serving site is a website with one page, one purpose, and—crucially—a domain name that *is* the interface. You don't navigate to it. You read the URL and you already know.

The canonical examples: `IsItChristmas.com` (says “NO” 364 days a year, “YES” on December 25th). `HasTheLargeHadronColliderDestroyedTheWorldYet.com` (says “NO”). `zombo.com` (tells you, in an infinite loop, that you can do anything at Zombo.com) [zombo.com, 1999].

What makes single-serving sites relevant to creative computing is their purity of address. There is no app to open, no account to create, no content to scroll through. The URL is the entire user experience. The domain name is the title, the interface, and the punchline. Many are jokes. Some are art. A few are both.

The form peaked in the late 2000s and early 2010s, when domain registration was cheap and the smartphone browser was becoming universal. But the sensibility never died. It migrated into microtools: `WhatTheCommit.com` (random commit messages), `cat-bounce.com` (bouncing cats), `pointerpointer.com` (a photo pointing at your cursor). Each is a piece of creative computing that exists entirely as an address.

4.3 The Tilde and the Personal URL

Before platforms, the web had tildes. A university account gave you `cs.university.edu/~username/`, and what you put there was your own. The tilde was a marker of personhood—a human being lives at this address [Dirksen, 2014].

`tilde.club` [Ford, 2014], launched by Paul Ford in 2014, revived this tradition as a deliberate act of refusal. In a web of platforms, feeds, and algorithmic timelines, `tilde.club` gave each member a home page at `tilde.club/~username`—nothing more. No likes, no followers, no analytics. Just a URL and a text editor.

Neocities (2013) extended the revival further, explicitly positioning itself as a successor to GeoCities [Neocities, 2013]. Every Neocities site gets a URL at `username.neocities.org`. The platform's entire philosophy is that the web is a creative medium and the URL is how you claim your piece of it.

AC's handle system (`aesthetic.computer/@user/piece`) inherits from the tilde tradition: the `@` is the new `~`, marking the boundary where platform namespace ends and personal namespace begins.

4.4 The Bio Link and URL-as-Identity

The rise of Instagram and TikTok—platforms that deliberately suppress outbound links—created a new

genre: the *bio link*. Linktree (2016) and its imitators (linktr.ee/username) turned the URL into an identity document: a single page listing everything you are and everything you make [Zaccaria, 2016].

The bio link is a degraded form of the personal URL. Where a tilde page or a Neocities site *is* the creative work, a Linktree is a *menu of exits*—a way to escape the platform that suppressed your URL in the first place. But it confirms the thesis: even inside walled gardens, people fight to have an address.

AC sidesteps the bio-link problem entirely. Every piece is already a URL. A user’s published work is already a list of addresses. There is no need for a landing page because every piece *is* a landing page.

4.5 Glitch (2017)

Glitch [Dash, 2017] made the URL instant. Every project is live at `project-name.glitch.me` from the moment it is created. There is no deploy step, no build process, no publish button. The URL is not a reward for finishing—it is present from the first keystroke.

Glitch also made the URL *forkable*: the “Remix” button creates a new project with a new URL, preserving the lineage. This turns the URL into a social object. Sharing a Glitch URL is an invitation to modify, not just to view.

4.6 p5.js Web Editor (2018)

The p5.js Web Editor [McCarthy, 2014] brought Processing’s creative coding model into the browser. Each sketch gets a URL. But like Scratch, the URLs are opaque (`editor.p5js.org/user/sketches/abc123`). The editor must be loaded to run the sketch. The URL points to a *tool*, not directly to the *work*.

5. AC: THE PROMPT IS THE ADDRESS BAR

Aesthetic Computer [Scudder, 2026] takes the URL tradition to its logical conclusion. Every piece is a top-level path:

```
aesthetic.computer/notepad
aesthetic.computer/wand
aesthetic.computer/song
```

There is no file browser, no project list, no dashboard. The interface is a prompt—a blinking cursor that accepts a word. That word becomes the URL. The prompt is the address bar.

5.1 Memorizable Paths

AC paths are designed to be *memorizable*. Like phone numbers before contacts lists, like radio frequencies before presets, the path is something you carry in your head. You don’t bookmark `aesthetic.computer/notepad`; you remember the word “notepad.” You can tell some-

one at a party, write it on a napkin, or shout it across a room.

This is a deliberate inversion of the trend toward opaque identifiers. Scratch uses numbers. Glitch uses generated names. p5.js uses hashes. AC uses *words*—human words, chosen by the author, occupying the top-level namespace.

5.2 Parameters as Colons

AC extends the URL with colon-separated parameters:

```
aesthetic.computer/line:red
aesthetic.computer/prompt:monocles
aesthetic.computer/share:notepad
```

Colons were chosen over slashes or query strings because they read as *modifiers*, not *hierarchy*. “line red” not “line / red.” The colon is conversational. It preserves the single-word-at-top-level constraint while allowing infinite parameterization.

5.3 User Pieces

Published pieces live under handles:

```
aesthetic.computer/@niki/flower
aesthetic.computer/@sage/drum
```

The @ prefix signals authorship. The handle is an address within an address—a person’s namespace inside the platform’s namespace. This mirrors the AT Protocol’s handle system [Bluesky PBLLC, 2024] and the fediverse’s `@user@domain` convention, extending the URL tradition into identity.

6. URL AS MEDIUM PROPERTY

We argue that URL-addressability is not a feature added to a creative computing environment but a *medium property* that reshapes every aspect of how the environment is used.

Galloway’s *Protocol* [Galloway, 2004] demonstrates that technical addressing schemes are not neutral. DNS, TCP/IP, HTTP—each is a political structure disguised as plumbing. The URL is the human-facing layer of this structure: it is where protocol becomes visible, where addressing becomes an act of *naming*. To name a piece `notepad` and give it the address `aesthetic.computer/notepad` is to make a political claim: this thing exists, it has a place in the world, and anyone who knows its name can reach it.

Kittler wrote that “there is no software” [Kittler, 1995]—that all software is an abstraction over voltage differentials in silicon. The URL is perhaps the most audacious abstraction of all: it claims that a sequence of characters typed into a browser can reliably summon

a specific computational experience from a specific machine across the planet. Most of the time, it works. This is not a minor miracle. It is the infrastructure that makes creative computing *social*—that allows a piece to travel from the mind of its maker to the screen of a stranger.

Steyerl’s “poor image” [Steyerl, 2009] circulates precisely because it has an address. A JPEG shared on social media is degraded—compressed, rescaled, watermarked. But it *moves*. The URL enables this movement. A Processing sketch locked in a `.pde` file is a high-resolution artifact that nobody sees. An AC piece at a memorable URL is a poor image in the best sense: it travels light and lands everywhere.

6.1 Pedagogy

A teacher says: “Go to `aesthetic.computer/notepat`.” Every student is instantly in the same piece. No login required. No app to install. No instructions to follow beyond typing a URL. The URL *is* the lesson plan’s entry point.

Compare: “Open Scratch, search for project 12345678, click Run.” Or: “Download Processing, open the `.pde` file I emailed you, click Play.” Each additional step is a student lost.

6.2 Distribution

A URL is a distribution channel. It works in a text message, a QR code, a tweet, an email signature, a physical poster. It crosses every medium boundary because it *is* the lowest common denominator of the networked world.

AC’s `share` piece generates a QR code for any other piece:

```
aesthetic.computer/share:notepat
```

The QR code resolves to the piece URL. The piece runs in any browser. No app store, no install, no account required.

6.3 Social Interaction

When every piece is a URL, conversation becomes navigation. In AC’s chat system, typing a piece name in backticks creates a clickable link. Saying “try `wand`” in chat is the same as sharing a URL. The social layer and the addressing layer collapse into one.

Bourriaud’s *relational aesthetics* [Bourriaud, 2002] proposed that art’s raw material is human encounter. The URL is the infrastructure of encounter on the web. Every shared link is a micro-invitation: come see this, come be in this space, come make something here. AC’s multiplayer pieces extend this—when two people visit the same URL simultaneously, they are in the same computational space. The URL is not just a pointer; it is a *meeting point*.

Quaranta [Quaranta, 2013] argues that the distinction between “new media art” and “contemporary art” collapses when the internet becomes context rather than medium. The URL is what collapses it. A painting in a gallery has a location. A piece at `aesthetic.computer/wand` has an *address*. The difference is that anyone in the world can visit the address. The gallery requires a plane ticket. The URL requires a browser.

6.4 Authorship

Publishing a piece on AC means claiming a word. `@niki/flower` is Niki’s flower. The URL is the signature. Unlike a gallery wall label or a credits screen, the URL is present in every context where the work appears—in the browser bar, in the share link, in the QR code. Authorship is encoded in the address, not metadata.

McHugh’s *Post Internet* [McHugh, 2019] documents the moment when artists stopped treating the internet as a separate domain and began treating it as the default context for all cultural production. In this frame, a URL is not a “digital” object—it is simply an object. The question is not whether creative work should have a URL, but why anyone would make creative work that *doesn’t* have one.

6.5 Freedom

Wark’s *A Hacker Manifesto* [Wark, 2004] insists that information must be free—not as in “free beer” but as in free to move, to be addressed, to be found. The URL is the minimum unit of this freedom. A piece of creative computing that has no URL is a piece that can only be experienced by the person who made it. It is private by default. The URL makes it public by default. This is not a technical distinction. It is a political one.

The app store reverses this polarity. An iOS app is private by default and public only through the grace of a corporation that takes 30% of every transaction. The URL tradition says: put it on the web, give it an address, and let anyone who knows the address walk in. No toll booth. No gatekeeper. No cut.

7. THE URL AS SCORE

A score, in the post-1945 sense, is not a record of a performance but its *invocation*: a set of instructions that summon the piece into being. The Scores Project [Gallope et al., 2025]—an open-access digital edition of post-war graphic and event scores edited by Michael Gallope, Natilee Harren, and Patricia Hicks—makes the argument structurally as well as polemically. The edition is itself organized by URLs: each chapter, each score image, each archival object, each time-based realization has a stable address. The reader does not just read about the score. The reader *follows the URL* into the performance.

Aesthetic Computer pieces extend score logic to its

limit. Typing `aesthetic.computer/whistlegraph` is not visiting a website—it is invoking a score. The URL contains the entire performance instruction. Anyone who visits the URL re-performs the piece. Many AC pieces are explicitly score-shaped: `whistlegraph` is a draw-while-singing score, `notepat` is a notation surface, `wand` is a movement score for the body, `song` is a tap score for the voice. The URL hands you the score; the browser performs it.

This is what HyperCard could never do. HyperCard’s stacks were rehearsal materials trapped on a single machine. AC’s URLs are world-readable scores: you receive the entire instruction by reading a single word, and the instruction begins to execute the moment you press Enter.

8. CASUAL CREATORS AND THE URL-ENCODED ARTIFACT

Compton and Mateas [Compton and Mateas, 2015] define *casual creators* as creative systems whose users are casual—no prior domain expertise, no goal beyond exploration of a possibility space. To make their artifacts shareable, casual creators have to retrofit URL encoding. Compton’s *Ice-Maker* (2015) embeds an entire snowflake design into a single URL that the user can post or send; the URL becomes the artifact’s bearer. Twine games encode story state into URL fragments. Generative tools from *Tracery* bots to color-palette generators serialize their output into shareable links.

Aesthetic Computer makes this retrofit unnecessary. Every piece is already URL-resident. When a `kidlisp` user saves a sketch, the source is hashed and stored at `aesthetic.computer/$<code>`. When a `prompt` user invokes a piece with parameters, the parameters are part of the URL. The casual creator’s friction—“how do I share what I just made?”—is not solved with a Save and Share button. It is dissolved by a property of the medium. The URL-encoded artifact is not a feature added to AC. It is the only thing AC produces.

Compton’s casual creators want their users to feel *capable* after a few seconds. The URL is what makes that capability portable. Without the URL, the artifact dies on the user’s screen the moment they close the tab.

9. THE PERFORMER AT THE ADDRESS

Auslander [Auslander, 2002] argues that the chatterbot is the latest entry in a long history of mediated performance. Each chatterbot lives at an address: a URL, an IRC channel, an email list. The bot’s identity is inseparable from where it can be reached. ELIZA at MIT was a destination; Julia on IRC was a presence. Each bot was, in Auslander’s terms, a *performer* at a particular *location*.

Aesthetic Computer extends bot-thinking to all pieces.

Every AC piece is a kind of performer. `notepat` does the same thing every time you visit, but the *thing it does* is a performance: pixels arrive in a particular order, sounds occur on a particular schedule, the screen receives the same wipe. The piece is not a static document. It is a performer waiting at an address. Visiting the URL summons it on stage.

Auslander notes the politically interesting case: the bot inserted into a chatroom without identifying itself as a bot. Visiting an AC URL never produces this ambiguity. The piece declares itself by *being itself*. The URL is the dressing room and the stage at once. There is no green room, no rehearsal hall, no separate venue. The address is the entire theater.

10. THE URL AS TERRITORY

Lewis, Arista, Pechawis, and Kite argue that Indigenous epistemology must contend with cyberspace as territory [Lewis et al., 2018]—that the “archipelago of websites, social media platforms, shared virtual environments, corporate data stores, multiplayer video games, smart devices, and intelligent machines” is not a separate domain from terrestrial life but situated within and alongside it. The question they raise: how do we *claim* this new territory, knit it into existing life, and make it our own?

The URL is the smallest unit of claim. To register a domain is to take a piece of the network and put a name on it. To publish at `aesthetic.computer/@<handle>/<piece>` is to claim a sub-territory inside someone else’s address. The handle prefix `@` is a flag planted on a parcel. The platform is responsible for keeping the parcel reachable. The piece-maker is responsible for what happens once you arrive.

This is not metaphor. Domain-name policy, name registries, and identifier specifications are real political infrastructure [Galloway, 2004]. The URL tradition takes a position inside that infrastructure: the address belongs to whoever can claim it; the claim should be possible to make in a single word; and the platform should not be allowed to overwrite the claim. AC’s contribution is to make this politics legible at the level of every piece.

11. THE TRADITION

The URL tradition in creative computing runs:

1. **Lovelace’s footnotes** (1843): the printed page as a node within a network. Hypertext is immanent.
2. **The Negro Motorist Green Book** (1936–1966): an address book as a network browser. The address as promise of safe arrival.
3. **Memex** (1945): Bush’s trails. The address-by-association, portable between readers.
4. **Xanadu** (1965–): Nelson’s transclusion model. Every quotation retains its address.

5. **HyperCard** (1987): cards and stacks, no address, bound to disk.
6. **The Web** (1991): every document is a URL. The address *is* the work.
7. **GeoCities** (1994): the personal URL. `~user/~page.html` as creative identity.
8. **Net.art** (1995–): artists exploit the URL as material and medium.
9. **zombo.com** (1999): the single-serving site. Domain name = content = interface.
10. **Processing** (2001): creative coding, but no native URL. Export required.
11. **Scratch** (2007): project URLs, but opaque and runtime-dependent.
12. **Single-serving sites** (2008): Kottke names the form. The URL *is* the joke, the tool, the artwork.
13. **Casual creators** (2015): URL-encoded artifacts as a retrofit for shareability.
14. **Neocities** (2013): GeoCities revival. The personal URL fights back.
15. **tilde.club** (2014): the tilde as radical simplicity. One page, one person, one address.
16. **Glitch** (2017): instant URLs, forkable, live from first keystroke.
17. **p5.js Editor** (2018): sketch URLs, but editor-dependent and opaque.
18. **Aesthetic Computer** (2022): the prompt *is* the address bar. Every piece is a memorable top-level path. No intermediary. Single-serving sensibility at platform scale.

Each step makes the URL more central. The single-serving site proved that one URL could be an entire creative work. AC’s contribution is making *every* piece a single-serving site—each a word, each a world, each a URL that is its own complete interface. There is no other way in. You type a word. You are there.

12. COOL URIS AND THE FORGETTING WEB

Berners-Lee wrote in 1998: “Cool URIs don’t change” [Berners-Lee, 1998]. The web was designed for permanence. Every URL was supposed to be a promise.

The modern web breaks this promise at industrial scale. A 2024 Pew Research study found that 38% of web pages that existed in 2013 are no longer accessible [Pew Research Center, 2024]. Government pages, news articles, academic citations—all vanishing. The problem is worse for creative work: Flash sites are gone. GeoCities is gone. Vine URLs resolve to nothing. Each dead link is a piece of culture that lost its address.

Social media accelerated the crisis by making URLs *disposable*. A tweet, a story, a post—each gets a URL, but the platform treats it as ephemeral. The URL is not a promise; it is a receipt. The platform reserves the right

to revoke it at any time, for any reason, or for no reason at all [Human Rights Watch, 2020].

Single-serving sites, paradoxically, are among the most durable artifacts on the web. `zombo.com` has been online since 1999—over 25 years of uninterrupted service [zombo.com, 1999]. `IsItChristmas.com` endures. These sites survive because they have no dependencies, no backend, no business model. The simplicity that makes them single-serving also makes them permanent.

AC confronts link rot by being open-source, self-hostable, and URL-first. If `aesthetic.computer` disappears, the pieces still run on any instance. The URL is portable because the runtime is portable. The cool URI survives because it is not bound to a single server—it is bound to a word. And like the best single-serving sites, an AC piece that loads in a browser today should load in a browser in 2050—the dependency is the web itself.

13. THE URL AGAINST THE APP

The app store model represents the anti-URL tradition. An iOS app has no address. It has a listing in a catalog controlled by a single corporation. You cannot link to a running state inside the app. You cannot share what you see without screenshotting it. The app is a return to the HyperCard problem: powerful software trapped on the device, with no address in the world.

The “app-ification” of the web—single-page applications that break the back button, require JavaScript to render a single paragraph, and generate URLs that encode nothing human-readable—is a subtler version of the same regression. The URL still exists, but it has been hollowed out. It points to a shell that must be inflated by a JavaScript runtime before anything is visible.

AC pieces run in the browser but they behave like documents, not apps. The URL loads. The piece runs. There is no loading screen, no splash page, no onboarding flow. The URL tradition demands that the address deliver its content directly, without intermediaries or prerequisites. A piece should feel like clicking a link felt in 1995: instant, complete, there.

14. THE DEATH OF THE ADDRESS BAR

Mobile browsers hide the address bar. Safari on iOS collapses it to a domain name. Chrome on Android tucks it behind a gesture. The full URL—the thing Berners-Lee said was the most important interface on the web—is treated as a technical detail that users shouldn’t have to see.

This is not a usability improvement. It is an ideological choice. Platforms benefit when users don’t know where they are. A user who can see and modify the address bar is a user who can leave. A user who can’t is a user who is *contained*—inside the app, inside the feed,

inside the walled garden.

AC pushes back by making the URL the *only* interface. There is no app. There is no feed. The prompt is a text field that accepts a word and turns it into a URL. If the mobile browser hides the address bar, the AC prompt replaces it. The first thing you see is a cursor. The first thing you do is type a word. The word is the address. The address is the world.

15. CONCLUSION

The URL is the most important interface in computing. It is the only universal addressing scheme that works across every device, every platform, every medium. It is the infrastructure of encounter, the minimum unit of information freedom, the material of net.art, the score that summons the piece, the dressing room of the bot, and the identity layer of the personal web.

The tradition this paper traces did not start with Berners-Lee. It runs from Lovelace’s footnotes through Bush’s trails, Nelson’s Xanadu, and the Negro Motorist Green Book—each a different answer to the same question: *how do words and works remain reachable across time and across the social-political terrain that words and works have to cross?* The web operationalized one answer. Aesthetic Computer extends that answer to creative computing’s full surface.

Every force in contemporary computing conspires to kill the URL. Apps hide it. Platforms suppress it. Shorteners mangle it. SPAs hollow it out. Mobile browsers tuck it away. The URL tradition is not a historical curiosity—it is an active resistance.

Creative computing environments that embrace the URL—that make every artifact addressable, shareable, memorizable—participate in this tradition. Those that don’t—that hide work behind login walls, project IDs, app stores, or native containers—break the contract that the URL is supposed to honor.

AC’s design makes the URL not just present but *primary*. The prompt is the address bar. The piece name is the URL. The URL is the identity, the distribution channel, the pedagogical entry point, the score, the social object, the authorship claim, and the political stance. There is nothing else.

Every AC piece is a single-serving site. Every AC user has a tilde. Every AC path is a cool URI that, by design, will never change. The URL tradition does not end. It gets typed into a prompt and pressed Enter.

The most powerful thing a creative tool can give you is a good address.

References

- Bill Atkinson. HyperCard. In *Apple Computer*, 1987. Shipped free with every Macintosh from 1987–1992.
- Philip Auslander. Live from cyberspace, or, i was sitting at my computer this guy appeared he thought i was a bot. *PAJ: A Journal of Performance and Art*, 24(1): 16–21, 2002. Chatterbots as performers at addresses; piece-as-performer for AC.
- Tim Berners-Lee. Cool URIs don’t change, 1998. <https://www.w3.org/Provider/Style/URI>.
- Tim Berners-Lee, Robert Cailliau, Ari Luotonen, Henrik Frystyk Nielsen, and Arthur Secret. The World-Wide Web. *Communications of the ACM*, 37(8):76–82, 1994.
- Bluesky PBLLC. AT Protocol OAuth specification, 2024. <https://atproto.com/specs/oauth>.
- Nicolas Bourriaud. *Relational Aesthetics*. Les presses du réel, 2002. Art as encounter; the URL as relational infrastructure.
- André Brock. *Distributed Blackness: African American Cybercultures*. NYU Press, 2020. The Negro Motorist Green Book as proto-network browser; address-as-survival.
- Vannevar Bush. As we may think. *The Atlantic Monthly*, 176(1):101–108, 1945. The Memex; trails as portable address-by-association.
- Kate Compton and Michael Mateas. Casual creators. In *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Computational Creativity*, pages 228–235, 2015. URL-encoded artifacts as the casual creator’s shareability retrofit.
- Michael Connor, Aria Dean, and Dragan Espenschied. *The Art Happens Here: Net Art Anthology*. Rhizome, 2019.
- Anil Dash. Introducing Glitch, 2017. <https://glitch.com>. Formerly Fog Creek’s HyperDev/Gomix.
- Jeremy Dirksen. The tilde: A history, 2014. The ~ character as marker of personhood on shared Unix systems.
- Paul Ford. tilde.club: I had a couple drinks and woke up with 1,000 nerds, 2014. <https://medium.com/message/tilde-club-i-had-a-couple-drinks-and-woke-up-with-1-000-nerds->
- Michael Gallope, Natilee Harren, and Patricia Hicks. The scores project, 2025. Open-access digital edition of postwar graphic and event scores; URL-organized scholarly performance archive.
- Alexander R. Galloway. *Protocol: How Control Exists After Decentralization*. MIT Press, 2004.

- Victor H. Green. The negro motorist green book, 1936–1966. Annual directory of safe lodging, food, and services for Black travelers in Jim Crow America.
- Human Rights Watch. “Video Unavailable”: Social media platforms remove evidence of war crimes, 2020. Platforms delete URLs containing documentation of human rights abuses.
- JODI (Joan Heemskerk and Dirk Paesmans). www.jodi.org, 1995. <http://www.jodi.org>. Domain name as art object; source code as hidden content.
- Friedrich Kittler. There is no software. *CTheory*, 1995. Addresses are abstractions; the URL is a fiction that the machine sustains.
- Jason Kottke. Single serving sites, 2008. <https://kottke.org/08/02/single-serving-sites>.
- Jason Edward Lewis, Noelani Arista, Archer Pechawis, and Suzanne Kite. Making kin with the machines. *Journal of Design and Science*, 2018. Cyberspace as territory; the URL as a unit of claim.
- Olia Lialina. My boyfriend came back from the war, 1996. <http://www.teleportacia.org/war/>. The URL as the work itself.
- Olia Lialina. A vernacular of file formats: The personal home page under construction, 2005. Documents GeoCities aesthetics as folk art; the “under construction” GIF as creative practice.
- Lauren McCarthy. p5.js, 2014. <https://p5js.org>. Web Editor launched 2018.
- Gene McHugh. *Post Internet: Notes on the Internet and Art*. Link Editions, 2nd edition, 2019. CC BY-NC-SA. The internet as context, not medium.
- Rosa Menkman. *The Glitch Momentum/Memento*. Institute of Network Cultures, 2011. Documents the glitch-browser and other URL-resident net.art.
- Ted Nelson. *Computer Lib / Dream Machines*. Self-published, 1974. Revised edition: Tempus Books/Microsoft Press, 1987.
- Theodor H. Nelson. A file structure for the complex, the changing, and the indeterminate. In *Proceedings of the 20th National ACM Conference*, pages 84–100, 1965. The first Xanadu paper; transclusion as stable addressing across copies.
- Neocities. Neocities: The web is yours, 2013. <https://neocities.org>. Explicit successor to GeoCities.
- Pew Research Center. When online content disappears, 2024. <https://www.pewresearch.org/data-labs/2024/05/17/when-online-content-disappears/>. 38% of 2013 web pages no longer accessible.
- Sadie Plant. *Zeros + Ones: Digital Women and the New Technoculture*. Doubleday, 1997. Hypertext as immanent to Lovelace’s footnotes; networks before networking.
- Domenico Quaranta. *Beyond New Media Art*. Link Editions, 2013. CC BY-NC-SA. Post-media condition and the dissolution of the “new media” category.
- Casey Reas and Ben Fry. *Processing: A Programming Handbook for Visual Designers and Artists*. MIT Press, 2007.
- Mitchel Resnick, John Maloney, Andrés Monroy-Hernández, Natalie Rusk, Evelyn Eastmond, Karen Brennan, Amon Millner, Eric Rosenbaum, Jay Silver, Brian Silverman, and Yasmin Kafai. Scratch: Programming for all. *Communications of the ACM*, 52 (11):60–67, 2009.
- Jeffrey Scudder. Aesthetic computer ’26, 2026. <https://aesthetic.computer>.
- Hito Steyerl. In defense of the poor image. *e-flux journal*, (10), 2009. Circulation degrades resolution but extends reach; the URL enables the poor image’s travel.
- McKenzie Wark. *A Hacker Manifesto*. Harvard University Press, 2004. Information must be free; the URL is the infrastructure of that freedom.
- Alex Zaccaria. Linktree, 2016. <https://linktr.ee>. The bio link as identity document.
- zombo.com. You can do anything at Zombo.com, 1999. <https://zombo.com>. Online continuously since 1999.