



What's New CalArts!?

why the president got booed off the stage --- a letter, with sources

@jeffrey

Aesthetic.Computer

ORCID: 0009-0007-4460-4913

<https://aesthetic.computer>

[dossier — working draft — not for citation]

Abstract

Dear Fía and Patrick,

ty for sending this — here’s what i know about CalArts so far. you two have the MFAs; i just have the argument. short clip: the president walks to the commencement mic, the graduating class boos him off, he freezes for the better part of a minute, the board chair scolds the kids for booing. that’s it. the long answer to the short *why* is below, from the public record. one claim runs through it: the boo wasn’t an interruption of the institution — it *was* the institution. the crit the school actually teaches, turned for once on the people who run it. a school is a promise about what its students may become; the boo is that promise auditing its own administration, out loud, at the ceremony built to keep the gap quiet. with sources. for you two first. — @jeffrey

1. THE BOO

On the video, the president of the California Institute of the Arts walks to the commencement microphone and the graduating class boos until he stops. He stands frozen for close to a minute. The chair of the board of trustees comes to the microphone and admonishes the graduates for booing [CalArts Commencement (attendee video), 2026].



still from the commencement video [CalArts Commencement (attendee video), 2026]: the board chair at the microphone; the president, beside her, frozen.

Begin there, because a commencement is the one rite in which an institution narrates itself: the address is the school explaining, to the people it has just finished credentialing, what it believes it has made of them. To boo that address is not heckling. It is criticism delivered in the institution’s own first language. CalArts teaches the

crit — the unscripted, collective, frequently brutal evaluation of work in a room — as its central pedagogy; the crit is, in Elkins [2001]’s account, precisely the part of an art education that cannot be reduced to method or rubric, and in hooks [1994]’s, the room in which authority is made to answer for itself. The graduating class did not drop their training at the door of the ceremony. They performed it. A crit does not ask *did this meet the requirements*; it asks *does this deserve to stand*. They asked it of the presidency, and they answered.

2. WHAT THE SCHOOL WAS FOR

Walt Disney chartered CalArts in 1961 by merging the Chouinard Art Institute with the Los Angeles Conservatory of Music; he died before the Valencia campus opened in 1971 inside a single long brutalist megastructure by Ladd & Kelsey. The founding intent was industrial — a trained pipeline for the studio — but the faculty hired after his death (Kaprow, Baldessari, Chicago, Schapiro, Paik) turned the building into a laboratory for postwar American art. Singerman [1999] reads exactly this institution as the hinge on which the American artist became a *university subject*: the studio absorbed into the degree, the artist produced by a curriculum. de Duve [1994] names the same shift in its own terms — the academy of skill giving way to the post-Bauhaus school of *attitude*, where what is taught is no

longer a craft but a stance. CalArts is de Duve’s thesis poured in concrete. Its promise was never a syllabus. It was proximity, permission, and the dare: put unlike disciplines close enough and let them contaminate one another, and call the contamination an education.

3. THE CRIT IS THE CURRICULUM

The thing to understand about CalArts — the thing the budget documents cannot see — is that its actual curriculum is not in its catalog. It is in the room. [Elkins \[2011\]](#) argues that what happens in a good crit is unteachable in the same sense art is unteachable: it is an oral tradition, knowledge that exists only in the people who carry it and the time they spend carrying it together. [Freire \[1970\]](#) draws the contrast the school lives by — the “banking” model, in which the institution deposits value into a passive student, against the dialogic, in which knowledge is produced between people who can both be wrong. [hooks \[1994\]](#) insists that this kind of teaching is a practice of freedom or it is nothing, and [Spivak \[2012\]](#) that an aesthetic education is, at its limit, the training of the imagination for solidarity. None of this lives in a line item. All of it lives in faculty who are present, in governance that is shared, in a building that forces collision. Which is to say: the school’s real curriculum is exactly the part of it that an administration under financial pressure will experience as *slack*.

4. WOMANHOUSE, 1972

The clearest proof that the promise was real — and that it was always students who kept it — is Womanhouse. In 1971 Judy Chicago and Miriam Schapiro started the Feminist Art Program; over the winter, twenty-seven women took a condemned seventeen-room Hollywood mansion and made it into an installation and performance work that drew roughly ten thousand visitors between January 30 and February 28, 1972, and was later filmed by Johanna Demetrakas [[Wikipedia contributors, 2024](#), [CalArts Library, 2024](#)]. It was not assigned. It was the school’s promise executed by its students against the school’s own plan. [Harney and Moten \[2013\]](#) give this its sharpest name: the *undercommons* — the fugitive intellectual life that the institution houses, tolerates, and takes credit for, but did not author and cannot direct. Womanhouse is the undercommons of CalArts made visible for one month. Every later confrontation on that campus is continuous with it.

5. THE CALLOUT, POINTED INWARD (2014)

The crit has been turned on the administration before. In October 2014, after an Al Jazeera America report on the school’s handling of a sexual-assault case (a student the coverage called “Regina”; CalArts found the assailant responsible; there was no criminal prosecu-

tion) [[Al Jazeera America, 2014](#)], students interrupted a board of trustees meeting on October 15 — a board that then included the actor Don Cheadle and the *Los Angeles Times* publisher Austin Beutner — and on October 23 walked out of class and peacefully occupied the administrative offices, hundreds gathering in the main building [[Steinhauer, 2014](#), [Artforum, 2014](#)]. Faculty stood with them; the organizer was a graduate student, Cori Redstone; the demands were structural — consent education, reformed adjudication, transparency, a return to shared governance. CalArts was then one of eighty-five colleges under federal Title IX investigation. [Fraser \[2005\]](#) is the necessary reading here: institutional critique, she argues, has itself become an institution — the museum learns to exhibit its own critique and is strengthened by it; the practice it became is the one [Alberro and Stimson \[2009\]](#) anthologize. What an institution *cannot* absorb is the critique that refuses to become an art object: a walkout has no wall text; a boo cannot be acquired.

6. THE UNIVERSITY IN RUINS (2017–2026)

Ravi S. Rajan became president in 2017, arriving from leadership at SUNY Purchase [[California Institute of the Arts, 2025a](#)]. What the public record shows next reads like a case study from [Readings \[1996\]](#), whose argument is that the contemporary university survives by hollowing into an administrative shell organized around the empty word *excellence* — a signifier with no content, which is precisely why anything can be cut in its name. The numbers: enrollment down roughly twelve percent post-pandemic, from 1,532 (2019) to 1,353 (2023); tuition above \$60,000 for 2025–26; a projected \$15M structural deficit; about \$5.5M trimmed through spending controls. In April 2024 Rajan told *Inside Higher Ed* the school was “fiscally sound.” In spring 2025 voluntary separations were offered to fifty or sixty people and thirty-two accepted (twenty-two faculty, ten staff); in July 2025 nine administrative employees were laid off (five union, four non-union) and twelve vacant positions cut. Rajan’s July 15 letter: “These are not just abstract positions—they are roles held by our colleagues, teammates, and friends.” Faculty and staff had begun organizing in fall 2024; a December 2024 letter announced intent to organize roughly six hundred workers with the UAW; the election was held in March 2025 and ratified in April [[The Art Newspaper, 2024](#), [Hyperallergic, 2025](#), [California Institute of the Arts, 2025b](#)]. [Bousquet \[2008\]](#) supplies the last piece: in the financial logic of the contemporary school, faculty are not the mission — they are the adjustable variable, the casualizable cost, the precarious cultural labor [Ross \[2009\]](#) tracks across the whole creative economy. Set the readings side by side and the decade resolves: the administration treated the school’s actual curriculum — present faculty, shared governance, the density that *is* the teaching — as the budget’s slack,

and narrated the cutting as the only realistic option — what Fisher [2009] calls capitalist realism, the management of decline announced as the absence of any alternative.

7. WHY THEY BOOED

Now the answer can be stated plainly, and without flattery to anyone.

Bourdieu and Passeron [1977] established the contradiction that every serious school lives inside: the institution reproduces the very hierarchies its pedagogy promises to suspend. CalArts promises proximity, permission, the dare — a place where what you are allowed to become is not pre-decided — while operating as an institution that must rank, credential, charge sixty thousand dollars, and balance a deficit — and Davis [2013] locates the art student exactly there: a debt-financed aspirant sold a class position the market will not honor. The contradiction is not a scandal; it is constitutive. What changed under the conditions in §6 is that the administration stopped pretending the contradiction cost anything. It narrated “fiscally sound” and “sustainable” over a decade of cutting the exact resources that were the school’s only real curriculum, and then scheduled a commencement to narrate, one more time, that the promise had been kept.

The boo is what Harney and Moten [2013]’s undercommons sounds like when it becomes briefly audible at the institution’s own podium. It is Fraser [2005]’s un-absorbable remainder: criticism that will not resolve into an art object, a panel, or a press release, and therefore cannot be exhibited and neutralized. And it is the school’s own pedagogy run correctly: a crit, convened without permission, asking the question CalArts taught the room to ask — *does this deserve to stand* — of the people who had spent a decade insisting it did. They were not failing to graduate. They were giving the institution its final crit, and the institution, frozen at the microphone for the better part of a minute, had no answer that the room would accept.

8. CODA

So, Fía, Patrick — here is the short version of the long version. You sent me forty-five seconds of a man frozen at a microphone while a room refused him. I went looking for the why and found that the boo was the most CalArts thing in the entire video. The pedagogy worked. The crit held. The students did exactly what the school spent two years and an MFA each teaching the two of you to do: stand behind the judgment, in the room, out loud, with nothing between you and the work but the question of whether it deserves to exist. What had stopped working was not the school. It was the administration’s account of the school. I am writing this as a letter and not as a press release on purpose: a letter has to stand behind

itself, in the open, the way a crit does. That is the only register in which any of this is honest.

ORCID: 0009-0007-4460-4913

References

- Al Jazeera America. Sexual assault and the response of a california arts school. *Al Jazeera America*, October 2014.
- Alexander Alberro and Blake Stimson, editors. *Institutional Critique: An Anthology of Artists’ Writings*. MIT Press, 2009.
- Artforum. Protesting administration’s handling of sexual assault case, calarts students stage walkout. *Artforum*, October 2014.
- Pierre Bourdieu and Jean-Claude Passeron. *Reproduction in Education, Society and Culture*. Sage, London, 1977. Trans. Richard Nice.
- Marc Bousquet. *How the University Works: Higher Education and the Low-Wage Nation*. New York University Press, New York, 2008.
- CalArts Commencement (attendee video). Calarts president ravi rajan booed offstage — calarts commencement 2026. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B0hF09ziB7M>, May 2026. President froze 45 s; board chair stepped to the microphone to admonish the graduates.
- CalArts Library. Reverberating feminisms: Chronologies of feminist art movements at calarts — feminist art program (1971–1974). <https://library.calarts.edu/c.php?g=1340092&p=9958608>, 2024.
- California Institute of the Arts. Ravi s. rajan. <https://calarts.edu/members/ravi-s-rajan>, 2025a. President since 2017; prior leadership roles at SUNY Purchase; MMus, Yale.
- California Institute of the Arts. Toward a sustainable financial future for calarts. <https://calarts.edu/sites/default/files/2025-05/Toward%20a%20Sustainable%20Finacial%20Future%20for%20CalArts.pdf>, May 2025b.
- Ben Davis. *9.5 Theses on Art and Class*. Haymarket Books, 2013.
- Thierry de Duve. When form has become attitude—and beyond. In Nicholas de Ville and Stephen Foster, editors, *The Artist and the Academy: Issues in Fine Art Education and the Wider Cultural Context*. University of Southampton, 1994.
- James Elkins. *Why Art Cannot Be Taught: A Handbook for Art Students*. University of Illinois Press, Urbana, 2001.

Mark Fisher. *Capitalist Realism: Is There No Alternative?* Zero Books, Winchester, 2009.

Andrea Fraser. From the critique of institutions to an institution of critique. *Artforum*, 44(1):278–283, 2005.

Paulo Freire. *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. Continuum, New York, 1970. Trans. Myra Bergman Ramos.

Stefano Harney and Fred Moten. *The Undercommons: Fugitive Planning and Black Study*. Minor Compositions, Wivenhoe, 2013.

bell hooks. *Teaching to Transgress: Education as the Practice of Freedom*. Routledge, New York, 1994.

Hyperallergic. Facing \$15m budget deficit, calarts lays off workers. *Hyperallergic*, 2025.

Bill Readings. *The University in Ruins*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1996.

Andrew Ross. *Nice Work If You Can Get It: Life and Labor in Precarious Times*. NYU Press, 2009.

Howard Singerman. *Art Subjects: Making Artists in the American University*. University of California Press, Berkeley, 1999.

Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak. *An Aesthetic Education in the Era of Globalization*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, 2012.

Jillian Steinhauer. After walkout, calarts students organize against administration. *Hyperallergic*, October 2014.

The Art Newspaper. California institute of the arts faculty and staff move to unionise. *The Art Newspaper*, November 2024.

Wikipedia contributors. Womanhouse. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Womanhouse>, 2024. Womanhouse, Jan. 30–Feb. 28, 1972; Feminist Art Program, Judy Chicago and Miriam Schapiro; 27 collaborators; 10,000 visitors.